Page 1 1 2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 3 DELUX PUBLIC CHARTER, LLC d/b/a JSX AIR and JETSUITEX, INC.; XO GLOBAL, LLC; and 4 BLADE URBAN AIR MOBILITY, INC., 5 PLAINTIFFS, 6 -against-Case No.: 7 7:22-cv-01930-PMH8 COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER, NEW YORK, a Charter County, 9 DEFENDANT. 10 11 DATE: January 13, 2023 TIME: 9:30 A.M. 12 13 14 15 DEPOSITION of the Defendant, GEORGE LATIMER, taken by the Plaintiffs, 16 17 pursuant to a Notice and to the Federal 18 Rules of Civil Procedure, held at the 19 offices of John M. Nonna, Esq., Westchester County Attorney, 148 Martine Avenue, White 20 21 Plains, New York 10601, before Lisa Moran, 22 a Notary Public of the State of New York. 23 24 25

```
Page 2
1
2
    APPEARANCES:
3
4
    DORF & NELSON, LLP
      Attorneys for the Plaintiffs
      555 Theodore Fremd Avenue
5
      Rye, New York 10580
6
      BY: JONATHAN B. NELSON, ESQ.
           CHRISTINA L. GRIMES, ESQ.
7
8
9
    JOHN M. NONNA, ESQ.
10
      Westchester County Attorney
      Attorney for the Defendant
11
      148 Martine Avenue
      White Plains, New York 10601
12
      BY:
           JOHN M. NONNA, ESQ.
           DAVID H. CHEN, ESQ.
13
14
    ALSO PRESENT:
      Samra Mahmoud, Esq., via video conference
15
      Raymond Moss, Esq., via video conference
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Page 3 1 2 FEDERAL STIPULATIONS 3 4 5 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and 6 between the counsel for the respective 7 parties herein that the sealing, filing and 8 certification of the within deposition be waived; that the original of the deposition 9 10 may be signed and sworn to by the witness 11 before anyone authorized to administer an 12 oath, with the same effect as if signed 13 before a Judge of the Court; that an 14 unsigned copy of the deposition may be used 15 with the same force and effect as if signed 16 by the witness, 30 days after service of 17 the original & 1 copy of same upon counsel for the witness. 18 19 20 IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that 21 all objections except as to form, are 22 reserved to the time of trial. 23 24 25

Page 4 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 GEORGE L A T I M E R, called as a 3 witness, having been first duly sworn by a Notary Public of the State of New York, was 4 5 examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY 6 7 MR. NELSON: 8 Q. Please state your name for the 9 record. 10 Α. George Latimer. 11 What is your address? 0. 12 Α. 47 Wainwright Street, Rye, New 13 York 10580. 14 Good morning, Mr. Latimer. 0. 15 Α. Good morning, Mr. Nelson. 16 Jonathan's fine. 0. 17 As you know, I represent the 18 Plaintiffs in this matter, and I am going 19 to be asking you a series of questions this 20 morning. If at any time I am unclear and 21 you don't have a full understanding of what 22 I'm asking, which happens from time to time, let me know, I will do my best to 23 24 rephrase it. 25 As you're doing right now, you

Page 5 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 have to keep your answers verbal so the 3 Court Reporter can take it down, and uh-uhh's and mm-mmm's, although I don't 4 5 expect you'll do that, don't translate well 6 to a transcript. 7 Α. Understood. 8 And at times it might feel a 0. 9 bit conversational, but I will do my best 10 to make sure that you're done with your 11 answer, and you make sure that I am done 12 with my question, so the Court Reporter, 13 again, can take down a clean transcript. 14 Α. Will do. 15 Q. If at any time you want to take 16 a break, as long as there's no question 17 pending, just indicate, and we'll do that. 18 Α. Good, perfect. 19 Mr. Latimer, what is your Q. 20 current position? 21 Α. Westchester County Executive. 22 Q. How long have you held that 23 position? 24 Α. January 1, 2018. 25 Q. Briefly, your duties and

Page 6 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 responsibilities in that particular 3 position. Α. Chief elected officer for 4 5 Westchester County Government. Responsible through the other various members of the 6 7 Executive Team for the administration of 8 County Government and its many different 9 iterations. 10 Prior to being elected to that 11 position, did you hold any other positions? A number of them. 12 I was a New 13 York State Senator from 2013, January of 14 2013, through December of 2017. I was a 15 member of the New York State Assembly from 16 January of 2005 until December of 2012. 17 Westchester County Legislature, from January of 1992 until December of 2004. 18 19 And a member of the Rye City Council from 20 January 1988 until December 1991. 21 are elected positions. 22 Q. Sure. 23 Did you have, prior to your 24 elected positions, can you just briefly go 25 through your professional career?

Page 7 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 Α. Sure. I spent 20 years, which overlaps some of my elective office, as a 3 sales and marketing executive in the 4 5 hospitality industry. I worked for 6 corporations that were subsidiaries of some 7 major corporations. 8 I worked for Stouffer 9 Corporation, which is a subsidiary of 10 Nestle. Sheraton Corporation, subsidiary 11 then, at that time, of ITT. And I worked 12 for a company called Benchmark Management 13 for 11 years. And I left my corporate 14 career in early 1998, and then did these 15 other positions full-time from that point 16 forward. 17 Mr. Latimer, there came a time 0. 18 where you became aware with regard to the 19 issues being litigated in this case, and let me, I am going to break that down so we 20 21 can figure out --22 Α. Mm-hmm. 23 -- with regard to, well, let's 0. 24 go back first to the Airport in general, 25 obviously, that is what we're going to be

Page 8 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 speaking a lot about now, are you familiar 3 with the concept of grandfathered management restrictions at the Airport? 4 5 I can't specifically 6 MR. NONNA: Object to the form, 7 but go ahead. 8 I can't specifically speak to Α. 9 the restrictions of the Airport, I 10 understand the general concept of 11 grandfathered. 12 Q. What is your understanding? 13 Α. That there are certain rules 14 and regulations which will apply even if 15 subsequent actions change that rule, 16 entity is grandfathered and operates under 17 prior rules even though things changed 18 subsequently. 19 Are you aware pursuant to a 20 settlement in a prior litigation that 21 Westchester County Airport was able to 22 maintain a certain level of rules and regulations pursuant to the FAA? 23 24 Α. My understanding is that there was litigation that ended in the mid 1980's 25

Page 9 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 that stipulated certain things that have 3 followed subsequently. Based on your understanding, 4 Q. 5 would the Airport, the County/Airport, be able to enact greater restrictions than 6 7 that which existed previously? 8 MR. NONNA: Objection to the 9 form. 10 I would assume that, and I 11 assume, I don't know, because I am not a 12 lawyer, that the court set perimeters, and 13 that within those perimeters, we would have 14 some flexibility in how we implemented 15 those things. We could not of our own 16 ability go beyond the perimeters of what 17 the court decided is my general sense of 18 how things work. 19 You were a County Legislator, Q. 20 you said, from 1992 to 2004? 21 Α. Correct. 22 Q. During that tenure as a County 23 Legislator in 2004, were you familiar with 24 a submission that the County submitted to 25 the FAA with regard to the Airport?

Page 10 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 Α. I am not familiar with what the 3 County Executive Branch of that date may have submitted to the FAA. I would -- I am 4 5 aware of those issues that came before the 6 Board of Legislators to take action of. 7 know now as a County Executive, there are 8 certain actions that an administration does 9 without legislative support, and some 10 actions that require legislative support. 11 As a County Legislator, I would 12 have been aware, generally speaking, of 13 those things that came before the Board of 14 Legislators and required Board of 15 Legislators' support. 16 What were the things you were Ο. 17 aware of at that time? 18 MR. NONNA: Objection. At the 19 Airport --20 MR. NELSON: I am following, I 21 am sorry, I am assuming we're 22 following the line of questioning. 23 0. When you said you were aware of 24 some things with regard to the Airport, 25 what were you aware of?

## GEORGE LATIMER

A. The first thing to be said is it is now 18 years since I left the County Board of Legislators, so there are things that happened during my tenure at the County Board of Legislators that are a distant memory, and my memory may not be as up to date as it might have been where I was speaking at that time.

Obviously, since I've become

County Executive for the last five years,

I've been involved in things in a different

way than I was at that point in time.

The general matter of the public policy of the County Airport is always a matter of public discussion and debate. As a public official, you're in public forums where people ask you questions. I remember very little about the specific conveyances and the documents of that day because I haven't had access to them over this period of time.

Q. You mentioned that as the County Executive, you became, and I am not quoting you, but you became sort of

Page 12 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 involved again in the issues with the Airport, can you explain that a bit? 3 4 Well, because now in this Α. 5 position, the team that I manage involves 6 direct operation of the County Airport. 7 When you're a legislator, you have 8 different responsibilities. Now, as a 9 County Executive, through the various 10 people, our Director of Operations, our 11 Department of Public Works/Transportation, 12 the management of the Airport falls within 13 the Executive Branch, so I am briefed and 14 have dialog with my Executive Team, and 15 those leaders of those departments on the 16 policy issues that relate to the Airport. 17 Are you aware that there was at 18 one point proposed legislation to the 19 County Legislators to permit litigation to 20 be commenced with regard to Airport 21 management? 22 Α. I am not --23 MR. NONNA: Objection to the 24 form. 25 Α. -- you need to be more specific

GEORGE LATIMER

for me to understand what I might have known or not have known.

Q. Sure.

Well, I guess, Mr. Latimer,
what I am asking is, when did the issue
with the Airport come to the forefront with
regard to your position as the County
Executive?

MR. NONNA: Objection to the form.

A. Well, I run for the office of County Executive in 2017, the management of the Airport and the function of the Airport is one of a myriad number of issues that I am asked about during the context of the campaign, County Jail, our sewer treatment plants, Playland, the various parks, so to the extent that during the course of the campaign, you have to be conversant at some general level about what's happening in those areas and talk in general terms of what you would do if you became County Executive, I was involved in that level, I was not privy to any particular documents

# GEORGE LATIMER

during my campaign season.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In 2018, I come into office, and I have the same myriad of issues that I have to deal with, and I work through the Executive Team that I have. Point Deputy County Executive; point of Director of Operations; Deputy Director of Operations; and every operating department, one or two exceptions, go through the operations department. So, when we sit as an Executive Team, it's eight or nine that surround the table, the Director of Operations and Deputy Director of Operations will report on each of those different things, I just mentioned a bunch of them, what happens in Corrections, what happens in the Health Department, what happens in Parks and Recreation, and the Airport as part of Transportation underneath the DPW, Department of Transportation is one of those areas. Beginning at that point in time going forward, we're looking at public

policy issues, not necessarily documents or

## GEORGE LATIMER

legal analysis of how the Airport is functioning.

When we came in, there were certain issues on the table that we had to begin the process of addressing, which we started to address and have continued to do that up to this point. There are budgetary issues, there are other operational issues, some of which are covered in this lawsuit and some of which all fall outside of this lawsuit, so.

- Q. With regard to the issues on the table you were mentioning when you came into office, what issues on the table were there with regard to the Airport?
- A. Well, I mean, in general, ordinance of operation, there is a commercial element to the Airport, there is a general aviation element to the Airport, there are environmental impacts to the Airport, there are reactions that relate to those people who live either around the Airport or on the flight path, their reactions to the Airport.

## GEORGE LATIMER

The Airport is an economic development tool for the way we go about dealing with the businesses of Westchester County, and that is an element of discussion. So, all of those things come into play.

What happens with the environmental impact of the Airport is not just limited to noise, it involves what impacts on the drinking watershed of Rye Lake, just north of it. What happens to storm water management. What might flow into the Blind Brook, that would go south of it into Long Island, Long Island Sound.

The traffic, ground level traffic that's generated by the Airport as well as the air level traffic generated by the Airport. All of those things are part of the general public policy issues that deal with the Airport.

The various entities of the Airport. The Fixed Base Operators, the airlines that operate out of the Airport, they all have aspirations and expectations

GEORGE LATIMER

of what should happen at the Airport operation.

And I would add that many things were impacted by the Covid outbreak, which came two years into my tenure, changed, obviously, the short term and the midterm realities at the Airport, and that created a complication unforeseeable during the context of the campaign.

- Q. You had mentioned that there were issues on the table, which we were just talking about, and you said some have to do with the substance of this lawsuit and some don't, which issues were on the table that had to do with the issues in this lawsuit?
- A. I think, in general, the operations on the commercial side of the Airport, and the operations on the general aviation side of the Airport, and how those interact.

We have the general understanding that there are restrictions that involve the commercial operation of

Page 18 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 the Airport, and there are very few, if 3 any, restrictions that operate on the general aviation side of the Airport, and 4 5 each of the different players have 6 different, you know, weights in terms of 7 how they impact the total operation of the 8 Airport, and what level of that operation 9 contributes either to environmental issues 10 or community issues or economic issues, all 11 are in some play between those different 12 things. 13 Q. Mr. Latimer, when you were 14 going through before and listing the issues 15 on the table, I am going to just keep using 16 that phrase --17 Yes, that is fine. Α. 18 -- you had mentioned FBO, Fixed Q. 19 Based Operators, at the Airport, can I 20 abbreviate that to FBO? 21 Α. Yes. 22 Q. What were the issues on the 23 table with regard to FBO's? 24 Α. Well, some of the FBO's were anxious to add to their available space 25

GEORGE LATIMER

additional hanger space. The general perception is that the Westchester market, the Westchester Airport market, which includes Westchester, Fairfield, and so forth, is a very lucrative market. There's great demand to operate out of this Airport by individuals and corporations, and many of the FBO's saw an opportunity for them to expand their business profitably by having additional services, additional hanger services, and other things they might find important.

And each of those different FBO's were at various stages of either having a proposal or not to extend their operations in some fashion.

- Q. Do you recall the name of any of the FBO's that you're speaking about?
- A. Million Air certainly heads the list of organizations that intended to do some additional work. There were some other proposals that have been discussed internally in which they have approached the administration, but the Million Air

Page 20 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 situation has gotten out into the public 3 domain, so I referenced that. 4 The other ones, while they're 5 under discussion and debate, they have not 6 necessarily gone out to public discussion 7 There haven't been proposals that 8 have been submitted formally that we're 9 obliged to act on. 10 When you took office in 2018, 11 right? 12 Yes, January 2018. Α. 13 In 2018, were one of the FBO Q. 14 operators that had issues on the table 15 Blade, are you familiar with that? 16 I was not familiar with the 17 name of Blade. 18 MR. NONNA: Can you repeat that 19 question, please? 20 (Whereupon, the referred to 21 question was read back by the 22 Reporter.) 23 MR. NONNA: I am objecting. 24 In 2018, I was not familiar Α. 25 with Blade as an entity known to me, not

Page 21 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 only when I took office, but it was not a 3 part of the discussion at all in the early stages of looking at the Airport as a 4 5 policy area. 6 Were you aware that the prior 7 administration, the Astorino 8 administration, was exploring privatization 9 of the Airport at some point? 10 Yes, I was aware of their 11 proposals. 12 When were you first made aware Q. 13 of the proposals? 14 As a candidate for office, you 15 know, I studied my predecessor's public 16 record, and became aware that he had had a 17 proposal that dated back to 2015/2016 for a 18 privatization arrangement, and then that 19 proposal was retracted, and then there was 20 a second one that involved Macquarie, that 21 came about a year later, that was part of 22 the 2017 budget process, which was 23 contemporary with my candidacy. 24 During my tenure as a State

Senator, prior to running for County

## GEORGE LATIMER

Executive, I was only marginally aware of what was happening at the County Government because I was focused on the State issues.

- Q. With regard to the proposals for the privatization of the Airport, did you actually have an opportunity to review any of the proposals?
- A. I reviewed both of them at some level.
- Q. Did those proposals include both commercial airlines as well as FBO's?
- A. My understanding, which may be imperfect, of the proposals was for the County to turn over the full operation and, therefore, the decision making process at the Airport to a private entity over a 20-year agreement, and so my presumption is is that meant that the private organization that would take over the Airport would then make decisions on all the issues, that the County would not be the decision maker, the private entity would be the decision maker.
- Q. Was it your understanding that the private entity would be the decision

Page 23 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 maker of all Airport operation? 3 That's my understanding, but I Α. did not ask for a briefing from my 4 5 predecessor to clarify it. 6 Did you have occasion to review 7 any reports generated by the County in 8 response to any of the proposals? No, I did not. 9 Α. 10 Are we talking about the time 11 before I became County Executive? 12 Well, I was actually asking at Q. 13 any time, and if you had, I was going to 14 limit it down, so. 15 This has to do with MR. NONNA: 16 the privatization proposals? 17 MR. NELSON: That is right. 18 Α. The privatization proposals 19 that my predecessor dealt with, I did not 20 have access to the documents and detail, 21 followed press reports and general conversation with members of the County 22 23 Legislature, which was, you know, which was 24 not the full amount of information that an 25 Executive would have.

Page 24 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 When I became County Executive in 2018, there was a proposal on the table 3 by Macquarie, and we dealt with that issue 4 5 at that time. 6 0. When you say we dealt with that 7 issue at that time, how did you deal with 8 it? 9 Α. Ultimately, we decided not to 10 privatize the Airport. 11 Was there any due diligence or 0. 12 discussion or debate or anything that lead 13 to that ultimate decision? 14 Α. All those things. 15 Q. Could you just describe it a 16 bit, the process? 17 Α. I tasked our operations team 18 and the Airport operations team, the DPW, 19 Transportation management that overseas the 20 Airport, and then my Executive Team, 21 Director of Operations, Deputy of 22 Operations, and others to evaluate the 23 Macquarie proposal, and then upon their 24 analysis, our Executive Team had 25 discussions about whether or not this is

Page 25 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 the direction we wanted to go in. 3 I had stated already during the campaign that I was opposed to 4 5 privatization, but when I came in, I wanted 6 to at least review the proposal that was on 7 the table and give Macquarie a fair chance 8 to make their case. And we ultimately chose not to go in the direction of 9 10 privatization, neither with Macquarie or 11 any other outside bidder, outside manager 12 or operations, not management, you know, 13 team that would take over the operations. 14 What is your view on Airport Q. 15 expansion? 16 Well, can you define how you 17 see the word expansion because it's a very 18 fluid concept in different people's minds? 19 I'm going to respectfully flip Q. 20 it on its head, how do you define Airport 21 expansion, and then I'll ask you what your 22 views are? 23 Well, expansion is, 24 unfortunately, a word that means so many 25 different things to so many people. In my

## GEORGE LATIMER

mind, expansion of an Airport is additional land, authorizing additional construction of buildings, allows for heavier equipment to come in, meaning a longer and stronger runway where you accommodate bigger planes, and, therefore, more people come through the Airport.

I was publicly in opposition to those elements of expansion through the campaign and to this day. We had the responsibility to repave the runway, which we did in the Covid year of 2020. When repaving it, we made a point of repaving the existing runway for safety, but not lengthening it, and not strengthening it. We made it as public policy, so that the equipment that could be served at the Airport would not be expanded to heavier equipment, heavier being able to accommodate more passengers.

You can't land a 747 Jet DC10 at that Airport, you can land a 737 and other equipment below that level.

We have not sought to add land

## GEORGE LATIMER

to the Airport. We have not sought to, from a public standpoint, construct public, additional public facilities that would accommodate a greater public use of the Airport.

So, to me, those were the things that to me represent expansion, now to different people means different things, and I don't necessarily accept another person's definition of expansion. I have humorously said for some people fixing the men and women's rooms is expansion at the Airport because it makes it a more comfortable environment for people, for passengers to use the Airport.

But I think we have been reasonable about looking at what I've just described as expansion, but I am not, you know, I don't debate the policy and the principal, in general, of expansion because it's too flexible, and it's opinions, and feelings.

The policies that we implement are along the lines I've just described.

GEORGE LATIMER

We have not added land to the Airport. We have not strengthened the runway to the Airport. We have not sought to accommodate officially more new FBO's at the Airport.

So, I think we're keeping with a policy

that I stated during my campaign.

- Q. You had just mentioned more new FBO's at the Airport, and I want to ask you a couple of questions about that, are there existing FBO's at this Airport?
  - A. There are.
- Q. With regard to the existing FBO's at the Airport, what is your view on those?
  - MR. NONNA: Objection to the form.
  - A. From my standpoint, the issue of the FBO's have a business model, and I do come out of a business background, they have certain aspirations to improve their business, and, therefore, they come to the County requesting County approval to do certain things, they are different depending on the FBO's.

## GEORGE LATIMER

Depending on what they ask is how we respond. We have not established a philosophy of FBO's. An FBO that came to us and said, you know, we need to modernize a building is one type of request. Another FBO that comes and says, we need to expand and add another hanger is a different kind of request. Comes out of the FBO request to us based on their perception of their business model and what can happen.

In general, you know, because this is something I am familiar with from my past experience, the market to use this Airport is significant, Westchester County, Fairfield County, and further up to Connecticut, the demand to use this Airport is potentially unlimited.

It is closer to LaGuardia, it's an easier drive for people who live in this area. We have major corporations in this area. They have every reason to want to see more and better service of whatever sort of Westchester County Airport.

So, I expect that the FBO's, as

## GEORGE LATIMER

they approach us, will have specific proposals to "expand" their services, and then we have to sit and look at their proposal to determine how viable it is given the other public policy issues that are at hand. We have to look at the environmental impacts, what they want to We have to look at the impact on noise, but not only noise, we have to look at the impact on water quality, and drainage. We have to look at the traffic impacts of what they want. We also look at the economic impact, how many jobs, what does it mean to those businesses that look at the Airport as a vital asset and why their headquarters are here, we try to put all those things in some perimeter of discussion to determine what our policies are.

In some cases, those policies aren't just made by the administration, Board of Legislators, depending on what the specific ask is. Certain things have to go before the Board, I rely on Counsel to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 31 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 advise. You know, we'll turn to our 3 Counsel and say, this particular proposal, does this require approval of the Board of 4 5 Legislators. If it does, then there's more 6 decision makers in the process. 7 In evaluating FBO's requests Q. from time to time, you listed, I am 8 9 assuming, a nonexhaustive list of 10 considerations? 11 Α. Mm - hmm. 12 Q. Is security a consideration as 13 well? 14 Α. Yes. 15 Q. Could you tell me a little bit 16 about what about security is a 17 consideration? 18 Well, I think, again, from a 19 generalist standpoint, I am not an airport 20 professional, the rules from TSA that have 21 changed since 9/11 require significant 22 security that didn't exist previously. In 23 my corporate years of business travel, 24 prior to 9/11, I traveled only 25 commercially, I was never on a charter

## GEORGE LATIMER

flight or any other type of private aviation, it was pretty easy to go in and out of an airport; post 9/11, it's changed. The security concerns that go through the FBO is something that will be defined by the TSA. I don't put my judgement in over the top of TSA, but I presume that there's a more complicated matter for TSA to ensure security of passengers that are taking private flights, whether they're two seater light aviation or charter for corporate jet or whatever it is.

So, we look at the TSA to determine what they think is the necessary components of security, and I assume it's significant because you don't have in multiple FBO's, the same physical layout that you have at a commercial airport, the size and scope of our airport, which you can have a central point of interaction, a central point of security, that takes the person from outside the security perimeter and inside the security perimeter.

Q. As you mentioned that ultimate

Page 33 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 security question would be up to the 3 Federal Government and the TSA? 4 Α. Correct. 5 Would the County have any input 6 with regard to that particular security 7 issue that you just --I assume we had input, I assume 8 Α. what TSA might, under some circumstances, 9 10 request is some additional structure 11 services to provide that security. And, 12 you know, it's the Federal Government, the 13 Federal Government operates, you know, 14 through the FAA, the air traffic systems, 15 so, you know, we certainly understand our 16 position as subordinate to whatever the 17 Federal Government chooses to require in 18 terms of security. 19 MR. NELSON: Let's mark this 20 Latimer 1. 21 (Whereupon, the aforementioned 22 document was marked as Latimer 23 Exhibit 1 for identification as of 24 this date by the Reporter.) 25 Q. Mr. Latimer, I am handing you

Page 34 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 what has been just today marked Latimer 1, and I am going to ask you to take a look at 3 that for a moment. 4 5 Do you recognize what that is? 6 Α. Yes, I do. 7 What is it? Q. 8 Α. This is a Committee Report 9 along with a Local Act that authorizes the 10 County Attorney to initiate legal action. 11 Requires the approval of the Board of 12 Legislators before it can happen. This was 13 the conveyance that allows that to occur. 14 More particularly, it allows 0. 15 for litigation with regard to the FBO's in 16 relation to the Airport Terminal Use 17 Procedures, is that your understanding? 18 MR. NONNA: Objection to the 19 form. 20 Well, I mean, I'll follow the Α. 21 language of this in which the litigation is 22 directed broadly to protect those 23 restrictions that are in force at the 24 Airport, and those entities that we believe 25 are in conflict with those protections.

Page 35 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 Q. Right, so, in the second 3 paragraph, it speaks to the Airport's Terminal Use Procedure, Section 712.462 of 4 the Laws of Westchester County, right, do 5 6 vou see --7 Α. Yes, I see the section. 8 0. And then in the next paragraph, 9 it speaks of the position that certain 10 FBO's are not in conformity with the TUP, 11 the Terminal Use Procedures? 12 MR. NONNA: Objection to the 13 form because it doesn't say that. 14 MR. NELSON: Mr. Nonna, that is 15 not an objection to the form, that is 16 now testifying for the witness. 17 please be very careful. 18 MR. NONNA: Reflecting what the 19 document says. 20 MR. NELSON: That is for the 21 witness to testify to. Listen, you 22 can object to form, but when you say 23 the document doesn't say that, that 24 is testifying. So, I don't want to 25 get into a situation where you're

Page 36 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 testifying. I know it's not your intention to, but my position is --3 MR. NONNA: My objection is to 4 5 form, and I think it was valid 6 objection. My statement in 7 connection is permissible as well, 8 but I understand what you are saying, 9 we'll move on. 10 MR. NELSON: I'll say one more thing, there are no speaking 11 12 objections under the local rules. 13 MR. NONNA: I didn't see that 14 as a speaking objection. 15 Q. Let me rephrase that, Mr. 16 Latimer, so we can move on. 17 Α. I am sorry, what was the 18 question? 19 I'm going to withdraw the Q. 20 question and I am going to rephrase it. 21 Α. Okay. 22 Q. In that paragraph, generally 23 speaking, it speaks to the committee being 24 informed by the County Attorney and the 25 Airport director that certain airlines

Page 37 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 operating at the Airport are in violation of the TUP's because they are operating, 3 not from the terminal, but rather from 4 5 FBO's, is that fair? 6 Α. That is what it says. 7 Q. On the next page, you can see 8 that this was dated January 31, 2022, all 9 the way at the top? 10 Mm-hmm, I see it. Α. 11 Mr. Latimer, when were you 0. 12 first made aware of the issues that are 13 contained in this legislature? 14 I couldn't give you a precise 15 date. I would assume, given the amount of 16 time it took for us to take some action, 17 sometime earlier in 2022. I was not -- I 18 was not aware of it prior to that period of 19 time. 20 Q. Well, this is dated January 31, 21 2022 --22 Α. Earlier than '21, yes, sometime 23 in '21, it would have been something that I 24 was aware of, and then we would have 25 discussed with our operations people and

## GEORGE LATIMER

our Counsel.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Do you recall what you were aware of in 2021?
- My memory is imperfect, so, I am not exactly sure how I can specify it, but it may have been through the Village of Rye Brook or some other source, but I was made aware, and it came through other executives on our team that there was operations occurring at the FBO's, that as was presented to me, that should not be operating at the FBO's, but should be operating through the terminal, and they would have to be part of a lottery system and gate system, which is how the commercial side operates, because the functional operation was a commercial operation, not a charter operation, based on the rules that were established.

The authority to litigate was the outgrowth of the discussion about the issue, and, uhm, some of that, I presume, is covered by our confidentiality, which would be the legal advice that we have been

GEORGE LATIMER

given as an Executive Team.

- Q. I am certainly not asking about that.
- A. I understand, but we made a decision to go through litigation because we thought that there was an issue that needed to be -- needed to be dealt with.
- Q. Let me take it a step now before, you mentioned there were conversations and internal communications, what prompted those conversations and internal communications?
- exactly how I personally was informed of this. I vaguely remember that in the Village of Rye Brook, there was knowledge of in some way, shape or form this action happening, and that it was brought to the attention of either our operations people, maybe the Airport, maybe the Transportation Department, and it came up as a matter of public policy, we had to discuss it. It may have come from an individual or individuals from the community, I don't

GEORGE LATIMER

recall a specific letter that I received that said I want to inform you of this. But whatever they became common knowledge within our operations team and Executive Branch, we then had discussions about this prior to moving forward with this legislation.

It would have happened during the course of 2021. I don't recall this issue having ever come across my radar screen prior to that in my tenure.

- Q. You had mentioned earlier, and, actually, we were just chatting before that you've used the Airport throughout your professional career?
  - A. I have.
- Q. When were you first aware of FBO's operating at the Airport?
- A. Truthfully, until I served the County Legislature, I had no exposure to what I would have called in those days the west side of the Airport. I thought of the Airport as being the terminal, and there are flights from known carriers of the day,

GEORGE LATIMER

whatever they were then, and whatever they are now, and if I needed to fly to Boston, Detroit, Washington, whatever, I could get a flight, commercial flight, out of Westchester as opposed to getting it out of a different airport.

I did not know about the FBO's,

I was not in a position to charter a plane
or the companies that I worked for, that
they would do that, so I knew there were
corporate jets out there for major
corporations, I didn't know much of the
structure of it prior to becoming a County
Legislator.

When I became a County
Legislator, and the matter of the Airport
and its operations, public policy, that's
when I learned about some of these policies
that came up. As a County Legislator in my
first County Legislative race in 1991, my
district was not one that had the Airport
as a major public policy matter, so the
issues that related to the Airport, its
operations, concerns or whatever else it

## GEORGE LATIMER

was, was more of what happened once I got into office, served as a legislator, and then those issues came up before all of us as a legislature rather than as it affected me in the district level.

- Q. With regard to the FBO's, and I keep centering back on that, it was during your term as Westchester County Legislator from somewhere between 1992 and 2004 that you really first learned about the operation of the FBO's at the Airport?
- A. Within the first year or two as my tenure of a legislator, understood. The budget was at the end of the each year, and when the budget is presented by the Executive Branch to the legislature, then you start to read every nook and cranny of operations of the things you don't know, you start asking questions in the budget process.

So, my first year in office as a County Legislator was 1992, the budget is presented in the fall of 1992, and by then certainly I had a grasp of the basic

GEORGE LATIMER

rudiments of the issue.

But most legislators tend to focus on the issues that affect their district primarily, and then only secondarily the issues that are outside of their district. So, I focused on County legislature I represented, Long Island Sound, Playland, you know, things that were germane to the district that I represented, and I became more knowledgeable about the County structure during my tenure.

- Q. You had mentioned that one of the things that aided you in understanding the Airport operations was the budget, did the budget contain breakdowns of revenue streams from the Airport?
- A. Budget summarized the revenue streams. The breakdowns would have to be requested as backup documents. Because you -- you -- we understand that the -- that the County Airport is like an enterprise fund, it sits outside of the main County budget, and whatever profit is made at the Airport cannot be applied to

Page 44 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 the rest of our services in the County Government. 3 So, you look at it as an entity 4 5 onto itself, and you look at its revenues, 6 then you look at it in light of its 7 expenditures as opposed to what you might 8 do somewhere else where you say, if we have 9 fees at Saxon Woods Park because we've 10 rented the pavilion, the more rentals we 11 get at the park, the more revenue we make, 12 the more profit we make, the more that 13 helps the bottom line of our County budget. 14 The Airport sits outside of that. So, you 15 don't look at the budget quite the same way 16 that you do other operational parts. 17 Is the Airport part of the 18 annual audit that the legislature does 19 every year? 20 I assume it is, it's a Α. 21 universal part of County Government. 22 Q. Let's go through some 23 documents. 24 MR. NELSON: Please mark 25 Latimer 2.

Page 45 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 (Whereupon, the aforementioned 3 document was marked as Latimer Exhibit 2 for identification as of 4 5 this date by the Reporter.) 6 Mr. Latimer, I am handing what 7 has been marked as Latimer 2, it's a 8 multiple-page document, and I am going to 9 ask you, I am not going to ask you to read 10 through the whole thing, if you can thumb 11 through it because I am going to ask you if 12 you recognize what this is, the extent that 13 you need to look through it to answer that 14 question. I don't believe I've ever seen 15 Α. 16 this document before, but it has been a while since I was on the County 17 18 Legislature. This document is dated March 19 of 2004. 20 Ο. And you were still part of the 21 County Legislature? 22 Α. I was a member of the County Legislature. 23 24 Q. Looking through it, can you 25 now, based upon your experience, recognize

Page 46 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 what it is? I understand what it is, I 3 Α. don't recognize it. 4 5 Sure. Well, then let me 6 rephrase it, can you understand what it is? 7 Α. Yes. Can you tell me what your 8 0. 9 understanding of what it is? 10 Well, within the minute and a 11 half that I've had it in my possession --12 Take your time. Q. 13 No, that's okay, if I read the 14 whole thing through, I'd have to read 15 through it for a while to grasp it, it's 16 obviously detailed, this looks like a 17 conveyance that explains the history of the 18 use restrictions of Westchester County 19 Airport in some detail which goes back to 20 things that happened that lead up to the 21 mid 1980's lawsuit case in detail. 22 In fact, I would probably like 23 to read this outside of the context of this 24 deposition. 25 Q. In fact, if you look at, if you

Page 47 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 look at the bottom right hand, you'll see 3 Bates stamp numbers that I will refer to for the ease of finding the page I am 4 speaking about. If you turn to the page 5 6 that has 5768, it's actually just the third 7 page. 8 Α. Yes. 9 0. That is a memorandum from the 10 Westchester County Airport to the FAA, is 11 that right? 12 I assume so, but it doesn't say Α. 13 who it's from, it says who it's to, what 14 the subject is. Westchester County 15 Airport, I don't know, when I look at this 16 document, if this was generated by the 17 Executive Administration of the day or by 18 the Airport management of the day. And I 19 was looking to see if the Board of 20 Legislators was specifically copied on 21 this, which I don't see. I'd have to go 22 through it, but. 23 But obviously it was submitted 24 by Westchester to the FAA, that's obviously

clear.

Page 48 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 Q. Is it your understanding that 3 in or about 2004 that in order for the Airport to maintain certain policies that 4 5 it must get the consent of the FAA? 6 I generally know that the FAA 7 has to consent to most things that happen 8 down at the Airport. I don't recall what 9 the issue of the day was in 2004 or since this is earlier in 2004 and 2003, I don't, 10 11 I mean, I have to look at some of these 12 internal documents, but I don't know that 13 all of this was clear to members of the 14 legislature at this point in time because 15 there are some things that the Executive 16 Branch communicates to the FAA. 17 As I said earlier, only bring 18 the legislature in on when the legislature 19 had to take action. 20 I am going to now direct your Q. 21 attention within the same document to 5839, 22 that is a good ways through. 23 Yep, I got it. Α. 24 Do you recognize what 5839 Q. 25 through -- do you recognize what begins on

## GEORGE LATIMER

2 5839?

- A. Once again, it's not a question of recognition, it's if I have seen it and seeing it again, as I am reading it, I understand what it is, it is a draft proposed law, which would be enacted by the Board of Legislators to do those following things.
- Q. Then your all be it brief review of this Exhibit, is it your understanding that this draft law was being submitted to the FAA for its review and approval?
- A. Well, I presume that because of the nature of the conveyance and when it was done, I do recall that later in the year, the Board of Legislators took some action. So, this is a package by its own statement that was a review of the FAA in March of 2004, and then the document that you've asked me to look at on page 5839 is a draft presumably of what the administration would submit to the Board of Legislators for our approval to implement

Page 50 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 elements in this document, that is what I presume this is, if I were to read the 3 whole thing. 4 5 Understood. 0. 6 And, again, I know you haven't 7 read the whole thing, that is why I am 8 directing you to particular pages. 9 If, in fact, what begins on 10 5839 was submitted to the FAA for its 11 approval, would it be the practice of the 12 County of Westchester to submit a local 13 law, a proposed local law, that is 14 different from what begins on 5839 for 15 actual inaction for the legislature? 16 MR. NONNA: Objection to the 17 form. 18 Α. My experience has been, not on 19 this particular issue, but on general 20 issues, as with any other laws or agencies, 21 State government or the Federal government, 22 we, the County, may propose something for 23 their comment. I would have to look at 24 subsequent responses to this document from 25

the FAA to determine if there was a dialog

GEORGE LATIMER

that occurred between the County and the FAA that would then change what is being proposed here before it comes to the legislature.

My recollection, and I assume you have a document that would improve my recollection, was that there was action taken in this general area later in the What I don't know is what comes in between this document and what was submitted to the Board of Legislators, and if there were modifications, and if there were modifications, were they subsequently approved by the FAA. This document is what's requested by the County, I don't know what the response of the FAA is to this request, whether or not they authorized or didn't, whatever wound up in the action that we took later in the Airport.

Q. Understood.

If the FAA responded to this type of submission and said, yes, but we require A, B, C, D, there may be further

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 52 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 dialog that ensued? 3 MR. NONNA: Objection to the 4 form. 5 Then there may be, and I am 6 speculating, but there may be changes what 7 was submitted to the Board of Legislators, 8 you know. I know from my current 9 experience that we have many iterations of 10 things back and forth before we submit 11 something to the Board of Legislators, 12 sometimes we consult with leadership, 13 sometimes we don't, it depends on the 14 issues and circumstances. What we submit 15 publicly, which goes on the public record 16 that people can see, is the 17 administration's "final" position on it 18 subject to the legislature negotiating and 19 wanting to make changes. 20 And I started asking you Ο. 21 before, but if the FAA says what you said 22 before, says we need further dialog, 23 further dialog would ensue, is that right? 24 Α. Yes, I assume there would be 25 dialog, might have draft language between

GEORGE LATIMER

them, I don't know.

1

2

3

4

5

- Q. If the FAA says, this is approved, what would happen at that point?

  MR. NONNA: Objection to the form.
- 7 Well, I don't know, not being a 8 lawyer, I don't know exactly what 9 implementation step the Law Department of that day would take from the -- from a 10 11 letter of approval, to then craft the 12 legislation that would go before us, I 13 assume that day Andy Spano, County 14 Executive of that day, had his executives, 15 they had some discussions, and then they 16 submit them to the Board. The Counsel of 17 that day, '04, was it Alan Scheinkman, I 18 forgot who was County Attorney at that 19 time, but that County Attorney also advises 20 the Board of Legislators. I am sitting 21 downstairs at the Board of Legislators, the 22 County Attorney's office submits to us a 23 document, and asserts that it's a legal 24 form, we can act on it in the form that 25 it's on.

# GEORGE LATIMER

At that time, I am not sure even if it's true at this time, at that point in time, the Board of Legislators had no independent Counsel, that's different from other levels of government. There is a Counsel to the State Assembly, and a Counsel to the State Senate, and they interpret what the Governor's, you know, legal branch provides, and sometimes there is conflict.

The Board of Legislators would receive a piece of legislation from the Executive, and would consult the County Attorney. The same County Attorney would advise the County Executive, and we would presume as the legislator that this is in proper form to discuss and it had gone through whatever the vetting process.

Q. Let me just take it a step further, if the FAA responds to the submission and says in substance that local law that you proposed beginning on 5839, of course, those numbers weren't there, but for the sake of the question, the local law

## GEORGE LATIMER

that you propose beginning on 5839, that is approved, would it then be incumbent upon the County to submit the local law that begins on 5839 to the legislature?

It's still the Executive prerogative to submit the law when and how they choose to. So, you may have approval to do something from the higher level, you may -- you may determine that you have the ability to slightly adjust the law, I don't know, this is the matter of discussion between the Counsel, County Attorney of that day, and the County Executive of that day, to determine if they have flexibility, been given approval by the FAA, whatever else happened, blah, blah, so, back and forth, correspondence that modifies this or doesn't modify it, but that at that point in time, the Executive and the County Attorney would determine the actual form of what would go before the Board of Legislators.

If the Board, chairman of that day would have been Bill Ryan, he would

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

## GEORGE LATIMER

probably be aware of it earlier than the rest of the members would be, if he saw some differentiation, might ask a question, might come up in committee discussion, might not, I can't tell you, and I can't remember.

I served on both the transportation and the legislation committee at that time, but it's 18 years ago, and if I may be candid, in 2004, I was running for the New York State Assembly, that was a campaign, I attended meetings here and did my job here, but I was also focused at that point on State issues for the sake of that campaign, so I was not privy to any of the conversations that may have gone on between this draft, its potential approval, and the form of its approval, and whatever was submitted to the Board when it was submitted.

- Q. As County Executive, if under your administration --
- A. Right.
  - Q. -- a draft of legislation was

## GEORGE LATIMER

submitted to the FAA, and you wished to make substantive changes after its approval, would you then seek further approval by the FAA for those substantive changes?

 $\mathtt{MR}$ .  $\mathtt{NONNA}$ : Objection to the form.

A. The key is what determines substantive. If I looked at a set of changes, and I thought that they were substantive, and if I thought that they were not consistent with the original submission, if I were adding a restriction of some sort, then I might feel the need to go back to the FAA, and I will give you an example of it, if they have a restriction, voluntary flight restriction at 12 midnight, and if I thought that 12 midnight was too late, and I wanted to change it to 11:00, that would be a more restrictive curfew, and I would presume that I would go back to the FAA for approval.

If I wanted to make it a less restrictive curfew, and I said, you know

## GEORGE LATIMER

what, we could live with a 1 a.m. curfew, this is all theoretical, right, all theoretical, a 1 a.m. curfew is less restrictive, I would make the presumption that the Airport, the airplanes, and the various entities would prefer a later curfew, so I wouldn't consider that a necessity to go back to the FAA because I was making it less restrictive within a perimeter of restriction, I was actually doing something that was for the benefit of the other side of this agreement by making it less restrictive. That's all theoretical because that never came up, but that is, you asked me in the current state how I would look at it, that's how I would look at it.

What changes I would make to make something more restrictive, then, yes, I would probably need to go back to the FAA going forward theoretically.

If I made something less restrictive, I would assume that the FAA wouldn't mind, and airline industry would

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 59 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 appreciate it, so I would make it less restrictive. And I would presume, if my 3 County Attorney agreed with me, that I did 4 not have to go back and get additional 5 6 approval. 7 This is all theoretical, you've 8 asked me a theoretical, I am trying to 9 think it through as I am sitting here. 10 Understood. You're not Q. 11 endorsing a later curfew through your 12 answer, I understand. 13 Α. No, I'm just trying to get the 14 most simplest way to compare whatever it is 15 we're talking about. 16 MR. NELSON: This is now going 17 to be Latimer 3. 18 (Whereupon, the aforementioned 19 document was marked as Latimer 20 Exhibit 3 for identification as of 21 this date by the Reporter.) 22 Q. Mr. Latimer, you've been handed 23 Latimer 3, and I would like you to take a 24 look at it, and take your time, again, 25 unless you want to, you don't need to read

Page 60 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 every word, but I am going to ask you if 3 you have an understanding as to what this document is. 4 5 But definitely take your time 6 so you'll be able to answer that question. 7 I've done a cursory reading of 8 the first document. 9 Mr. Latimer, first of all, have Q. 10 you seen the document before? 11 I have not seen it prior to Α. 12 this morning. 13 Q. What is your understanding as to what that document is? 14 15 Α. This appears to be the FAA 16 individual of that day, if I could find his 17 name, Mr. Whitlow, Deputy Chief Counsel, 18 his clarification of understanding from the 19 FAA's standpoint as relating to the various 20 restrictions at Westchester County Airport, 21 and they go back over a history of it, 22 lawsuit and stipulation, and the implementation of it with some, you know, 23 24 very specific references as to how it was 25 to be implemented --

Page 61 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 Q. At the time -- that was an 3 instance where I interrupted you, and I apologize. 4 5 Α. It's okay. 6 I am going to read a sentence 7 on the top of the second page and ask you a 8 question about it, it says, "According to 9 the County, all changes will make the 10 limitations less restrictive than those in 11 effect today." 12 With regard to that sentence, 13 do you have any reason to believe that that 14 is not an accurate statement? 15 Α. Do I have any reason to believe 16 that that is not accurate? 17 No, I believe it is still 18 accurate, to the best of my knowledge, this 19 was made in '04, obviously, I can't speak 20 to what's happened between that time and 21 when we came into the office, I don't know 22 that we have made anything to make it -- to 23 make a change that makes it more 24 restrictive, which would be opposite of 25 what is stated here.

Page 62 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 Q. Let me go now to page 5906, 3 that's page 8 of the document. All right. 4 Α. 5 I am going to read this 6 sentence to you and ask you a question 7 about it, but it's the paragraph under the 8 set of bullets, starts with based, the 9 words based upon, do you see --10 Yes, based upon. Α. 11 Right, "Based upon the above, 0. 12 we can conclude that Westchester County has 13 had an access restriction in effect on or 14 before October 1, 1990, and as a result, 15 the County's restriction is "grandfathered" 16 under ANCA and is therefore not subject to 17 its requirement." 18 What is your understanding of 19 that sentence? 20 MR. NONNA: Objection to the 21 form. 22 Α. My understanding, layman's 23 understanding is, is that whatever ANCA 24 rules were created, the restrictions at 25 Westchester County predate them, and,

Page 63 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 therefore, it is grandfathered as asserted 3 or as agreed to, if you want to call it that, by the author of this letter, who at 4 5 the time was Counsel of the FAA. 6 I believe you said this, but 7 your understanding that this June 9, 2004 8 letter was in response to the submission 9 that we had talked about under Latimer 2 --10 Α. I assume that is the case. 11 Now, I don't know who the law firm is here, 12 Kaplan, Kirsch, and Rockwell, and who they 13 represented. They represent somebody that 14 he felt the need to inform. So, perhaps an 15 airline that is represented by Kaplan, 16 Kirsch, and Rockwell, I don't know, I don't 17 know. 18 MR. NELSON: Let's continue. 19 This is Latimer 4. 20 (Whereupon, the aforementioned 21 document was marked as Latimer 22 Exhibit 4 for identification as of 23 this date by the Reporter.) 24 Mr. Latimer, I am handing you Q. 25 what has been marked Latimer 4, again, I am

Page 64 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 going to ask you to look through that, and 3 I am going to ask you two questions, one, have you seen it before, and, two, what is 4 5 your understanding of what it is, as much 6 time as you need to review it? 7 Α. Okay. 8 With regard to that document, 0. 9 number one, have you seen it before? 10 Α. I signed it, so, yes. 11 What is it? 0. 12 Α. This is a conveyance from the 13 Executive, well, it is a conveyance from 14 the Board of Legislators with a committee 15 report and a committee vote that 16 establishes a series of rules, protections, I would call them, at the County Airport, 17 18 which is voted out by two committees, I was 19 a member of both, transportation and 20 legislation, which I eluded to earlier. 21 And my signature as a member of both of 22 those two committees. 23 And I do remember this going 24 before the Board of Legislators, this 25 document does not convey -- yes, it does

Page 65 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 convey the final vote, which was unanimous and bipartisan, the adoption of this 3 4 legislation. 5 So, you mentioned that your 6 signature is on page 856, it's actually on 7 that page, it's on the upper right-hand 8 corner? 9 Α. Yes. 10 That is your signature? Q. 11 That is my signature on both Α. 12 committees. 13 Q. Right, on both sides, George 14 Latimer? 15 Yep. Α. This means it was 16 reported out of each committee favorably. 17 I am going to ask you a 18 question then on page 855, that is the 19 bottom page, that is 3581, if you're 20 looking at the top page, and I am going to 21 read, as I did before, a sentence and ask 22 you a quick question. 23 "Your committee is informed 24 that, in order to secure FAA consent, it 25 was necessary to demonstrate to the FAA

Page 66 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 that the provisions of the local law are no 3 more restrictive than the restrictions that had been in effect at the Airport since 4 5 1990." 6 Do you agree with that 7 sentence? 8 Α. Well, the sentence says that 9 the committee, which I am a member of, has 10 been informed that this is accurate. Being 11 informed by the Executive Branch and County 12 Attorney. So, we're acknowledging that 13 we're told that these are no more 14 restrictive than what has been in place. 15 Q. I'm also going to read the next 16 sentence, "In fact, had the County 17 attempted to adopt new or more stringent 18 restrictions, not only would the effort 19 have been denied, but the County could have 20 lost all the restrictions that are 21 currently in place." 22 What is your understanding with regard to that sentence? 23 24 Α. It is very straight forward, 25 which is that this document codifies what

Page 67 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 protections had been in place, and any 3 desire to add additional protections, whatever those might be, could jeopardize 4 5 these protections, and that is the 6 rationale for why these particular 7 protections are being asked to be codified 8 in this regulation document. 9 Q. Let's keep going through the 10 pages, we have touched upon your signature 11 page, which is the following page, not just 12 your signature page, the committee's 13 signature page, and then on page 3583, at 14 the top page number, what is this document, 15 what is this page? 16 Α. 3583? 17 Q. Yes, I went to the top number 18 of pages, they're sequentially numbered. 19 Α. Oh, I am sorry. 20 MR. NONNA: 3583 at the top 21 right. 22 Α. This is a conveyance from the 23 Planning Department that the SEQRA review 24 process has been done on this particular 25 piece of legislation, and that there was no

# GEORGE LATIMER

further internal review required as a type two action.

- Q. Let's go to the next page, which is 3584, can you tell me what that page is?
- A. This is what we would call the short form, SEQRA status, the Planning Department reviews the law, and then asserts that it's classified as a type two action, and gives the reference that it is -- it does not need a more detailed study, that this is sufficient to qualify what its environmental impact, that it would not be a negative impact, describe what you would have to do to mitigate the impact.
- Q. Let's go to the next page, which is 3585, can you tell me what this page, and candidly, following pages are?
- A. This is the local law itself that is being adopted. What we had earlier is a committee report, SEQRA review, and the actual language itself of the law that would codify those different regulations.

Page 69 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 Q. Is this, starting on 3585, is 3 this the law that was actually codified or is this proposed legislation or something 4 5 else? 6 This is actually what was 7 passed. This is what was voted on, 17, I 8 think, by the Board. 9 (Whereupon, an off-the-record 10 discussion was held.) 11 Who is Susan Spear? 0. 12 Α. Susan Spear is currently the 13 Deputy Commissioner of the Department of 14 Emergency Services. She previously served 15 in our executive chamber on the 9th floor 16 in operations. 17 What were her duties and 0. 18 responsibilities in operations? 19 Well, she was the No. 3 person Α. 20 behind Joan McDonald and Emily Saltzman. 21 Joan would have assigned her certain areas 22 of public policy to monitor and report on 23 when she was with us upstairs, and I can't 24 recall how long ago she left to become a 25 Deputy Commissioner. She was promoted to

	Page 70
1	GEORGE LATIMER
2	become a Deputy Commissioner.
3	Q. In 2019, was she also your
4	assistant?
5	A. She wasn't my assistant. The
6	way I've described her position is what
7	she's had. You know, she and I, as
8	everybody on the 9th floor, interact with
9	each other, and I might ask a person to do
10	a particular thing, but she's not an
11	assistant, she had direct responsibility in
12	role and operations.
13	Q. Did she from time to time
L <b>4</b>	e-mail for you?
15	A. E-mail for me?
16	Q. For you?
17	A. Perhaps, you know, in
18	responding to something.
19	MR. NELSON: Can I have this
2 0	marked Latimer 5?
21	(Whereupon, the aforementioned
22	document was marked as Latimer
2 3	Exhibit 5 for identification as of
2 4	this date by the Reporter.)
2 5	Q. Mr. Latimer, I am handing you

Page 71 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 Latimer 5, it's an e-mail from Susan Spear, 3 if you look down under her e-mail signature, it does say, assistant to 4 5 Westchester County Executive George 6 Latimer? 7 Yes, the legal title for the 8 people that we have on the 9th floor are 9 all assistants. 10 Understood. Q. 11 Budgetary assignment. If you Α. 12 look at the business cards, if you go 13 upstairs right now, you could look at the 14 budget right now and it will say assistant, 15 assistant one, assistant two, but if you 16 look at the business cards, it will say, 17 director of policy and program, particular area or whatever else the other title is. 18 19 With regard to this particular Q. 20 e-mail dated March 1, 2019, looks like 9:46 21 a.m., is that --22 Α. Yes. 23 It looks like there is a Google 0. 24 search she's indicating, but below that, it says, also note JetSmarter TUR/TUA 25

Page 72 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 compliance is a developing issue, do you 3 see where she says that? I see it, yes. 4 Α. 5 Ο. What is your understanding --6 I have no understanding, never 7 seen this before, wasn't copied on it. 8 not sure what JetSmarter is. I don't know 9 what this Google search that was done at 10 that time. 11 0. Do you know what TUA stands 12 for? 13 Α. Terminal Use Agreement. 14 Looks like perhaps the TUR may 0. 15 have been TUP, typo, for Terminal Use 16 Procedure, but we'll leave that aside. 17 Α. When I see it --18 MR. NONNA: Is that a question? 19 MR. NELSON: No, it was just a 20 comment. 21 Α. Okay. 22 I am sorry, you were saying, Q. 23 you weren't answering a question, but was 24 there something else you wanted to add? 25 All it is is that when I see Α.

Page 73 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 TUR, I interpret it to mean Terminal Use 3 Regulations, and TUA is Terminal Use Agreement. 4 5 Are you familiar with an entity 6 called JetSmarter? 7 Α. I am not. 8 Let's look at the Google search 0. 9 that she had put in there, and, again, I am 10 not, we're going to focus more on the words 11 rather than the numbers, and so on and so 12 forth, but do you see where it says, well, 13 I'll read it, it says, HTTP// I am going to 14 skip over, private-charter-standard-15 security-program-what-you-need-to-know, 16 does that help you at all with regard to 17 your understanding of what JetSmarter is? 18 Α. No. 19 Please mark this MR. NELSON: 20 6. 21 (Whereupon, the aforementioned 22 document was marked as Latimer 23 Exhibit 6 for identification as of 24 this date by the Reporter.) 25 Q. Mr. Latimer, I am handing you

Page 74 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 Latimer 6. 3 Α. Okay. Again, it's an e-mail chain, 4 Q. 5 and I think what makes sense is let's sort 6 of start from the bottom up, which is 7 chronological order, do you see an e-mail 8 on the bottom from an individual named 9 Peter Schlactus? 10 Yes, I do. Α. 11 0. Do you know who that is? 12 Α. I do. 13 Q. Who is Peter Schlactus? 14 Α. He's a resident of the Village 15 of Rye Brook, he heads the Village of Rye 16 Brook Airport Committee, and he serves on 17 our Airport Advisory Board. More recently 18 he's a principal in an advisory group, 19 Airport group, concerned about Airport 20 expansion. 21 The e-mail is March 1, 2019, 7:59 a.m., that is the one I am looking at. 22 23 Same. Α. 24 To Susan Spear, that is the Q. 25 same person we were speaking about earlier,

Page 75 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 right? 3 Α. Mm-hmm. This e-mail, take a moment to 4 Q. 5 read it, it's not particularly long, take a 6 moment to read through it. 7 Okay, I've read it. Is it your understanding that 8 0. Mr. Schlactus was, in part, responding to 9 10 the County Law Department's conclusion that 11 the Terminal Use Procedures did not apply 12 to JetSmarter or Federal Aviation Regulation, Part 135, Air Carrier 13 14 Operations? 15 Well, that is what he states in 16 his letter or his conveyance. I don't see 17 a prior conveyance from someone in the Law 18 Department that says those things, but he's 19 certainly saying that. I don't know, you 20 know, I am unaware of JetSmarter, I've 21 never seen this e-mail chain before, so I 22 don't want to try to guess as to what 23 generated Peter's e-mail. 24 But, as I've said, he has a 25 number of different positions that give

GEORGE LATIMER

him, you know, concern and access to information about the Airport, so I am not surprised to see an e-mail like this.

Q. Ms. Spear responds at 9, sorry at 10:49 a.m., and says, "See below from AAB Chairman Peter Schlactus in response to the JetSmarter e-mail I sent him yesterday, as we discussed. He is pushing back on legal opinion. Any suggestions for a response?"

Is it your understanding that Susan Spear, in fact, sent an e-mail to Mr. Schlactus regarding JetSmarter?

A. Well, I don't know what the -I don't know what precedes that, and I
don't know what follows this. I see what I
see here, and I believe that during this
period of time, Susan was the liaison in
her responsibilities to the AAB. So, it is
not at all surprising to me that Schlactus
could be communicating, he was chairman of
Airport Advisory Board at that point, the
County wide Airport Advisory Board, he
would have likely sent her something, and

## GEORGE LATIMER

she would be responding.

What I read here is just what you see, he sees something, he's eluding to a County Law Department response, but he sees something that he thinks is a concern, he's sending it to her, and she's in turn contacting people in the Law Department, our chief of staff at the top to, you know, get a response, to evaluate a response to Schlactus.

- Q. Is it your understanding that there is an additional e-mail, additional to these two e-mails, I should say, that Susan Spear sent Peter Schlactus on the day before March 1, 2019, which I guess would either be February 28, 2019 or if it were a leap year, February 29, 2019?
- A. I am not aware of any other e-mails in this chain, if that is what you're asking me. I saw what you gave me a minute ago, which looks like it's around the same time, same date. But these are the first two documents of seems to be this communication that went back and forth.

Page 78 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 MR. NELSON: Let's mark this 3 Latimer 7. (Whereupon, the aforementioned 4 5 document was marked as Latimer Exhibit 7 for identification as of 6 7 this date by the Reporter.) 8 Mr. Latimer, I am handing you 9 Latimer 7, I would like you to take a look 10 at that. 11 Α. Okay. 12 Mr. Latimer, the main body of Q. 13 this e-mail is an e-mail from Christopher 14 Bradbury to you sent on April 26th, April 15 26, 2021 at 2:15 p.m., do you see that 16 portion of it? 17 Α. Yes, mm-hmm. 18 Q. Do you recognize the e-mail? 19 Α. Yes. 20 And what was your understanding Q. 21 of what Mr. Bradbury was conveying to you? 22 Α. Well, if you remember earlier 23 in my deposition, you asked me a question 24 of when was I made knowledgeable about certain things, so I can't recall 25

Page 79 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 specifically when I thought I got 3 communications from Village of Rye Brook, I believe I said this already, it was 4 5 sometime earlier in 2021, so this was most 6 likely what I was thinking of without 7 remembering the specifics. 8 Chris, as a Village 9 administrator, I know for a long, long 10 time, I represented Rye Brook in my 11 legislative positions previously in the 12 State Legislature, we had regular dialog, 13 and he would normally send me things that 14 he thought I should know about certainly 15 the Airport. 16 I recall seeing this document, 17 I did not know what Blade was prior to 18 getting this particular document. I see 19 Ellen Hendrickx' conveyance to a couple of 20 individuals. To the best of my 21 recollection, when I saw this document, I 22 alerted operations people to this. 23 I, you know, I don't have a 24 copy of whether I took this e-mail and then 25 sent it to the Executive Committee, that

## GEORGE LATIMER

would have been what I might have normally done, but I don't know that I did or didn't do that. But I know that we had a conversation about this at an Executive Committee meeting that would have come up in some approximate time to this.

At the time Ellen Hendrickx sent this, she was Deputy Director of Intergovernmental Activity, which is how she would have been interacting with Chris Bradbury, a municipal ask, and she was responsible for the municipal side of our intergov. She's now Director of Research, still on the Executive Team.

But, uhm, you know, I didn't see her conveyance of it to these people, but these would be some of the people on our Executive Committee. That would be Joan McDonald, Emily Saltzman, Ken Jenkins would have also been made aware of this.

I did see this and I did recognize that we had to investigate what this was and have some response back to Chris so he knew that we were on top of it.

GEORGE LATIMER

Q. Who is Andrew Ferris?

- A. He's Chief of Staff on the 9th floor. He reports directly to me. He's one of the few people that does not report to Joan McDonald. He is, in essence, the person who helps the implement the things that I want to see done amongst the Executive Team.
  - Q. Who is Steven Bass?
- He's the Director of Α. Intergovernmental Affairs, I guess, I forgot what we exactly call it in the He had that position, he's had that position with us three years, and certainly during this period of time. his interaction with this would have been because he was overall responsible for our work in intergovernmental which includes how the Executive Team interacts with State, Federal, County Board of Legislators, and local government. So Ellen as his subordinate, Deputy Director, is informed by something on the local government so she's keeping Steven

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

# GEORGE LATIMER

informed, and she also informs Andrew Ferris and Joan.

- Q. Do you know what was done by your administration in response to this e-mail?
- A. Well, I can't tell you the exact next step, but this e-mail triggered all of us being aware that there was this entity Blade that was operating, and apparently operating in a different way than other entities have operated at the Airport.

I eluded to it earlier that my general sense at the Airport was that there was a commercial side and a general aviation side. In my mind or at least I had some understanding of what segmented each of those two things. When I read this, which made me go, okay, this is something different, this is taking an element that conceivably should operate out of the commercial side, but operating it out of the general aviation side, what is the, you know, what is the

Page 83 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 backup of this. 3 And, you know, I make the presumption that because Chris is the 4 5 Village Administrator, Peter Schlactus, with his many titles, is the head of the 6 7 Village's Airport Advisory Board, may have 8 said something to Chris, and Chris 9 generated this letter to me. 10 Which includes on this, Paul 11 Rosenberg, who is the mayor at the time of 12 the Village, and Stephanie Fischer, who is 13 a member of the Village Board, Nancy Barr 14 is the County Legislator that represents that area, Alex Marshall is the assistant 15 16 to Chris Bradbury, so really telling 17 everybody in his world of interaction with 18 the County that this is a concern. 19 Peter Schlactus is not in Q. 20 government, is that right? 21 Well, he serves, as I 22 mentioned, in two capacities as on boards 23 and commissions as a voluntarily 24 individual. The Airport Advisory Board, 25 he's now a member of the Airport Advisory

GEORGE LATIMER

Board, he's on a chair, it's an unpaid position, advisory position, but "in government" because he has a seat at the table, and he is the chair of the Village's advisory board on this, also unpaid, and so in that regard, he is, you know, he provides advice to the Village on these issues. He lives near the Airport, very active in these things.

- Q. You may have said this before, Ellen Hendrickx, what was her position?
- A. At the time of this memo, she was the deputy or assistant, I forgot the title, Assistant Director of
  Intergovernmental Services, which meant that she was subordinate to Steve Bass.
  She is currently our Director of Research, I moved her to a different function, same office upstairs, but at this time she was involved in intergov, and she was, uhm, she was copied on this, and as you see, she was the first person copied by Chris, so she was the local contact for Chris, as was, by the way, she was the local in municipal

Page 85 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 government, we would get God knows how many 3 different e-mails from any of the 45 governments, and Ellen was copied on it, 4 5 and she takes the initiative to tell her boss Steve and other folks. 6 7 Now, she didn't send this to 8 me, I didn't see this initially because I 9 had gotten it directly from Chris. So, she 10 felt, I'm assuming the people she sent it 11 to are not on the original conveyance list. 12 She saw the e-mail from Chris to me did not 13 include Andrew, Steve or Joan, that is why 14 she sent it to them. 15 Q. You had known Chris Bradbury 16 for some time? 17 Α. A long time. 18 Would you normally have Ο. 19 received this type of e-mail or do you 20 think you got it because of the 21 relationship or some other scenario? 22 Α. I think -- I think it is -it's normal for a town or village 23 24 administrator to contact us as a government 25 directly if there is a County issue.

## GEORGE LATIMER

you know, a County bus shelter is in poor shape, that sits within a town or city, they would convey to the County government, this bus shelter, which you own, there is a problem, we need to have some action.

When I look at who he copied, he copied his County Legislator, Nancy Barr, and me as the other elected official that represents them. The fact that we have a working and a friendship, you know, a working friendship, we knew each other very well over an extended period of time means he had a comfort of doing that.

Maybe someone that didn't know me that well would have sent to the County Legislators and not to me. When I get something like this, it's not a surprise, the nature of the relationship you develop over time.

MR. NELSON: This is Latimer 8.

(Whereupon, the aforementioned document was marked as Latimer Exhibit 8 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.)

Q. Mr. Latimer, do you know, are

Page 87 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 you familiar with a company called AvPORTS? 3 Α. Yes. Can you tell me what AvPORTS 4 Q. 5 is? 6 Α. They are an organization that 7 we have contracted with to provide day-to-day management at the Airport, at 8 9 the County Airport. They have other 10 airports that they also manage in some 11 similar fashion, and they directly report 12 to our Department of Public Works/ 13 Transportation, Hugh Greechan is the 14 Commissioner, and they are tasked with all 15 of the day-to-day management of the things 16 that happen at the Airport. 17 In addition to day-to-day Q. 18 management, is AvPORTS tasked with 19 promulgating policy at the Airport? 20 You know, it depends on what is Α. 21 considered policy. If you have an agreed 22 upon, uhm, policy, that's been cleared by 23 the Department of Public Works or senior 24 management, then they can issue policy to 25 everybody in the Airport, whether they

# GEORGE LATIMER

created the policy or they created the policy and got approval for the policy would depend on the specifics, but they would communicate with the various players at the Airport, the various vendors, the FBO's, potentially the airlines, stating X, Y, or Z, that would relate to this is the way we're going to do this thing, whatever is embedded in the policy.

Whether they convey policy does not necessarily to me mean they created the policy, maybe they do, it depends. If we're talking about, you know, we've established recycling bins, please use them, they would have the authority to do that, they wouldn't have to run that up the flagpole to senior management.

If it falls in a different way, maybe it does require our approval, depends on the specific policy their issue is.

- Q. Would the perimeters of what you're talking about, would that be spelled out in the contract?
  - A. To some degree it would be, but

## GEORGE LATIMER

I think some of it too would be subjective. There would be a dialog where now the manager, current manager, April Gasparri, the prior manager, Peter Scherrer, would have some issue on their table, they might determine that we need to tell all of our vendors this thing, whatever it is, and they would most likely have conversation, April would most likely have conversation with Hugh Greechan, and then depending on how Hugh viewed it, if it was something he felt comfortable, he would give her a direction on it, fine.

If he wanted to run it up the flagpole further, probably Joan and Emily would be involved in it, and if it became something of a greater magnitude, then it would come before the full Executive Committee, myself, Ken, the rest of it.

It depends, and I would have to theorize what falls into what bucket. We have a good working relationship with AvPORTS, and specifically the manager, April Gasparri, now, so it's rare it's

## GEORGE LATIMER

something that gets all the way up to the point which we would sit in the Executive Committee and say, you know, it's policy of the Airport. It would have to be of the highest magnitude for us to directly discuss it and, you know, approve it.

And, you know, self statement,

I am not a micro manager as an Executive,

different people do it differently,

obviously, there is no right way, it's the

personality of the Executive. I have

confidence in the people we appoint to a

position, and I give them wide birth.

So, it's not surprising to me if you see certain memos that I am not on it, I don't have that kind of style. Other Executives in public office have different styles as we have seen over the years.

- Q. How are the communication channels between AvPORTS and the County?
- A. From where I am sitting, I think they are good. If I ever have a question about something, I would go through the channels. I'll tell Joan and

## GEORGE LATIMER

Emily, most likely, and then I will say, hey, such and such a thing, will you check it out.

It's just as easy for me, if I have what I think is a minor question, I might call April directly. April, George, I tend to be on a first name basis with everybody in the government, such and such a thing has occurred, how do we handle that, and she'll tell me, are you comfortable with that, does that need to change.

And then I'll say go through the process, I don't want to make policy in a telephone conversation. But I might get a call from someone, I was just at the Airport, yada, yada, so I might call her directly. I think the relationship is good, it's a personal relationship, but also structural. If a "policy" is of a greater magnitude, then AvPORTS consults and goes up the ladder.

Q. Are there formalized modes of communication?

# GEORGE LATIMER

A. I can't tell you, you know, how frequently AvPORTS representatives meet with Hugh and/or meet with Joan and Emily. I assume there's some periodic situations. We have meetings, for example, of the Airport Advisory Board, that is once a month, and at that meeting, the DPWT is represented, AvPORTS, April, would be present, and that is a regular monthly thing that happens all year long.

Whether there is a formalized structure separate from that, I can't tell you. There is some monthly reporting of statistics that come out of the Airport that talk about activities, number of, I guess, flights or number of whatever, that occur at the Airport. I don't always see those reports, you know, it's more like something significant is changing out there and it's important for me to know.

If the oscillation of things looks like it's within a certain area and sort of consistent and it doesn't normally come before me nor does it normally come

Page 93 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 before discussion in the Executive 3 Committee. It stays within the lanes as 4 necessary. 5 My sense is it's a good working 6 relationship, there may be some formalized 7 structures, Jonathan, that I am not 8 familiar with every periodic period of time 9 April speaks to Hugh about this, but, you 10 know. 11 Thank you. Q. 12 Mr. Latimer, I am going to show 13 you Latimer 8. 14 Α. Okav. 15 Q. Now, this is an e-mail dated April 26, 2021, 12:23 a.m., and I am going 16 17 to ask you, who is Tom Rumbarger, if you know? 18 19 I am not familiar with Tom. Α. 20 How about Peter Scherrer? Q. 21 Α. Peter was the Airport manager 22 prior to April. 23 0. This e-mail appears to be from 24 vice president of strategic and corporate 25 development, David Drabinsky of JSX?

Page 94 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 Α. I am not familiar with him. 3 0. Now, with regard to what is conveyed in this e-mail, and I will quote 4 5 the part I am going to ask about, "To 6 confirm our discussion, all JSX flights 7 proposed for HPN are on demand charter 8 flights operated under 14 CFR part 380. 9 These flights are operated by JSX's wholly 10 own subsidiary Delux Public Charter. 11 Federal aviation regulation 110.2 is clear 12 part 380 operations are not categorically 13 on demand operations." 14 I'm not going to ask you to opine about that --15 16 Α. Good. 17 -- I am going to ask you about, 18 do you have an understanding of whether 19 that sentiment was communicated to the 20 County from AvPORTS? 21 MR. NONNA: Objection to the 22 form. 23 I've truthfully never seen the 24 document before. I am to the familiar, 25 other than Peter Scherrer, I am not

## GEORGE LATIMER

- familiar with the other players involved. I am not familiar with JSX operation and how it played through, so I am really unable to comment intelligently nor the reference that they make as to what the justification is for these things.
- Q. I understand, what I'm getting at, I am just wondering, assuming for the sake of this question that this is important information --
  - A. Yeah.
- Q. -- what would the mechanism be for this information, if there is a mechanism, what would it be for this information to be conveyed to the County?

  MR. NONNA: Objection to the

form of the question.

A. I would assume that Scherrer, this is an assumption, or now April, upon receiving something like this, and we'll assume that an Airport manager understands more of the backdrop and details would immediately be in touch with Hugh Greechan as Commissioner of DPWT, that we have a

## GEORGE LATIMER

liaison of Aviva Meyer, you've seen her name on a couple of things here, she performs perhaps the liaison efforts that Susan Spear provided a number of years ago. Aviva is on our Executive Team upstairs, she's the Director of Program and Policy, and she might be on the loop as well with Hugh. And then in the discussion of this, which looks significant enough, to come up to the Joan and Emily level of discussion, and then because it involves some interpretation of what is in the law, then I suspect the County Attorney's office would be involved in that as well.

It just depends on the initial conversation as Scherrer in this particular case or April, if something else were to happen now, as they convey this information, they have a discussion about it, they say, well, this is significant, and we better loop in other people who were involved in making an assessment as to what this actually represents.

That's probably how it works, I

Page 97 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 can't speak specifically in this case as I 3 was not looped into any of this. 4 MR. NELSON: Latimer 9. 5 (Whereupon, the aforementioned document was marked as Latimer 6 7 Exhibit 9 for identification as of 8 this date by the Reporter.) 9 Q. Mr. Latimer, I am handing you 10 Latimer 9, and ask you to take a look at 11 that, please. 12 Α. Okay. 13 Q. Okay, so, Latimer 9 appears to 14 be a letter written by Peter Scherrer, 15 Airport manager, on November 5, 2021, do 16 you agree? 17 Α. Yep. 18 And the first line says, this Ο. 19 letter responds to your e-mail of October 20 26th, and that, would you agree, appears to 21 reference Latimer 8? 22 Α. Yes, right, that is why I 23 looked back to double-check. 24 I am going to focus a little Q. 25 bit on the last page. Particularly, I am

Page 98 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 going to look at the cc's. 3 One of the cc's is Joan McDonald, I know you've made mention of 4 5 Joan a number of times. 6 Α. Yes. 7 Q. It says, Director of 8 Operations, is Director of Operations, can 9 you give us a overview of what Ms. 10 McDonald's role would be --11 She would be in the private 12 sector what you would call a COO, Chief 13 Operating Officer, CEO is at the top of the 14 pyramid, COO has day-to-day 15 responsibilities. The operating 16 departments of County Government, the 17 departments and the offices all report in 18 to Joan, I often reference her and Emily 19 Saltzman, Emily Saltzman is the Deputy 20 Director of Operations, the two of them 21 work in close quarters, Joan, the ranking 22 officer, Emily, her right hand, and not in 23 an administrative way, in a professional 24 policy related way. 25 Joan, for the record, is a

Page 99 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 former New York State Commissioner of Department of Public Transportation for New 3 York State. She's a former Commissioner of 4 5 Economic Development for the State of 6 Connecticut. She's a woman of great 7 substance, she's had some very big jobs in 8 her prior experience. And almost every 9 department reports through her, there are 10 some exceptions, a couple of exceptions. 11 She's been with you your 0. 12 entire administration? 13 Α. From the beginning of the 14 administration. 15 Q. And then Hugh Greechan, I know 16 you mentioned Hugh a number of times --17 Α. Yes. 18 -- is that the Hugh you were Q. 19 referring to? 20 Yes, correct, he's Commissioner Α. of Public Works and Transportation. 21 22 joined the administration about a month in. He previously worked in County Government 23 24 for a number of years, left it 10 years 25

before he came back. Had other assignments

## GEORGE LATIMER

with other significant organizations, did some consulting work and so forth, and I asked him to come in and head up our Public Works/Transportation Department.

- Q. And, of course, Mr. Nonna who is setting next to you?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Prior to Mr. Scherrer writing this letter to David Drabinsky of JSX, did Mr. Scherrer receive authorization from the County?
- A. Well, what I presume, looking at the cc's, is that Peter, when he got that prior conveyance from Drabinsky, he did exactly what I described, which is he went up the ladder. I couldn't tell that from the e-mail he received, but now I see he was copied on it, makes logical sense. There was a conversation between Joan and Hugh, John, of course, as attorney/client privilege, may have well been part of those conversations as well, and they had a discussion about the response that would be appropriate to this. And I have to presume

## GEORGE LATIMER

actually that Ken Jenkins and myself may have been involved in those conversations as well because the nature of that e-mail represents, as you can see from the response, this represents a major matter of policy, this is not recycling bins in a terminal.

I don't recall the JSX reference, but I would not be surprised if we sat and discussed, what do we do with organizations plural, one or more, that may be operating out of the FBO's, but operating at what could be interpreted to be a commercial passenger service.

So this response would reflect the policy, not just of that, of course, but the policy of the County.

Q. Let just focus on that for a moment, you mentioned that this is not a recycling bin, this is, you know, this is policy.

During the discussions that lead to this policy, where would they take place, I mean, can you just describe them

Page 102 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 to me? 3 MR. NONNA: I have to object to the form. You asked two questions 4 5 there, I am sorry. 6 MR. NELSON: Let me rephrase. 7 MR. NONNA: One question at a 8 time. Can you describe the 9 Q. 10 discussions that took place prior to this 11 policy? 12 MR. NONNA: Objection to the question to the extent it calls for 13 14 disclosure of privileged 15 communications with Counsel. 16 Mr. Latimer, let me be 0. 17 perfectly clear, I am never asking for 18 anything that was either in Executive 19 session, you know, with your attorney or 20 directly with your attorney. 21 In most cases when an issue 22 arises, we discuss it operationally, and 23 then we consult with Law, and the minute we 24 consult with Law, we recognize that we're 25 now getting legal opinions about what to

# GEORGE LATIMER

do, which may or may not be harmonious with operationally opinions of what to do. It's my responsibility to figure out how we adjust that.

Looking at the flow of information, I don't recall a specific meeting, but I do recognize from this, and who was copied on this, that the Drabinsky letter triggered a discussion. I recall, in general terms, a discussion which may have been, you know, the physical where is not what you are asking really, it's under what sequence of events, most likely we had some individual informal conversations, and I would be confident that this is of a magnitude that Joan and Hugh would have wanted Ken and myself to be involved in it.

And, you know, Ken as Deputy

County Executive may get involved in

certain conversations even before I get

involved, and I get involved a half step up

the ladder.

The question in this response to that e-mail is really what you're

## GEORGE LATIMER

litigating. That is really the function of the litigation of it. What we perceive as our authority given to us in the mid '80's is under question, and I assume at some point in time in a Court of Law, there will be a decision on this case which will then either reinforce, redefine or vacate whatever these perceptions are of authority, and that is a very important matter of public policy, it's matter of law, it's a matter of public policy.

I am not a lawyer so I don't purport to be able to describe the legal implications, the legal play out of these things, but it matters a lot to the people of Westchester County whether or not the way we have operated the Airport since the mid '80's is the way we operate the Airport going forward.

So, without getting to the bottom line on that, this response from AvPORTS asserts the County's position that we have certain protections that we were granted, because, remember, when the

## GEORGE LATIMER

lawsuit occurred, we lost certain, not lost, but we asserted, I wasn't part of the situation in the '80's, but we asserted that we had a right to impose a curfew at the Airport. And the settlement of that lawsuit said, no, you don't have a right to impose a curfew, you have a voluntary curfew, so that was a "loss" of what was a perceived authority at the time.

And we had to create a system that allowed airlines to potentially come in and operate out of the commercial area, we couldn't arbitrarily say midway -- I couldn't restate that case in its entirety.

So, this really goes to the heart of what is being litigated here, and this response from Scherrer summarizes to JSX what it is the County believes it has the authority to enforce.

Q. Mr. Latimer, with regard to the operational discussions, again, I don't want to ask for attorney/client privilege, but with regard to the operational discussions, when those discussions are

## GEORGE LATIMER

ongoing, is someone taking notes, I mean, is someone writing things down?

A. I don't know. In an operational discussion between Scherrer and Greechan or between Scherrer and maybe current day, it would be Aviva Meyer, in the prior day, Susan Spear, or Joan or Emily get involved in it, it could easily be verbal, telephone. It could be sitting around the table at a meeting with no specific notes. These are not established meetings of a legislative body, these are conversations between individuals who have differing authority.

And then at the point that that conversation involves legal advice, then that may be day one, it could be day ten, it depends on the nature of the conversation what it evolves to. You know, we then start understand that, you know, whenever we take action that involves the assertion of something that we lean on the law for that there could be an alternate situation to litigation. It's a different,

## GEORGE LATIMER

you know, it becomes a different level of intensity.

It also is true, it's a different level of intensity, if we have to take action that requires Board of Legislators action, this falls within Executive authority, and it's ultimately my ability to say, do this, don't do that, Executive Order, that's one thing; if in our conversations about something, the decision is that the Board of Legislators has to establish certain types of things by law, then it's a different situation because that then goes out to the public domain, and public hearings, and public discussion.

Those are the decisions that get made, the question you're asking is what's the process in between Peter talking to maybe Hugh in the first iteration of this, then how that goes up the ladder, and what is interpreted to be the way this is going to be established or resolved may involve the law, may involve legislature,

## GEORGE LATIMER

maybe in our ability to assert, and then the public or the legal avenues exist to counter that understanding.

- Q. I mean, again, operationally, at the beginning of this, would it have been your practice, not in these words, but to say something to the extent of, give me the lay of the land, tell me what's happening at the Airport so I can understand it?
- A. This goes back to the Bradbury memo about Blade. Something is happening at the Airport that doesn't fit what has traditionally occurred, and we have to look at it to determine what is it that is happening, what is its implications as a matter of public policy, and what does it mean in terms of all the impacts of the Airport, you know.

And we review that in light of what is the restrictions that are in place, how do we interpret those things, are we legally on solid ground if we say something or not. Then, of course, separate and

## GEORGE LATIMER

distinct from that, what are the public policy side, which are much more subjective.

But as I am, am deposing myself in the legal system, I have to, I speak with as much truth and as much specificity as I can. Completely separate from this room, when I go out there, when I communicate on some public means, when I get interviewed by the press, when I compete, I'm not going to run again, for public office, I am accountable in a completely different way, so I have to consider the accountability of the decisions we make, at the end of the day, they will be my responsibilities, whether I am copied on the letter or not, whether I'm the focus of the review or not, Ken Jenkins -- Chaminski (phonetic), John Nonna, Joan McDonald, all of those people are critical players in some way, shape or form, at the end of the day, it's on my head, what did I do, what didn't I do, is it defensible in the Court of Law, and is

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

## GEORGE LATIMER

it defensible in the court of public opinion. That is the "X" factor that I can't project as I sit here at the table.

I'm still the County Executive, however this case is adjudicated, I will still have the responsibility to manage this County for the remaining three years of my term, so, see ya on January 1, 2025. Lord help me if I come in for a deposition on any topic after I have left office, I will even know less then about what my thinking is.

But I read this document, and I understand that there is a dialog and a process that works its way up the line, and then we take a position that we believe that we have the right as a government to enforce those rules that were given to us in 1985, and that is ultimately what this document says we're doing.

Whether we have that right or not will be determined as an outgrowth of whatever happens in this case, and I will be responsible to the public at large to

## GEORGE LATIMER

explain it as to why whatever happens happens.

- Q. You spoke about responsibility and accountability, with regard to accountability and responsibility, with respect to policy and operational issues surrounding the Airport, are there internal memorandum that get drafted to convey information and to memorialize information?
- Well, I assume in the discovery process of a lawsuit, most things that are written down, certainly when I am asked to provide for a lawsuit under discovery any written documentation that you have, we provide whatever there is. We already are subject to the Open Meetings Law, and we can be FOIL'd for all kinds of things that represent anything that we ever put in It's not that we don't put writing. something in writing, but we're not as bureaucratic as somebody on the outside might think that every dialog that we have is written down in a memo, and it's my policy, and given to you -- and give you

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

## GEORGE LATIMER

direction, doesn't work to that degree, it's much more conversational.

And, you know, when I served in the State Government, you have two branches of government that are physically separated, we have a wide and a large Executive Branch that ultimately reports up to a Governor, but a Governor is dealing with branches of that government in Montauk and Buffalo. The County is a little more proximate.

Many, not all, of the decision makers are in this building. Hugh Greechan is on the floor below us, I could very easily say, Hugh, I need 10 minutes of your time, do you have 10 minutes, and we sit and we have a conversation in my office, and we don't have a memo that follows that, I ask him some questions.

Of course, I've been around,
you have my resume, I know a lot of these
people, we talk on a personal level. I
might pick up the phone and call Chris
Bradbury, it wouldn't necessarily manifest

Page 113 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 itself in sending him a memo. 3 But if there is anything that we put in writing, and I assume your 4 5 discovery requests came in at some point in 6 time, transparent about all the things that 7 I am aware of. Joe Biden found a box of 8 documents five years later, so all I could 9 say is we did our diligence to try to give 10 you everything in writing that we had, you 11 know. 12 MR. NELSON: Please mark this 13 Latimer 10. 14 (Whereupon, the aforementioned document was marked as Latimer 15 16 Exhibit 10 for identification as of 17 this date by the Reporter.) 18 Mr. Latimer, I'm handing you Q. 19 Latimer 10, it's very similar to Latimer 9 20 that we saw before, except there are 21 differences, obviously, take a quick look 22 at it. 23 I will. Okay. Α. 24 Mr. Latimer, the Latimer 10 and Q. Latimer 9 are both dated what date? 25

Page 114 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 Α. November 5th. 3 MR. NONNA: '21. That is what I wanted to say. 4 Q. 5 Α. November 5th, for the record, 6 November 5th. 7 Latimer 9, obviously, we went Q. 8 through, referenced an e-mail from JSX from 9 October 26th, Latimer 10 doesn't have any 10 such reference, does it? 11 Reference to an October 7th 12 letter, which was not part of what I seen 13 here in our discussions. 14 With regard to Latimer 10, do Ο. 15 you know, do you have, do you know how XO 16 and JetSmarter became the subject of 17 AvPORTS letter as depicted --18 No, I don't, and as I eluded to 19 before when you showed me something earlier 20 that made the reference to JetSmarter, I am 21 not familiar with the name of the 22 organization nor am I of XO, nor was I of 23 JSX. I did understand Blade, that was an 24 e-mail that you showed me with which I was 25 asked about Blade. I don't know how these

GEORGE LATIMER

entities or corporations interact, I assume they're separate, but they do somewhat similar things.

But as I read this, this is the first time I'm seeing this document, I am not familiar with the operation of XO or JetSmarter, but I am reading here what is informed of me, and I do see the differences between this and that.

- Q. I'm going to hand you back

  Latimer 5 for just a moment, hold onto that

  for a second, Latimer 5 is an e-mail by

  Susan Spear back on March 1, 2019.
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Is it fair to say that at least as far as back March 1, 2019, someone in your administration was aware of an entity by the name of JetSmarter?
- A. Well, on the face, the differences of the two memos are two and a half years apart, and both reference

  JetSmarter, but I was not copied on either of these two memos, so I did not know to this day until I saw this memo an hour and

Page 116 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 a half ago what JetSmarter was. 3 Looking back at Latimer 10, in the first line, it says, thank you for your 4 5 October 7th letter on behalf of XO and JetSmarter, Inc.? 6 7 Α. Yes. 8 It goes on, but it references 0. 9 JetSmarter, does it not? 10 Α. It does, yes. 11 On the second page, it copies 0. 12 the same individuals we went through with 13 Latimer 9, right? 14 Α. Yes. 15 Q. With regard to Latimer 10, was 16 this letter written as a result of 17 authorization from the County? 18 Α. Well, I can't speak to whether 19 other executives authorized it. I presume 20 that Peter would not have written it unless 21 he had some approval above him. The fact 22 that Joan and Hugh were copied on this as 23 well as John elude to that. I am not 24 familiar with XO or JetSmarter as a name, 25 so I am not surprised that I wasn't aware

## GEORGE LATIMER

of this, and, therefore, I personally did not give particular authority because it doesn't have to come from me if our senior management people have discussed this and consulted with legal advice, so.

- Q. Would the position in Latimer

  10 also rise to the level of policy as you
  had mentioned before about Latimer 9?
- A. Well, I think -- as a matter of policy, we were clear what the policy is. This letter and the other letter that you showed me represent us informing various operators or potential operators, this one apparently is operating, the other one, I am not sure was operating yet, that they are in -- they are in conflict with an existing policy.

So, these two letters are not creating policy. If we were going to create policy, then I would expect that to come to my desk with others.

But if we're implementing or informing a potential entity of what our policy is, that doesn't have to come to me

# GEORGE LATIMER

because we have established the policy, and that is what is reflected as I read this letter on its face, this is the policy of Westchester County, and you are in, you know.

and 9 is the other one 9, okay, I guess I messed up the order of these things, is that it appears that this entity is operating already, and this operator is seeking or intending to start operations, but not yet done so, which makes this a more urgent action because it does say here that it needs to cease and desist what it's doing, and it gives a more specific example of something that more clearly breaks the assertion that this is not a -- this is not a commercial situation.

- Q. With regard to the cease and desist aspect of this letter, what is the procedure of your administration to reach the level of issue in the cease and desist?
- A. Well, I mean, I think it's a matter of practicality here. I think I

## GEORGE LATIMER

just touched on it. Of the two letters that you presented to me, as I read them, and I haven't seen either of these two things before this session, this letter to JSX describes what -- that we are explaining to them that they cannot operate as they plan to operate.

In the case of XO JetSmarter, they apparently are already operating or plan to, they have, they reference that is going to happen on November 12th, which they are taking reservations for slightly in advance of when they receive this memo.

So, this is a different level of action, I use the phrase cease and desist. But this letter is more pointed because something is about to happen which ought not to happen. I don't know if these things happened or didn't happen.

But the policy isn't being made in this letter, the policy that has been made is being conveyed in these letters to the entities involved.

Q. Let me just focus, and I know

# GEORGE LATIMER

the letter may not say cease and desist,

I'm just going to use that as a general

term or phrase, is there a level in your

administration that needs to occur in order

to issue a cease and desist?

A. If there is a policy as to what represents a commercial use. We have a policy that says commercial has to fall into this category, regulations that were promulgated, some were promulgated after I left as a County Legislator, they have been in effect for this period of time.

Some of these entities have a way to operate that appears to us to be working around these existing regulations, and they would argue that it is legal for them to do this around these legal regulations. So, the element of response is to tell them, no, we interpret our authority to prohibit you from doing the things what you want to do. Cease and desist, our language, not what's in the letters, comes when an entity is already operating, not seeking to come in to

# GEORGE LATIMER

operate, but is already doing things that appear to be skirting the responsibilities.

So, in one, we say, you're not entitled to do this based on the protections we have; and another one, we say, you must stop doing something you're already doing that became apparent to us at the time this became apparent to us.

It appears that in the case of XO's JetSmarter, you know, they started marketing this flight well before this November 5th letter, I assume that is the case. And, so, the element of these flights are in clear violation, you know, in addition it undercuts assertions here. That we take a stronger position if, in fact, you're doing something already, not asking to do something, you're actually doing it, and we believe it's outside of the authority that you have to do it.

Q. I understand the difference that you've outlined, what I am trying to ask is, you have a business background, and in appreciating the business aspect of it,

## GEORGE LATIMER

you understand that there is a difference between telling a business to stop doing something, which may employ people, and has revenue, and so on and so forth, than perhaps just saying, you can't start doing something, so what I am asking is, with regard to telling a business to stop doing what it's doing, is there a certain level in your administration that that decision needs to get to before it's sent?

MR. NONNA: Objection to the

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$  NONNA: Objection to the form.

A. I eluded to it upfront when I talked about the impacts to the Airport. I was very clear to talk about things like noise, pollution, and I also referenced economic development, and I referenced those in the business community that rely on the Airport as a matter of their presence in this County, their economic development, and their growth.

I am sure, I have no doubt that you did, if you listened to any of the testimony in the public hearings that we

# GEORGE LATIMER

had in the summer of '18, and what we have done in anticipation of the Master Plan, we heard testimony from any number of people that talked about the jobs at the Airport.

The -- some individuals, the value of having access to service at the Airport, that may have been a smaller number of people who testified, but I know that they represent a significant portion of the population of the County, it's not just three or four people, and I don't waive them as being not relevant to the discussion.

The economic aspect of the Airport is profound. The number of people that are employed at the Airport is significant. The presence of major US corporations in Westchester County in some part is advanced by the fact that we have an Airport here, not only by that, we're next to New York City, we have commuter rail lines into the City, yada, yada, yada.

I've often said publicly that the Airport is an important transportation

## GEORGE LATIMER

asset of this County. And when I've dealt with the extreme elements who want to close the Airport for whatever reason, I push back on that and I say you don't understand how important this Airport is to us. So, I do recognize this.

From a business standpoint, the business model of any business is based on their ability to provide a service or product at a profitable level, and because of what I did professionally, I looked at the market potential for, in the industry that I was in, of having a business based here, and was there a market here that could support that business profit. And it was my fiduciary responsibility to the people that employed me to accurately project that, yes, build it here because, yes, we can make money, and put it here, there's no market that makes money.

So, I understand, without knowing the industry, that this is a lucrative market, Westchester and Fairfield. I recognize that there is the

# GEORGE LATIMER

potential for a business, any of these businesses, to operate with a market that will pay for their services at a fairly high level because of the wealth of the residents, and to the extent that they use corporations. All of that I get. And I get the value of having the people who live here enjoy a convenient use, as I myself did, from a commercial side, to go to the Airport, get on a plane, and go to Boston. I get all of that, that is not unknown to me.

The balancing act when an entity starts a service, as apparently XO, JetSmarter, maybe they all did, I didn't know that they were operating, maybe they were operating, and, you know, it happens, and we don't know. It's possible for us not to know, it's up to a certain point.

They are operating, and I don't put a negative malevolent value to them seeing a business opportunity and trying to use it in a marketplace where they can make a profit. But if the government has

## GEORGE LATIMER

certain authority, then we have a right to be able to say to that business, you need to operate within that authority.

I elude that -- I make an analogy to that to the restrictions that we have in our Health Department. You have a restaurant, you can make a lot of money in a restaurant. We have rules that say you must keep the cleanliness of your kitchen at a certain level. When a sanitarian goes into that kitchen in the government and surveys the kitchen, if they determine that your conditions are deficient, you can operate if you correct the deficiencies. If you don't want to correct the deficiencies, we have the right and authority to shut you down. I don't want to shut you down, I want to make sure that someone coming into your restaurant doesn't get E. coli, that's the public policy mission.

Not to be negative to a business, but to protect the public interests that comes from that. And the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

## GEORGE LATIMER

same is true at the local government level where you have a building inspector who goes into the building, the mission isn't to shut the building down, the mission is to make sure that there is not a deficient situation that when a fire breaks out and 20 people die, what did the government do to anticipate this, well, we didn't do anything, and the person who operated this, we're not talking about things of that level here.

But that is to me the balance point between business operating in a capitalistic society to try to make a profit where they see a need and they can fill it profitably, and the nature of a government to enforce certain restrictions that are for the general benefit of the society at large.

I did not create the 1985

Stipulation. If I walk in the door as

County Executive and that Stipulation does

not exist, that is a whole different thing.

But I walk in the door with certain

## GEORGE LATIMER

authority given to the County, as I understand it, out of the 1985 Stipulation, and I believe it's my responsibility to adhere to those standards as a means of keeping the Airport at a certain size and scope, which was the wish of my predecessors within the context of this job.

As I said a few minutes ago, the adjudication of this case in a Court of Law will determine these things, it's not for me to determine. But as I sit here at the moment, my understanding is those protections exist, and, so, therefore, we tell an XO and a JetSmarter, you started to operate profitably for your benefit, but you don't have the authority to do that, you need to go into the system that we have because the what you're operating is commercial.

To me, it's not evil and good, it's a matter of practicality. And those restrictions either do apply to you or they don't apply to you. If they do apply to

GEORGE LATIMER

you, this is the response we have.

It's a long philosophical thing, but just so you understand where I am coming from, and I know in a deposition I shouldn't go into those long philosophical things, but this is what drives our decision to do the things that we do the way that we do them.

- Q. Only because you mentioned

  Fairfield County a number of times because

  it's our neighboring Connecticut County,

  has there been any communication with

  Fairfield County with regard to the issues

  of this lawsuit?
- A. Yes, Fred Camillo, who is the First Selectmen of Greenwich, and I have talked a number of times, we talked about the Master Plan and process. We even had one of our public hearings, public forum about getting input for the Master Plan in Greenwich. You know, I mean, I live in that general area of the County. And I recognize that the usage of the County Airport is Connecticut as well as New York.

# GEORGE LATIMER

The FAA does not particularly care that it's in one state alone, they care about the overall operation. Air routes go over Connecticut as much as they do us.

What we don't have in Fairfield County is an equivalent local government, County Government that we can interact with at that level. So, primarily the Town of Greenwich, to some extent the City of Stamford, and other suburban communities that are not far away that have access to the Airport, but we don't interact with New Canaan and Darien, and all the way up the line.

But primarily with Greenwich, we do, and I personally have considered them as relevant a player in these discussions as I do the Town of North Castle and Town of Rye Brook, City of Harrison, and White Plains, and I try to project that as we do things.

But as we are a government structure in the Laws of New York, I can't

## GEORGE LATIMER

structurally include Connecticut legally in some of these structures. It's more informal, you know, George and Fred, Fred, we're thinking of doing this, do you want input on it, do you want to know more about it, I'll have someone brief you, that kind of thing.

- Q. Did you receive any input from anyone in government in Connecticut with regard to this lawsuit?
  - A. Not to my knowledge.
- Q. And did you receive any input from anyone in government in Connecticut with respect to the position that the County is taking with regard to the FBO's?

  MR. NONNA: Objection to the

form.

A. Not in any direct fashion. In the general conversations that I have had with, and not just Camillo directly, but State representatives, State Legislative representatives, they have, I believe, subjective, the same balancing act that we have on this side of the border.

# GEORGE LATIMER

They have residents who are affected by the Airport's operations, noise, late night flights, traffic at some level on the Merritt, blah, blah, blah. And they also have residents who use the Airport for convenience sake, and residents of corporate or other entities that use the Airport. And my observation is that Greenwich specifically is pretty much in the same boat that the municipalities in Westchester adjacent to the Airport are.

The further you get from the Airport itself, the less amount of opposition you have. The usage of the Airport is whatever it is, but the people who live in Ardsley, Hastings, Yonkers, just like the people who live in Westport, don't feel a negative impacts, and see the benefit and positive of.

So, I think Connecticut in that regard is very similar to where we are, but we have involved in the level that we can with our neighbors in Greenwich, the dialog. But they are not part of our

## GEORGE LATIMER

- 2 governmental structures, so, they're not
- 3 part of the AAB, they are not officially
- 4 being briefed the way a municipality briefs
- 5 in Westchester. We try to keep them
- 6 involved because they are part of the
- 7 marketplace. It is my background in
- 8 business that, you know, you don't look at
- 9 dotted lines as you do in government.
- 10 Here's an asset, here's the likely radius
- 11 of people that will use that asset, and it
- 12 clearly goes into Connecticut as it does
- 13 into New York.

- 14 Q. I am going to ask several
- 15 milestones, but prior to, you've seen the
- 16 legislation which gave the County authority
- 17 to commence litigation?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. We went over that before?
- 20 A. Mm-hmm.
- Q. Prior to that legislation, did
- 22 Westchester County, your administration,
- 23 confer with or consult with any government
- 24 people or entities in Connecticut?
- MR. NONNA: Objection to the

Page 134 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 form. I don't recall if we had a 3 Α. dialog with Connecticut 4 5 municipal officials, I don't recall, doesn't stand out as if we did. 6 7 Did your administration consult Q. with any New York government people or 8 9 entities, other than your own 10 administration? 11 MR. NONNA: Objection to the 12 form. 13 Α. We have had dialog with 14 Westchester municipal officials, which 15 comes more informally because we're in more 16 direct contact with them in the normal 17 function of our lives. We go to the 18 Westchester municipal officials, I will see 19 the supervisor of the towns and villages. 20 And we have other business to conduct with 21 these entities. Then we also have, couple 22 of times, down to now once a month, but 23 previously we have had as many as weekly 24 calls with municipal officials in 25 Westchester County on a host of different

## GEORGE LATIMER

issues. So, Municall, we call it, it began with the Covid related things, and we would talk about certain issues, and at various times we might talk about Airport issues.

We would not necessarily talk about litigation on something like that, but we might talk about we're working on a Master Plan, if you have interest in what is happening, interact with Jane Doe or Jim Smith within our administration or we have upcoming public forums with input on the Master Plan here on this date, if anyone wants to come and submit written testimony.

But that kind of dialog would happen with the New York municipalities, not normally have the same structure with Greenwich.

- Q. You had mentioned the Master

  Plan, specifically with regard to the

  issues involved in this litigation, whether

  prior to suit or after suit, were those

  municipalities consulted with?
- A. Not structurally, to my knowledge. We may have had some discussion

## GEORGE LATIMER

with Rye Brook because they raised the issue of Blade initially, but I don't recall a specific conversation that I may have had with someone, and certainly there could have been some dialog below the level The County Legislator for the area, Nancy Barr, may have had some dialog with people. She's not the only person that represents the neighboring areas, Margaret Cunzio represents North Castle and Mount Pleasant, which has representation on the AAB. There may have been some conversation or consultation there. It's not unlikely that I might have a conversation with either Nancy or Margaret, saying, are you hearing anything from your locals. may say, well, such and such thing. Well, if you need a briefing, do this, that, something like that.

But it wasn't like we're going to launch this lawsuit, they would know as County Legislators, because we have to go through for authority, we would not naturally call them up and say, we're going

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 137 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 to launch this lawsuit and run it past your 3 locals, that is not what we do. 4 We believe we are enforcing 5 regulations that we have the authority to 6 We welcome input, we're not seeking 7 input, we are doing what we think is in our 8 area of authority. 9 MR. NELSON: Please mark as 10 Latimer 11. 11 (Whereupon, the aforementioned 12 document was marked as Latimer 13 Exhibit 11 for identification as of 14 this date by the Reporter.) 15 Mr. Latimer, I am handing you 16 Latimer 11, it's a similar letter, I would 17 like you to take a look at it, please. 18 Α. Okay. 19 So, you'll notice Latimer 11 is 20 dated a few days after Latimer 10 and 9, 21 this one is dated November 9, 2021. 22 Α. Right. 23 And this one is addressed to Ο. 24 Blade Urban Air Mobility, Inc., do you see 25 that?

Page 138 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 Α. I do. 3 How did, so, unlike the other 0. letters, this one doesn't reference a prior 4 5 communication, does it? It does not. 6 Α. 7 How was it that Blade became Q. 8 the subject of this letter from AvPORTS? 9 Α. I don't know. I see what it 10 says in front of me, which it has come to 11 our attention, that is a reference. 12 Do you have any information as 13 to what that refers to? 14 Α. No. 15 Q. Do you see that particularly in 16 the second to last paragraph, again, not 17 using any particular language, it's telling 18 Blade that it may not operate from an FBO, 19 it may only be operated out of the 20 terminal? 21 Yes, I see that in the letter. Α. 22 Q. Is it your understanding that 23 that is not the way Blade was operating at 24 the time this letter was written? 25 I don't know how Blade was Α.

Page 139 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 operating. What I am looking at is a 3 statement or restatement in a different fashion of the other two letters, which is 4 5 restating how we interpret, you know, 6 operation which is coming out of an FBO, 7 and that it should be through the 8 commercial side of the terminal. 9 0. Unlike the other two Exhibits, 10 one was to JSX and one was to XO and 11 JetSmarter, where it was in response to 12 communication, again, this one is not in 13 response to communication --14 Α. Right. 15 Q. -- what underlying 16 investigation was done to lead AvPORTS to 17 the conclusion that this letter was 18 warranted? 19 I don't know, you'd have to ask 20 them to determine how they got their 21 information. 22 Q. Well, I also, I note the same cc's Joan McDonald, Hugh Greechan --23 24 Α. I see it as well, mm-hmm. 25 -- John Nonna, do you see that Q.

Page 140 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 on this letter as well? Did AvPORTS have the County's 3 authority to write this letter? 4 5 I don't know. I presume that since it's consistent with earlier letters 6 7 that this came out of whatever discussions 8 happened at the time, I was not personally involved in those discussions, I wasn't 9 10 copied on this. My presumption is that 11 there was a general discussion that was 12 triggered by these other things, and perhaps they reviewed other operators at 13 14 the same time and said we have one or more 15 other operators that are operating in the 16 same fashion or they choose to operate. 17 But I don't know that, I wasn't part of the conversation nor have I seen this document 18 19 before. 20 Is it your understanding that 0. 21 some sort of investigation must have 22 preceded writing this letter, at least into 23 the operations of Blade? 24 MR. NONNA: Objection to the 25 form.

Page 141 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 Α. I don't know that to be the 3 case or not to be the case. Would you expect that there 4 Q. 5 would have been some investigation? 6 MR. NONNA: Objection. Asked 7 and answered. And also objection as 8 to form. 9 I can't, you know, I can't 10 speculate beyond what I've already said, 11 which is that it does appear that this 12 issue became important through some of the 13 other actions that happened, and it, you 14 know, this letter followed fairly closely 15 after that. 16 Mr. Latimer, generally 0. 17 speaking, for your administration to 18 authorize what we have been sort of 19 referring to as a cease and desist letter, 20 would you expect that there would be an 21 investigation prior to an authorization of 22 such a letter? 23 MR. NONNA: Objection to the 24 form of the question. 25 Α. Cease and desist, our language,

## GEORGE LATIMER

revolves around somebody who is operating already to do a specific thing. Some of the players in the game are planning to do something. So, those that are planning to do something are in a different bucket than those that are already doing something.

Cease and desist would apply to already doing something. Let me reread the letter and see if it relates, but since I didn't see this at the time --

- Q. Take your time.
- A. -- and I wasn't part of any conversation at that time. You know, I am reading and guessing off of this, and I am really, you know, not working with specific knowledge, so. It's really no direct benefit to know.

MR. NELSON: Please mark this as 12.

(Whereupon, the aforementioned document was marked as Latimer Exhibit 12 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.)

Q. Mr. Latimer, I am handing you

	Page 143
1	GEORGE LATIMER
2	what's been marked Latimer 12.
3	A. Okay.
4	Q. Thanks. Take your time and
5	look that over, please.
6	A. Okay.
7	Q. This appears to be another
8	letter from AvPORTS dated November 9,
9	2021
10	A. Yes.
11	Q and it's from Peter
12	Scherrer, the Airport manager, right?
13	A. Right.
14	Q. Then, again, the same cc's,
15	Joan McDonald, Hugh Greechan, and
16	John Nonna, is that right?
17	A. Yes, that's correct.
18	Q. This one is sent to, looks
19	like, Benjamin Sanzone of Signature Flight
20	Support, are you familiar with the
21	individual?
22	A. The individual, no, but
23	Signature is one of the FBO's at the
24	Airport.
25	Q. Tell me the extent of your

## GEORGE LATIMER

2 familiarity with Signature, please.

- A. No great detail, they are one of the FBO's at the Airport, you know, prominent players out there.
- Q. Do you know, what, if anything, resulted from this letter?
- A. No, I am not familiar with the letter, and I had not seen it before, I was not copied on the letter. This appears to be from a general restatement of policy, it doesn't have some of the things that were eluded to in the other letters you showed me. They were talking about being aware of specific activities. This reads like, we're informing you or reminding you of what our policy is, in general. Doesn't appear to have a specific reference of something that was happening in Signature.

And, you know, the timeframe of this letter was similar to the last couple. I assume this was part of what came out of the general discussions about the operations of or the proposed operations of some of these corporations. And, you know,

Page 145 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 the Airport manager is informing this 3 particular FBO, and maybe others, that here's the way we interpret the TUA. 4 5 In this case, this letter, 6 unlike the other ones, there is no similar, 7 what we're calling cease and desist 8 language? 9 No, and there's no assertion 10 that Signature has either, wouldn't conduct 11 it themselves, but they've allowed for 12 certain operations to happen, that is not 13 apparent from the letter alone. 14 0. Let's go to the next one. 15 Mr. Latimer, what circumstance 16 would the County authorize that type of 17 informational letter rather than, you know, 18 what we have been calling a cease and 19 desist letter? 20 MR. NONNA: Objection to the 21 form. 22 Α. Well, I can only theorize since 23 I wasn't part of the letter itself being 24 sent out. I make the same general

assumption that anyone would make if you

# GEORGE LATIMER

look at the combination of the documents that you've given me, which is we responded in at least one or two cases to some very specific operational situations that were coming out of FBO's in general. They don't specify which FBO's. And now you're showing me a letter in which we write to a specific FBO, and without making a specific reference to the way they operate, that this is the policy of the County Government, and the way we operate the Airport.

So, my presumption is at this point in time, it may well be that we were informing all the FBO's what our policies are. I don't know that unless you show me other documents that represent us or whoever else.

- Q. Well, let me ask you a few questions about that document.
  - A. Sure.
- MR. NONNA: Still on Signature

  Flight Support?
- MR. NELSON: Yes.

Page 147 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 Α. Sure, okay. 3 In the second paragraph and 0. elsewhere, there is some, what seems to be 4 5 interpretation in quotation and/or 6 quotation of law, do you see that? 7 Mm-hmm, I do. Do you know if that was done in 8 0. 9 consultation with the County Attorney's 10 office? 11 I have no way to know one way 12 or the other, I assume it was. 13 Q. And the County Attorney's 14 office doesn't represent AvPORTS, does it? 15 Α. It represents all elements of 16 the County Government, so if AvPORTS went 17 to DPWT and said, we need to send a letter 18 out, DPWT would say, let's make sure that 19 the County Attorney's office gets it, and 20 looks at the form or structure of it. 21 Right, but am I correct by 22 saying that under statute County Attorney 23 doesn't represent any entity other than the 24 County and its component parts? 25 MR. NONNA: Objection to the

Page 148 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 form. 3 Α. AvPORTS is contracted by the County to do a particular function. 4 5 report directly to the Department of Public 6 Works and Transportation. They may make a 7 request of the Department of Public Works 8 and Transportation, which they would then 9 be entitled to go to the County Attorney 10 and say we would like your consultation 11 before we communicate. 12 So, it is certainty possible, 13 without me knowing one way or the other, 14 that the quotation marks were used in a 15 document that had legal input before they 16 made this. 17 MR. NELSON: Please mark this 13. 18 19 (Whereupon, the aforementioned 20 document was marked as Latimer 21 Exhibit 13 for identification as of 22 this date by the Reporter.) 23 I am going to show you Latimer Q. 24 13, and, again, it's very similar. 25 Α. As I expected, Ross.

Page 149 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 Q. Ross Aviation you are saying? 3 Α. Yes. Why is it that you expected 4 Q. 5 that? 6 Well, I eluded to the fact that 7 Signature was one of a number of FBO's, and 8 Ross is also an FBO, I said at the time 9 there might be a similar letter to other 10 FBO's. Looking now, they appear to be 11 similar. 12 Q. When did you first learn of 13 Ross, how long ago, do you think? 14 At some point in time, probably 15 during my County Legislative term, when I 16 understood who the FBO's were out there, I 17 assume Ross was there at that time, I am 18 not sure if they were or not, but certainly 19 during my tenure of County Executive, I am 20 aware that Ross is one of the FBO's out 21 there. 22 Do you know how it came to be 23 that Ross became the subject of this 24 particular letter? 25 Α. No. Particularly, no.

Page 150 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 It appears to me that Latimer 3 13 and Latimer 12 look exactly alike. If there is a difference, I haven't spotted 4 5 it. 6 Q. So let's go to the next one. 7 MR. NELSON: Please mark this 8 as 14. 9 (Whereupon, the aforementioned 10 document was marked as Latimer 11 Exhibit 14 for identification as of 12 this date by the Reporter.) 13 Mr. Latimer, I am handing you Q. 14 14, and this one is a very similar letter 15 to the last two, also dated November 9, 16 2021, to an entity by the name White Plains 17 Aviation Partners LLC, are you familiar 18 with that entity? 19 I am familiar in the reference 20 of this as Million Air, which it is 21 referred to as elsewhere in the document. 22 Q. How are you familiar with, 23 we'll call it Million Air, generally? 24 Α. They too are an FBO at the 25 Airport.

	Page 151
1	GEORGE LATIMER
2	Q. Is this the same type of
3	informational letter that we have been
4	going through the last two, just take a
5	look at it?
6	A. Yes, appears to be, dated the
7	same date as the other two.
8	Q. Are you familiar with any
9	results from this letter?
10	MR. NONNA: Objection to the
11	form.
12	A. From the response to this
13	letter?
1 4	Q. Yes, to Million Air?
15	A. No, not from this letter, no.
16	Q. There is a litigation ongoing
17	between Million Air and the County, is that
18	true?
19	A. There is.
2 0	Q. It's separate and apart from
21	this instant litigation?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Are you aware that part of
2 4	Million Air's structure was renovated for
2 5	the benefit of Blade?

	Page 152
1	GEORGE LATIMER
2	MR. NONNA: Objection to the
3	form.
4	A. I have become aware that that
5	was the case, I don't know that I knew that
6	earlier in my tenure.
7	Q. When did you become aware of
8	that?
9	A. At some point in time, you
10	know, within the context of this discussion
11	about Blade. When we saw a letter from
12	Chris Bradbury about Blade, that was most
13	likely the first time I heard of Blade as
14	an entity, and, you know, what followed
15	from there referenced that it was the tie
16	in to Million Air.
17	MR. NELSON: Off the record.
18	(Whereupon, an off-the-record
19	discussion was held.)
20	MR. NONNA: You've just advised
21	me, you said, I have another two
22	hours of deposition.
23	MR. NELSON: Generously
2 4	speaking.
25	MR. NONNA: I don't know what

	Page 153
1	GEORGE LATIMER
2	that means.
3	MR. NELSON: Means I don't want
4	to say I have another 15 minutes and
5	go, you know, an hour, I want to
6	just
7	MR. NONNA: You said two hours,
8	based upon that statement, I am
9	telling you that we're not agreeing
10	to continue this deposition at this
11	time, we're reserving our right to
12	seek a Protective Order, you've had
13	enough time to question the County
L <b>4</b>	Executive about any topic related to
15	this lawsuit, and that is sufficient
16	given the fact he's the County
17	Executive and has made himself
18	available for three and a half hours.
1 9	We're reserving our rights.
2 0	MR. NELSON: Let's continue
21	then.
22	Please mark 15.
23	(Whereupon, the aforementioned
2 4	document was marked as Latimer
2 5	Exhibit 15 for identification as of

Page 154 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 this date by the Reporter.) 3 Mr. Latimer, I am handing you Latimer 15. I would like you to take a 4 5 look at that and tell me what that is. It's a relevant excerpt of 6 7 County local laws that relate to the 8 Airport Terminal Use Procedures. 9 0. Hold onto that for a moment, I 10 am going to hand you back Latimer 4 at the 11 same time, and I've separated a page, in 12 Latimer 4, you see that I've separated the 13 page that begins with what you testified to 14 earlier, the enacted law part of Latimer 4? 15 3585. Α. 16 If you would just look at the 0. 17 definition, for example, of airline in that 18 and compare it to the definition to the 19 airline in Latimer 15, they are different, 20 are they not? 21 I have to read it first. Α. 22 Q. Yes, please. 23 They -- they are different, I 24 see a difference, I haven't read for 25 content, but.

# GEORGE LATIMER

- Q. One of the differences that you've seen is that in Latimer 15, it adds the additional designation of an airline shall be providing passenger services and aircraft design for more than nine passenger seats, that language is not in Latimer 4?
- A. That is correct, as I look at the top of the page, this local law was amended in 2005, and then again in 2010, so when I signed on to in 2004 was twice amended, I don't know if that was part of what was amended, I'd have to look at what was done in '05 and what was done in '10 to see what the reference was, but this was amended subsequently twice.
- Q. Would you agree that that additional language, that additional language of defining an airline as an aircraft design more than nine passenger seat appears in Latimer 15, but does not appear in Latimer 4?
  - A. That is correct.
    - Q. And you've explained that the

# GEORGE LATIMER

potentiality that it may have been amended pursuant to the language on top of Latimer 15?

- A. That's right.
- Q. As County Executive, would you have submitted that additional language to the FAA for them to consider it prior to enacting or asking the legislature to enact an amendment?
  - MR. NONNA: Objection to the form of the question.
- A. It appears to me that the language in 2004, which I voted on, was more restrictive because it did not -- it did not provide any limitation, and the language that I am looking at here, both in 2005 and 2010, I was not in the legislature, so whatever modifications came in '05 and '10, I was not part of that discussion. This appears to make the law less restrictive because you're creating the ability for an aircraft at nine or under not to be affected by what this affected because this did not make that

# GEORGE LATIMER

limitation. And I've just read the first couple of sentences, I did not read the whole thing.

But, as I said earlier, when I used that imaginary example of a curfew, if you're making something less restrictive as the language of this, it says in the Committee Report, says, we could not make it more restrictive or we could lose all of the different protections of it. If you make it less restrictive, which is what this does compares to this, I don't think that has to go to the FAA because the FAA and the airlines would prefer a less restrictive scenario. How less restrictive up to nine passenger seats is, it is still less restrictive than what I voted on in '04.

- Q. Mr. Latimer, I want you to go down to F now on Exhibit 4, definition of passenger, review that.
  - A. Okay.
- Q. I would like you to compare that to the definition of passenger in

		Page 158
1		GEORGE LATIMER
2	Exhibit 15	
3	Α.	Yes.
4	Q.	On Exhibit 15, do you see
5	passenger s	service?
6	Α.	J.
7	Q.	J?
8	Α.	Yes.
9	Q.	That is a definition of what
10	passenger s	service means; correct?
11	Α.	Yeah.
12	Q.	Does that exist on Exhibit 4?
13	<b>A</b> .	It does not appear to exist on
14	4.	
15	Q.	It would be an additional
16	definition	on Exhibit J?
17	Α.	Let me read it and see.
18	Q.	Sure, yes.
19	Α.	Okay.
20	Q.	Would it be your position that
21	that would	not need FAA approval prior to
22	inclusion?	
23		MR. NONNA: Objection to the
2 4	form	
25	<b>A</b> .	I don't know, to be honest with

# GEORGE LATIMER

you. I would have to read that. First of all, I would have to understand, which I have not, what the intent behind that was and try to just on my own and talking with other people determine if this is a subset, if we pulled this out as a subset of something here, and then to answer the question, is it more or less restrictive. I can't judge that just by reading it at this point. But that would be the question in my mind is is pulling this out making something more restrictive or less restrictive, that is what I would try to interpret.

Q. And if it were more restrictive, your understanding would be that the County would need to seek permission from the FFA, and if it were less restrictive, it would not?

 ${\tt MR.\ NONNA:}$  Objection to the form.

A. If it's less restrictive, then we would not; if it seemed more restrictive, then I think the first thing

# GEORGE LATIMER

we would do is have dialog with the FAA, have somebody get on the phone and say we're looking at additional language, how do you react to it. The formal submission would be if the feedback we got is what we really like to analyze in great detail or, no, that seems to be okay. I mean, I am generalizing. But you would consult informally before you made a formal request to approve. But I have no idea of what dialog happened, I am in the State Assembly. If this happened in '05 or '10, I am in the State Assembly. I have no idea of the dialog, it wasn't part of the government, and didn't focus on this issue at all.

Q. You'll notice, Mr. Latimer, that in both of the provisions in the applicability section, they both mention the term passenger service, one, in 15, as a defined term, and 4 as an undefined term?

- A. Okay, yes, both referenced,
- 24 yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

Q. Would you agree that passenger

# GEORGE LATIMER

service as an undefined term would have a much broader reach than passenger service as a defined term?

MR. NONNA: Objection to the form.

Well, what I don't know is whether or not in the dialog that happened, the FAA might have required it, the County, to define passenger service because it was undefined in the former situation, that might have come up in the dialog with the I don't know that that is the case. I don't know that that is not the case. I would have to be privy to the dialog back and forth to make a determination if the FAA had any involvement in seeing this document beforehand. And either informally or even formally, because there is no document in front of me that says the FAA said we've never seen this document before, maybe the amendments were done with FAA approval. I don't know that. And I don't know if it's a formal or informal approval.

So, I'd have to say that I

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

# GEORGE LATIMER

don't know until I know more specific details. It could be and it might not be. It depends on whether or not the definition of passenger service in this document is seen as more restrictive. It may not be, it may be this codifies exactly what they meant in this document. It never came up, I can tell you in my voting on this document, I never heard a discussion of passenger service at all, it was not a matter of discussion. We talked about the other stuff, the specific restrictions.

- Q. When you say you spoke about the specific restrictions, can you tell me what you mean by what you discussed?
- A. Well, I mean, in committee, we went over, let's go passed the definition, the very meaning of the details that this identified, you know, the lottery structure, this identified the host of specifics, I mean, I have to go back and look at these things, you know, but all of the elements of the restrictions, the number of gates, the number of passengers

# GEORGE LATIMER

per half-hour, that's all identified in this document that we passed in '14, that was the meat of our discussions. And, as I recall, again, over 18 years of distant time that we had representatives in the administration, and our concern was is this document consistent with what was done in the '85 lawsuit, were we staying consistent with what the Court said then. It was presented as a codification in County Law of that which was granted to us in the '85 description, and that was the focus of it, not necessarily the definitions as you've asked.

But this is, you know, as I am looking at this document, you know, I have never sat down during my tenure as County Executive to draw this differentiation.

These things were amended at the time they were amended. One of the things you look for is were these things done unanimously, and if they were done unanimously with both parties in agreement, in which there was not a lot of debate or discussion about it,

Page 164 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 then you assume that it was a general 3 consensus of government to do these things, but I would have to look to see that. 4 5 Let's take another example in 6 the applicability section in the last 7 paragraph, let's first look at Latimer 4, 8 where it says, this section does not apply 9 to any activity by Airport users not 10 providing passenger services or not using 11 the terminal building or terminal ramp, 12 right, do you see where it says that? 13 Α. What page? 14 859. 0. 15 Α. I am sorry, repeat again? 16 Q. Sure. 17 The last sentence of 18 applicability, this section does not 19 apply --20 859, last section. Α. 21 This section does not apply to 22 any activities by Airport users not 23 providing passenger service or not using 24 the terminal building or terminal ramp, do 25 you see where it says that?

Page 165 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 Α. I do. It doesn't apply, the whole 3 0. section, will not apply to Airport users 4 5 that either don't provide passenger service 6 or do not use the terminal building or 7 terminal ramp, do you see that? 8 Α. Yes, I do. 9 0. If you go now to 15, the same 10 applicability section with the same 11 sentence at the end, it says, this section 12 does not apply to any activities by Airport 13 users not providing passenger service, do 14 vou see that? 15 Α. Yes. 16 So, it got rid of the exception 0. 17 of or not using the terminal building or 18 terminal ramp, that is omitted in Latimer 19 15, do you see that? 20 Right, I do. Α. 21 The omission of a whole group Ο. 22 of people that it would not apply to, would 23 you say that would be less restrictive or 24 more restrictive? 25 MR. NONNA: Objection to the

# GEORGE LATIMER

form.

A. It seems to be a more universal coverage of the rules of the Airport to a larger group of people, a larger group of entities, the next sentence after that, all passenger service provided at the Airport shall be provided at the terminal. Now, that makes me want to have a definition of passenger service now because it says not that -- I would have to read that in some greater detail.

Because this one says,

passenger service or not using the terminal

building or terminal, blah, blah, blah;

this says, all passenger service shall be

provided at the terminal. So, it basically

codifies that passenger service is at the

terminal.

Here it says, if you're not at the terminal, this doesn't apply to you, and there may be passenger service that is not at the terminal, so this should apply to you because of the definition of passenger service. But, again, I'm

Page 167 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 theorizing about things I was not part of, 3 so. It's an interesting discussion 4 5 of how we got here. I walk in the door as County Executive, this is the law of 6 7 Westchester County. I walk in the door 2018, this was last amended in 2010. I was 8 9 not aware of any challenges to this law, so 10 I operate with this being legal and 11 appropriate and what we apply. 12 So, whether or not this was 13 properly enacted is, again, probably the nature of the lawsuit. 14 15 Q. With regard to amendment, I don't, respectfully, I don't think we have 16 17 the amendment. 18 Α. Hang on one second. 19 (Whereupon, an off-the-record 20 discussion was held.) 21 How do amendments operate? 0. 22 Α. Well, amendments from the 23 standpoint of the government of Westchester 24 County, I can't speak to what was passed 25 through FAA.

# GEORGE LATIMER

- Q. No, no, I am speaking of amendments to the local law, Westchester County Law?
- A. Okay, it's presented with language that, you know, ultimately is presented generally for something like this, generally the administration will submit an amendment to an existing law in proper form to the County Attorney, the committee and legislature as a whole discusses it, they bring in the relevant people to ask them questions, and then if we're convinced this is the right thing to do, we would then vote for the amendments to the law.

Since I wasn't part of this, I don't know what discussions took place when this was debated in '05 and then again in '10, I don't know what was done in '05 and '10.

Some of the things you're talking about could have been in the '05 amendment, and could have been the '10 amendment. Break the law down and see what

GEORGE LATIMER

it looked like in the end of '05 and then '10 to see what changed.

There was some different configuration of legislators, certainly by '10, there was a decent change of legislators from when I served at the end of '04. But the legislature would debate, discuss, and then agree to adopt perhaps with some changes that which was submitted to the Board to change the policy.

What I don't know is whether or not what happened in '05 or '10 was run passed by the FAA. You've asked a general question, should we have gone back to the FAA to get further approval, I don't know whether that happened formally or informally, that might have happened informally. The definition of passenger service might have been informal discussion. Someone of the FAA at that date might have said, that's not too much of a problem, go ahead, I don't know. And if there are documents that define that, then that would be important to know.

# GEORGE LATIMER

But at this point, given the documents I have in front of me, it appears that the amendments were made and have not been challenged up to this point. So, from George Latimer, the County Executive's standpoint, this is the law as I have it in front of me, and the law we work off of.

approved this all these years later, 18 years since what I voted for as a legislator to where we are today, I would be surprised if the FAA isn't familiar with what we have done. They apparently raised no objections to it. You know, I have to leave it as speculative on my part, because I only remember what we discussed when I was a legislator and left. And I come back, and here's the law as it has been amended.

- Q. Can I ask you one more question quickly, this is just location of documents?
- A. Sure.
  - Q. You've said you don't know

# GEORGE LATIMER

because it's not in front of you, how would we find out about these amendments?

Well, this is what I do as a Α. legislator in the State Legislature, this document, as of this date was amended, this is the amended version as of 2010, no further amendments. You have a document that gives you the bill as it was passed in 2011, you would need to go back and reference the 2005 legislation, to see what that, same material as you have here, what it looked like at the end of 2005, compare that, not just the 2004 because that will tell you what things were changed, but compare 2005 to what it is today, and then the delta between those would be defined in theory by the 2010 amendments that came, you'd have that document down and say, okay, this is what we did in '04, this is how it changed in '05, this is how it changed in '10 to do what it is today. If I thought that there was important policy implications in each of

those changes, and the thinking of the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 172 1 GEORGE LATIMER 2 legislature, I need to see all those 3 things, and, of course, you ask the decision makers, and I'd call former 4 5 Chairman of the Board or whoever and say, 6 hey, what were you thinking when you did 7 this, why did you do this, and I might ask 8 them if they had FAA approval, but I also might ask them, when you made these 9 10 amendments, what was the purpose of it, why 11 did you define passenger service in this 12 way, there may be a reason for it that 13 makes logical sense, I just don't know 14 that. 15 I certainly want to be a 16 cooperative depose, but I really need to go 17 upstairs and greet the Congressman. I will 18 let my Counsel determine what happens next. 19 You will advise me accordingly, and, 20 Jonathan, I will be as cooperative. 21 MR. NELSON: Off the record. 22 (Whereupon, an off-the-record 23 discussion was held.) 24 MR. NONNA: I am reserving our 25 rights, we're willing to consider

	Page 173
1	GEORGE LATIMER
2	having a discussion with you about
3	limiting another session of George
4	Latimer's deposition. Willing to
5	have that discussion with you.
6	MR. NELSON: Understood, good.
7	(Whereupon, at 1:15 P.M., the
8	Examination of this witness was
9	concluded.)
10	
11	0 0 0
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 174
1	GEORGE LATIMER
2	DECLARATION
3	
4	I hereby certify that having been
5	first duly sworn to testify to the truth, I
6	gave the above testimony.
7	
8	I FURTHER CERTIFY that the foregoing
9	transcript is a true and correct transcript
10	of the testimony given by me at the time
11	and place specified hereinbefore.
12	
13	
14	
15	GEORGE LATIMER
16	
17	
18	Subscribed and sworn to before me
19	this day of 20
20	
21	
0.0	
22	NOTARY PUBLIC
23	
2 <b>4</b> 2 <b>5</b>	
<b>2</b>	

				Page :	175
1			GEORGE LATIMER		
2			EXHIBITS		
3					
4	LATIMER	EXI	HIBITS		
5					
6	EXHIBIT		EXHIBIT	PAGE	
7	NUMBER		DESCRIPTION		
8	Exhibit	1	Committee Report	33	
9	Exhibit	2	Multi-page document	45	
10	Exhibit	3	Document	59	
11	Exhibit	4	Document	63	
12	Exhibit	5	E-mail (Susan Spear)	70	
13	Exhibit	6	E-mail	73	
14	Exhibit	7	Chris Bradbury letter	78	
15	Exhibit	8	Document	86	
16	Exhibit	9	Peter Scherrer letter	97	
17	Exhibit	10	Document	113	
18	Exhibit	11	Letter	137	
19	Exhibit	12	11/9/21 letter	142	
20	Exhibit	13	Ross letter	148	
21	Exhibit	14	White Plains Aviation		
22			Partners LLC letter	150	
23	Exhibit	15	Document		153
24	( E	Exhi	ibits retained by Counsel.)	)	
25					

	Page 176
1	GEORGE LATIMER
2	INDEX
3	
4	EXAMINATION BY PAGE
5	MR. NELSON 4
6	
7	
8	INFORMATION AND/OR DOCUMENTS REQUESTED
9	INFORMATION AND/OR DOCUMENTS PAGE
10	(None)
11	
12	
13	QUESTIONS MARKED FOR RULINGS
14	PAGE LINE QUESTION
15	(None)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 177
1	GEORGE LATIMER
2	CERTIFICATE
3	
4	STATE OF NEW YORK )
	: SS.:
5	COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER )
6	
7	I, LISA MORAN, a Notary Public for
8	and within the State of New York, do hereby
9	certify:
10	That the witness whose examination is
11	hereinbefore set forth was duly sworn and
12	that such examination is a true record of
13	the testimony given by that witness.
14	I further certify that I am not
15	related to any of the parties to this
16	action by blood or by marriage and that I
17	am in no way interested in the outcome of
18	this matter.
19	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
2 0	set my hand this 25th day of January 2023.
21	
22	Rise Meren
2 3	Miller Volumes
2 4	LISA MORAN
2 5	

							Page 178
				ERRATA	SHEET		
VERITEXT/NEW YORK REPORTING, LLC							
				-		•	
NY		AME: De	lux Publi	.c Charter, e	et al. v	. County of	Westchester,
			ITION: 1/ ME: Georg	'13/2023 ge Latimer			
				,			
	PAGE	LINE	(S)	CHANGE	1	REASON	
	'_		'		'.		
	'_						
			I		1		
	I		I		1		
	ı_		I		1		
	I		I		1		
	I		Ι		1		
	I		I				
	1		I		1		
					'·		
	'_				I		
			I				
	!_		1				
	I		1		1		
	!_		I		I		
				O BEFORE ME		e Latimer	
	THIS _	DAY	OF	, 20_	_·		
	(NOTAR	Y PUBLI			M	Y COMMISSIO	N EXPIRES:

[& - 26th] Page 1

	10 = 00 0 7 1 10	450 455 00	
&	<b>10580</b> 2:5 4:13	<b>150</b> 175:22	171:11,13,16
<b>&amp;</b> 2:4 3:17	<b>10601</b> 1:21	<b>153</b> 175:23	<b>2010</b> 155:11
0	2:11	<b>17</b> 69:7	156:18 167:8
	<b>10:49</b> 76:6	<b>18</b> 11:3 56:10	171:7,18
01930 1:7	<b>11</b> 7:13 137:10	123:2 163:5	<b>2011</b> 171:10
<b>04</b> 53:17 61:19	137:13,16,19	170:10	<b>2012</b> 6:16
157:19 169:8	175:18	<b>1980's</b> 8:25	<b>2013</b> 6:13,14
171:20	<b>11/9/21</b> 175:19	46:21	2015/2016
<b>05</b> 155:15	<b>110.2</b> 94:11	<b>1985</b> 110:20	21:17
156:20 160:13	<b>113</b> 175:17	127:21 128:3	<b>2017</b> 6:14
168:19,20,23	<b>11:00</b> 57:21	<b>1988</b> 6:20	13:13 21:22
169:2,13	<b>12</b> 57:18,19	<b>1990</b> 62:14	<b>2018</b> 5:24 14:3
171:21	142:20,23	66:5	20:10,12,13,24
1	143:2 150:3	<b>1991</b> 6:20	24:3 167:8
<b>1</b> 3:17 5:24	175:19	41:21	<b>2019</b> 70:3
33:20,23 34:2	<b>12:23</b> 93:16	<b>1992</b> 6:18 9:20	71:20 74:21
58:2,4 62:14	<b>12th</b> 119:12	42:10,23,24	77:16,17,18
71:20 74:21	<b>13</b> 1:11 148:18	<b>1998</b> 7:14	115:14,17
77:16 110:9	148:21,24	<b>1:15</b> 173:7	<b>2020</b> 26:13
115:14,17	150:3 175:20	2	<b>2021</b> 38:4
175:8	<b>135</b> 75:13	_	40:10 78:15
1/13/2023	<b>137</b> 175:18	<b>2</b> 44:25 45:4,7	79:5 93:16
178:3	<b>14</b> 94:8 150:8	63:9 175:9	97:15 137:21
<b>10</b> 99:24	150:11,14	<b>20</b> 7:2 22:17	143:9 150:16
112:16,17	163:3 175:21	127:8 174:19	<b>2022</b> 37:8,17,21
113:13,16,19	<b>142</b> 175:19	178:22	<b>2023</b> 1:11
113:24 114:9	<b>148</b> 1:20 2:11	<b>2003</b> 48:10	177:20
114:14 116:3	175:20	<b>2004</b> 6:18 9:20	<b>2025</b> 110:9
116:15 117:8	<b>15</b> 153:4,22,25	9:23 42:10	<b>21</b> 37:22,23
118:7 137:20	154:4,19 155:3	45:19 48:3,9	114:3
155:15 156:20	155:22 156:4	48:10 49:21	<b>24394</b> 177:23
160:13 168:20	158:2,4 160:21	56:11 63:7	<b>25th</b> 177:20
168:21,24	165:9,19	155:12 156:14	<b>26</b> 78:15 93:16
169:3,6,13	175:23	171:14	<b>26th</b> 78:14
171:22 175:17		<b>2005</b> 6:16	97:20 114:9
1/1.22 1/3.1/		155:11 156:18	2

[28 - activities] Page 2

<b>28</b> 77:17	50:14 54:23	<b>86</b> 175:15	<b>accept</b> 27:10
<b>29</b> 77:18 55:2,5		9	access 11:21
<b>2:15</b> 78:15	<b>59</b> 175:10	9 63:7 76:5	23:20 62:13
3	<b>5906</b> 62:2	2001 010	76:2 123:7
_	<b>5th</b> 114:2,5,6	97:4,7,10,13	130:13
<b>3</b> 59:17,20,23	121:13	113:19,25	accommodate
69:19 175:10	6	114:7 116:13	26:6,21 27:5
<b>30</b> 3:16		117:9 118:8,8	28:4
<b>31</b> 37:8,20	6 73:20,23 74:2	137:20,21	accountability
<b>33</b> 175:8	175:13	143:8 150:15	109:15 111:5,6
<b>3581</b> 65:19	<b>63</b> 175:11	175:16	accountable
<b>3583</b> 67:13,16	7	<b>9/11</b> 31:21,24	109:13
67:20	<b>7</b> 78:3,6,9	32:4	accurate 61:14
<b>3584</b> 68:5	175:14	<b>97</b> 175:16	
<b>3585</b> 68:19	<b>70</b> 175:12	<b>9:30</b> 1:12	61:16,18 66:10
69:2 154:15	<b>712.462</b> 35:4	<b>9th</b> 69:15 70:8	accurately
<b>380</b> 94:8,12	<b>73</b> 175:13	71:8 81:3	124:18
4		a	acknowledging
	<b>737</b> 26:23		66:12
4 63:19,22,25	<b>747</b> 26:22	<b>a.m.</b> 1:12 58:2	act 20:9 34:9
154:10,12,14	<b>78</b> 175:14	58:4 71:21	53:24 125:14
155:8,23	<b>7:22</b> 1:7	74:22 76:6	131:24
157:21 158:12	<b>7:59</b> 74:22	93:16	action 10:6
158:14 160:22	<b>7th</b> 114:11	<b>aab</b> 76:7,20	34:10 37:16
164:7 175:11	116:5	133:3 136:13	39:18 48:19
176:5	8	abbreviate	49:19 51:8,20
<b>45</b> 85:3 175:9	<b>8</b> 62:3 86:20,23	18:20	68:3,11 86:6
<b>47</b> 4:12	93:13 97:21	ability 9:16	106:22 107:6,7
5	175:15	55:11 107:9	118:14 119:16
<b>5</b> 70:20,23 71:2	<b>80's</b> 104:4,19	108:2 124:10	177:16
97:15 115:12	,	156:23	actions 8:15
	105:4	<b>able</b> 8:21 9:6	10:8,10 141:13
115:13 175:12	<b>85</b> 163:9,12	26:20 60:6	active 84:10
<b>555</b> 2:5	<b>855</b> 65:18	104:14 126:3	activities 92:16
<b>5768</b> 47:6	<b>856</b> 65:6	<b>above</b> 62:11	144:15 164:22
<b>5839</b> 48:21,24	<b>859</b> 164:14,20	116:21 174:6	165:12
49:2,22 50:10			103.12
	1	1	

### [activity - airport]

activity 80:10	adjudicated	advice 38:25	<b>agreed</b> 3:5,20
164:9	110:6	84:8 106:17	59:4 63:3
actual 50:15	adjudication	117:6	87:21
55:21 68:24	128:11	advise 31:2	agreeing 153:9
actually 22:7	adjust 55:11	54:16 172:19	agreement
23:12 40:14	103:5	advised 152:20	22:18 58:13
47:6 58:11	administer	advises 53:19	72:13 73:4
65:6 69:3,6	3:11	advisory 74:17	163:24
96:24 101:2	administration	74:18 76:23,24	ahead 8:7
121:19	6:7 10:8 19:25	83:7,24,25	169:23
add 17:4 18:25	21:7,8 30:22	84:3,6 92:7	<b>aided</b> 43:14
26:25 29:8	47:17 49:24	affairs 81:12	<b>air</b> 1:3,4 16:18
67:3 72:24	56:23 82:5	affect 43:4	19:20,25 33:14
added 28:2	99:12,14,22	affected 42:5	75:13 130:4
adding 57:14	115:18 118:22	132:3 156:24	137:24 150:20
addition 87:17	120:5 122:10	156:25	150:23 151:14
121:16	133:22 134:7	aforemention	151:17 152:16
additional 19:2	134:10 135:11	33:21 45:2	<b>air's</b> 151:24
19:11,11,22	141:17 163:7	59:18 63:20	aircraft 155:6
26:2,3 27:4	168:8	70:21 73:21	155:21 156:23
33:10 59:5	administratio	78:4 86:21	airline 58:25
67:3 77:13,13	52:17	97:5 113:14	63:15 154:17
155:4,19,19	administrative	137:11 142:21	154:19 155:4
156:7 158:15	98:23	148:19 150:9	155:20
160:4	administrator	153:23	airlines 16:24
address 4:11	79:9 83:5	agencies 50:20	22:12 36:25
15:7	85:24	<b>ago</b> 56:11	88:7 105:12
addressed	<b>adopt</b> 66:17	69:24 77:22	157:15
137:23	169:9	96:5 116:2	airplanes 58:6
addressing	adopted 68:22	128:10 149:13	airport 7:24
15:6	adoption 65:3	agree 66:6	8:4,9,21 9:5,5
adds 155:3	advance 119:14	97:16,20	9:25 10:19,24
adhere 128:5	advanced	155:18 160:25	11:15 12:3,6
adjacent	123:20	169:9	12:12,16,20
132:12			13:7,14,14

[airport - apply] Page 4

14.20 15.2 16	90:5 91:18	amended	answers 5:2
14:20 15:2,16 15:19,20,22,24	92:7,15,18	155:11,13,14	
15:19,20,22,24	93:21 95:22	155:17 156:2	anticipate 127:9
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	97:15 104:18		
16:17,19,21,23 16:24 17:2,8	104:19 105:6	163:20,21 167:8 170:20	anticipation 123:3
,			
17:20,21 18:2	108:10,14,20	171:6,7 amendment	anxious 18:25
18:4,8,19 19:4	111:8 122:15		apart 115:22
19:7 21:4,9	122:20 123:5,8	156:10 167:15	151:20
22:6,17,20	123:16,17,21	167:17 168:9	apologize 61:4
23:2 24:10,18	123:25 124:4,6	168:24,25	<b>apparent</b> 121:8
24:20 25:14,20	125:11 128:6	amendments	121:9 145:13
26:2,8,19,23	129:25 130:14	161:22 167:21	apparently
27:2,6,14,16	132:7,9,12,14	167:22 168:3	82:11 117:15
28:2,4,5,9,11	132:16 135:5	168:15 170:4	119:10 125:15
28:14 29:15,17	143:12,24	171:3,8,18	170:14
29:24 30:16	144:4 145:2	172:10	appear 121:3
31:19 32:4,19	146:13 150:25	amount 23:24	141:11 144:18
32:20 34:16,24	154:8 164:9,22	37:15 132:14	149:10 155:23
36:25 37:2	165:4,12 166:4	analogy 126:6	158:13
39:21 40:15,19	166:7	analysis 15:2	appears 60:15
40:23,24 41:7	airport's 35:3	24:24	93:23 97:13,20
41:17,22,24	132:3	analyze 160:7	118:10 120:15
42:12 43:15,17	airports 87:10	anca 62:16,23	121:10 143:7
43:22,25 44:14	<b>al</b> 178:2	andrew 81:2	144:10 150:2
44:17 46:19	<b>alan</b> 53:17	82:2 85:13	151:6 155:22
47:10,15,18	alerted 79:22	<b>andy</b> 53:13	156:13,21
48:4,8 51:21	alex 83:15	annual 44:18	170:3
58:6 60:20	<b>alike</b> 150:3	answer 5:11	applicability
64:17 66:4	<b>allowed</b> 105:12	45:13 59:12	160:20 164:6
74:16,17,19,19	145:11	60:6 159:8	164:18 165:10
76:3,23,24	allows 26:4	answered	applied 43:25
79:15 82:13,15	34:13,14	141:7	<b>apply</b> 8:14
83:7,24,25	alternate	answering	75:11 128:24
84:9 87:8,9,16	106:24	72:23	128:25,25
87:19,25 88:6			142:8 164:8,19

			_
164:21 165:3,4	arbitrarily	assembly 6:15	104:5 111:11
165:12,22	105:14	54:7 56:12	113:4 115:2
166:21,23	ardsley 132:17	160:13,14	121:13 144:22
167:11	<b>area</b> 21:5 29:21	assert 108:2	147:12 149:17
appoint 90:13	29:22 51:9	asserted 63:2	164:2
appreciate 59:2	71:18 83:15	105:3,4	assuming 10:21
appreciating	92:23 105:13	assertion	31:9 85:10
121:25	129:23 136:7	106:23 118:18	95:9
approach 30:2	137:8	145:9	assumption
approached	areas 13:22	assertions	95:20 145:25
19:24	14:22 69:21	121:16	astorino 21:7
appropriate	136:10	asserts 53:23	attempted
100:25 167:11	<b>argue</b> 120:17	68:10 104:23	66:17
approval 28:23	<b>arises</b> 102:22	assessment	attended 56:13
31:4 34:11	arrangement	96:23	attention 39:20
49:14,25 50:11	21:18	<b>asset</b> 30:16	48:21 138:11
53:11 55:8,16	<b>aside</b> 72:16	124:2 133:10	attorney 1:20
56:19,20 57:4	<b>asked</b> 13:16	133:11	2:10,10 34:10
57:5,23 59:6	49:22 58:16	assigned 69:21	36:24 53:18,19
88:3,20 116:21	59:8 67:7	assignment	54:15,15 55:13
158:21 161:23	78:23 100:4	71:11	55:21 59:4
161:24 169:16	102:4 111:13	assignments	66:12 100:21
172:8	114:25 141:6	99:25	102:19,20
approve 90:7	163:15 169:14	assistant 70:4,5	105:23 147:22
160:11	<b>asking</b> 4:19,22	70:11 71:4,14	148:9 168:10
approved	13:6 23:12	71:15,15 83:15	attorney's
51:15 53:4	39:3 42:20	84:14,15	53:22 96:14
55:3 170:10	52:20 77:21	assistants 71:9	147:9,13,19
approximate	102:17 103:13	<b>assume</b> 9:10,11	attorneys 2:4
80:7	107:19 121:19	32:16 33:8,8	<b>audit</b> 44:18
<b>april</b> 78:14,14	122:7 156:9	37:15 44:20	author 63:4
89:4,10,25	<b>aspect</b> 118:21	47:12 51:6	authority 38:21
91:7,7 92:9	121:25 123:15	52:24 53:13	88:16 104:4,10
93:9,16,22	aspirations	58:24 63:10	105:10,20
95:20 96:18	16:25 28:21	92:5 95:19,22	106:15 107:8

[authority - bit] Page 6

117:3 120:21	138:8 139:16	backdrop	behalf 116:5
121:21 126:2,4	140:3 143:8	95:23	believe 34:24
126:18 128:2	147:14,16	background	45:15 61:13,15
128:18 133:16	148:3	28:20 121:24	61:17 63:6
136:24 137:5,8	<b>aware</b> 7:18	133:7	76:18 79:4
140:4	8:19 10:5,12	<b>backup</b> 43:20	110:17 121:20
authorization	10:17,23,25	83:2	128:4 131:23
100:11 116:17	12:17 21:6,10	<b>balance</b> 127:13	137:4
141:21	21:12,16 22:2	balancing	<b>believes</b> 105:19
authorize	37:12,18,24	125:14 131:24	benchmark
141:18 145:16	38:4,9 40:18	<b>barr</b> 83:13 86:9	7:12
authorized	56:2 77:19	136:8	benefit 58:12
3:11 51:19	80:21 82:9	<b>base</b> 16:23	127:19 128:17
116:19	113:7 115:18	<b>based</b> 9:4 18:19	132:20 142:18
authorizes 34:9	116:25 144:14	29:10 38:19	151:25
authorizing	149:20 151:23	45:25 62:8,9	benjamin
26:3	152:4,7 167:9	62:10,11 121:5	143:19
available 18:25	b	124:9,14 153:8	<b>best</b> 4:23 5:9
153:18	<b>b</b> 1:3 2:6 51:25	<b>basic</b> 42:25	61:18 79:20
avenue 1:20 2:5	175:2	basically	<b>better</b> 29:23
2:11	<b>back</b> 7:24	166:17	96:22
avenues 108:3	20:21 21:17	<b>basis</b> 91:8	beyond 9:16
aviation 15:20	42:8 46:19	<b>bass</b> 81:10	141:10
17:21 18:4	52:10 55:17	84:17	<b>bidder</b> 25:11
32:3,12 75:12	57:16,23 58:9	<b>bates</b> 47:3	<b>biden</b> 113:7
82:17,24 94:11	58:21 59:5	becoming	<b>big</b> 99:7
149:2 150:17	60:21 76:9	41:14	bigger 26:6
175:21		<b>began</b> 135:2	<b>bill</b> 55:25 171:9
aviva 96:2,6	77:25 80:24 97:23 99:25	beginning	<b>bin</b> 101:21
106:7		14:23 54:23	<b>bins</b> 88:15
avports 87:2,4	108:12 115:11	55:2 99:13	101:7
87:18 89:24	115:14,17	108:6	bipartisan 65:3
90:21 91:22	116:3 124:5	<b>begins</b> 48:25	<b>birth</b> 90:14
92:3,9 94:20	154:10 161:15	50:9,14 55:5	<b>bit</b> 5:9 12:3
104:23 114:17	162:22 169:15 170:19 171:10	154:13	24:16 31:15

[bit - candid] Page 7

97:25	<b>border</b> 131:25	briefs 133:4	71:12,16
<b>blade</b> 1:4 20:15	<b>boss</b> 85:6	<b>bring</b> 48:17	121:24,25
20:17,25 79:17	<b>boston</b> 41:3	168:12	122:3,8,19
82:10 108:13	125:11	broader 161:3	124:8,9,9,14,16
114:23,25	<b>bottom</b> 44:13	broadly 34:22	125:2,23 126:3
136:3 137:24	47:2 65:19	<b>brook</b> 16:14	126:24 127:14
138:7,18,23,25	74:6,8 104:22	38:8 39:17	133:8 134:20
140:23 151:25	<b>box</b> 113:7	74:15,16 79:3	<b>businesses</b> 16:4
152:11,12,13	bradbury	79:10 130:21	30:15 125:3
<b>blah</b> 55:17,17	78:14,21 80:12	136:2	c
55:17 132:5,5	83:16 85:15	brought 39:19	c 2:2 51:25
132:5 166:15	108:12 112:25	<b>bucket</b> 89:22	174:2 177:2,2
166:15,15	152:12 175:14	142:6	call 63:3 64:17
<b>blind</b> 16:14	branch 10:3	budget 21:22	68:7 81:13
<b>blood</b> 177:16	12:13 40:6	42:15,16,20,23	91:7,17,18
<b>board</b> 10:6,13	42:17 48:16	43:15,16,18,24	98:12 112:24
10:14 11:4,6	54:10 66:11	44:13,15 71:14	135:2 136:25
30:23,25 31:4	112:8	<b>budgetary</b> 15:8	150:23 172:4
34:11 47:19	branches 112:5	71:11	called 4:2 7:12
49:8,18,24	112:10	<b>buffalo</b> 112:11	40:22 73:6
51:12 52:7,11	<b>break</b> 5:16 7:20	<b>build</b> 124:19	87:2
53:16,20,21	168:25	<b>building</b> 29:6	calling 145:7
54:4,12 55:22	breakdowns	112:14 127:3,4	145:18
55:24 56:21	43:16,19	127:5 164:11	calls 102:13
64:14,24 69:8	<b>breaks</b> 118:17	164:24 165:6	134:24
74:17 76:23,24	127:7	165:17 166:15	camillo 129:16
81:21 83:7,13	<b>brief</b> 49:10	<b>buildings</b> 26:4	131:21
83:24 84:2,6	131:7	<b>bullets</b> 62:8	campaign
92:7 107:6,12	briefed 12:13	<b>bunch</b> 14:16	13:17,20 14:2
169:11 172:5	133:4	bureaucratic	17:10 25:4
<b>boards</b> 83:22	briefing 23:4	111:22	26:11 28:7
<b>boat</b> 132:11	136:19	<b>bus</b> 86:2,5	56:13,16
<b>body</b> 78:12	briefly 5:25	<b>business</b> 19:10	canaan 130:15
106:13	6:24	28:19,20,22	<b>candid</b> 56:11
		29:11 31:23	Candid 50.11

			_
candidacy	cc's 98:2,3	certification	charter 1:3,8
21:23	100:14 139:23	3:8	31:25 32:12
candidate	143:14	<b>certify</b> 174:4,8	38:19 41:9
21:14	cease 118:15,20	177:9,14	73:14 94:7,10
candidly 68:20	118:23 119:16	<b>cfr</b> 94:8	178:2
capacities	120:2,6,22	chain 74:4	chatting 40:14
83:22	141:19,25	75:21 77:20	<b>check</b> 91:3
capitalistic	142:8 145:7,18	<b>chair</b> 84:2,5	97:23
127:15	centering 42:8	chairman	<b>chen</b> 2:12
cards 71:12,16	central 32:21	55:24 76:7,22	<b>chief</b> 6:4 60:17
care 130:3,4	32:22	172:5	77:9 81:3
career 6:25	<b>ceo</b> 98:13	challenged	98:12
7:14 40:16	<b>certain</b> 8:13,22	170:5	choose 55:8
careful 35:17	9:2 10:8 15:5	challenges	140:16
carrier 75:13	28:21,24 30:24	167:9	chooses 33:17
carriers 40:25	35:9 36:25	chamber 69:15	chose 25:9
<b>case</b> 1:6 7:19	48:4 69:21	chaminski	<b>chris</b> 79:8
25:8 46:21	78:25 90:16	109:20	80:11,25 83:4
63:10 96:18	92:23 103:21	chance 25:7	83:8,8,16
97:2 104:7	104:24 105:2	change 8:15	84:23,24 85:9
105:15 110:6	107:13 122:9	51:3 57:20	85:12,15
110:24 119:9	125:20 126:2	61:23 91:13	112:24 152:12
121:10,14	126:11 127:18	169:6,11 178:5	175:14
128:11 141:3,3	127:25 128:6	changed 8:17	christina 2:6
145:5 152:5	135:4 145:12	17:7 31:21	christopher
161:13,14	certainly 19:20	32:4 169:3	78:13
178:2	33:15 39:3	171:15,21,22	chronological
<b>cases</b> 30:21	42:25 75:19	changes 52:6	74:7
102:21 146:4	79:14 81:16	52:19 57:3,6	circumstance
<b>castle</b> 130:21	111:13 136:5	57:11 58:19	145:15
136:11	149:18 169:5	61:9 169:10	circumstances
categorically	172:15	171:25	33:9 52:14
94:12	certainty	changing 92:20	<b>city</b> 6:19 86:3
category	148:12	channels 90:21	123:22,23
120:10		90:25	130:11,21

civil 1:18	80:6 89:19	commission	138:5 139:12
clarification	92:15,25,25	178:25	139:13
60:18	96:10 100:4	commissioner	communicati
clarify 23:5	105:12 110:10	69:13,25 70:2	39:11,13 79:3
classified 68:10	117:4,22,25	87:14 95:25	102:15
<b>clean</b> 5:13	120:25 135:14	99:2,4,20	communities
cleanliness	138:10 161:12	commissions	130:12
126:10	170:18	83:23	community
<b>clear</b> 47:25	<b>comes</b> 29:7,9	committee 34:8	18:10 39:25
48:13 94:11	51:4,10 120:24	36:23 56:5,10	122:19
102:17 117:11	126:25 134:15	64:14,15 65:16	commuter
121:15 122:16	comfort 86:14	65:23 66:9	123:22
cleared 87:22	comfortable	68:23 74:16	companies
clearly 118:17	27:15 89:13	79:25 80:6,19	41:10
133:12	91:12	89:20 90:4	company 7:12
<b>client</b> 100:21	<b>coming</b> 126:20	93:3 157:9	87:2
105:23	129:5 139:6	162:17 168:11	compare 59:14
<b>close</b> 98:21	146:6	175:8	154:18 157:24
124:3	commence	committee's	171:13,16
closely 141:14	133:17	67:12	compares
<b>closer</b> 29:19	commenced	committees	157:13
codification	12:20	64:18,22 65:12	<b>compete</b> 109:12
163:11	comment 50:23	common 40:4	completely
codified 67:7	72:20 95:5	communicate	109:8,14
69:3	commercial	88:5 109:10	compliance
codifies 66:25	15:19 17:19,25	148:11	72:2
162:7 166:18	22:12 32:19	communicated	complicated
codify 68:25	38:17,18 41:5	94:19	32:9
<b>coli</b> 126:21	82:16,23	communicates	complication
combination	101:15 105:13	48:16	17:9
146:2	118:19 120:8,9	communicating	component
<b>come</b> 13:7 14:3	125:10 128:21	76:22	147:24
16:6 26:5,7	139:8	communication	components
28:20,22 39:24	commercially	77:25 90:20	32:16
	31:25	91:25 129:13	I

### [conceivably - convinced]

conceivably         conformity         35:10         consultation         23:22 80:5           concept         8:3,10         congressman         136:14 147:9         89:9,10 91:16           25:18         172:17         148:10         96:17 100:20           concern         76:2         connecticut         consulted         106:17,20           77:6 83:18         129:12,25         consulting         136:13,15           concerned         130:5 131:2,10         100:3         140:18 142:14           74:19         131:14 132:21         consults         91:22         conversational           conclude         133:12,24         consults         91:22         conversational           concluded         36:7         contacting         77:8         39:11,12 56:17           concluded         36:7         contain         43:16         conversations           concluded         36:7         consider         contain         43:16         conversations           ronditions         65:24         21:23         content         131:12 0           confer         133:23         consideration         12:23         continue         65:28 66:4           confidence         31:10         considerations <th< th=""><th></th><th></th><th>10151600</th><th></th></th<>			10151600	
concept 8:3,10 25:18         congressman 172:17         136:14 147:9 148:10         89:9,10 91:16 96:17 100:20           concern 76:2 77:6 83:18         29:17 99:6 177:6 135:23         112:18 136:4 136:4 17:20         106:17,20           77:6 83:18 163:7         129:12,25 consulting 136:13,15         136:13,15 consulting 136:13,15         136:13,15 consulting 136:13,15         140:18 142:14 consults 91:22 consults 91:23 consult 48:24 consult 48:54 consult 48:41 consult 43:16 consult 43:16 consult 100:23 101:3 consult 100:23 consult 100:23 101:3 consult 100:23 consult 111:9 consult 100:23 consult 111:9 consult 100:23 consult 111:9 consult 100:23 consult 111:9 consult 100:23 co	conceivably	conformity	134:7 160:9	conversation
25:18         172:17         148:10         96:17 100:20           concern         76:2         connecticut         consulted         106:17,20           77:6 83:18         29:17 99:6         117:6 135:23         112:18 136:4           163:7         129:12,25         consulting         136:13,15           concerned         130:5 131:2,10         100:3         140:18 142:14           74:19         131:14 132:21         consults 91:22         conversational           concerns         32:5         133:12,24         contact 84:24         5:9 112:3           conclude         36:7         contact 84:24         5:9 112:3         conversations           concluded         36:7         contain 43:16         100:23 101:3         100:23 101:3           173:9         consensus         contained         103:15,21         100:23 101:3           conclusion         164:3         37:13         106:14 107:11         100:23 101:3           75:10 139:17         consider 48:5,7         contemporary         131:20         conveye 64:25           confer 133:23         consider 58:8         content 154:25         65:2 86:4           confer 133:23         consideration         128:8 152:10         conveyence           2:14,1				
concern         76:2         connecticut         consulted         106:17,20           77:6 83:18         29:17 99:6         117:6 135:23         112:18 136:4           163:7         129:12,25         consulting         136:13,15           concerned         130:5 131:2,10         100:3         140:18 142:14           74:19         131:14 132:21         consults         91:22           concerns         32:5         133:12,24         consults         91:22           conclude         36:7         contact 84:24         5:9 112:3           concluded         36:7         contain 43:16         conversations           conclusion         164:3         37:13         100:23 101:3           conclusion         164:3         37:13         106:14 107:11           conditions         65:24         21:23         contemporary           126:14         consider 58:8         content 154:25         65:2 86:4           conference         31:12,17         continue 63:18         88:11 96:19           2:14,15         considerations         153:10,20         49:16 64:12,13           confidence         31:10         contract 88:24         79:19 80:17           confident         87:21 130:18         con	_	_		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
77:6 83:18         29:17 99:6         117:6 135:23         112:18 136:4           163:7         129:12,25         consulting         136:13,15           concerned         130:5 131:2,10         100:3         140:18 142:14           74:19         131:14 132:21         consults 91:22         conversational           41:25         134:4         85:24 134:16         conversations           conclude         36:7         contact 84:24         5:9 112:3           concluded         36:7         contacting 77:8         39:11,12 56:17           conclusion         164:3         37:13         106:14 107:11           75:10 139:17         consent 48:5,7         contemporary         131:20           conditions         65:24         21:23         convey 64:25           126:14         consider 58:8         content 154:25         65:2 86:4           conficer 133:23         consideration         128:8 152:10         convey 64:25           confidence         31:12,17         continue 63:18         34:13 46:17           2:14,15         considerations         153:10,20         49:16 64:12,13           confidence         31:10         contrinued 15:7         67:22 75:16,17           confident         87:21 130:18		172:17	148:10	96:17 100:20
163:7	concern 76:2		consulted	106:17,20
concerned         130:5 131:2,10         100:3         140:18 142:14           74:19         131:14 132:21         consults         91:22         conversational           soncerns         32:5         133:12,24         contact         84:24         5:9 112:3           41:25         134:4         85:24 134:16         conversations           concluded         36:7         contacting         77:8         39:11,12 56:17           concluded         36:7         contain         43:16         100:23 101:3           173:9         consensus         contained         103:15,21           conclusion         164:3         37:13         106:14 107:11           75:10 139:17         consent         48:5,7         contemporary         131:20           conditions         65:24         21:23         convey 64:25           conduct         134:20         109:15 156:8         content         154:25         65:2 86:4           conduct         133:23         consideration         128:8 152:10         conveyance           conference         31:12,17         continue         63:18         34:13 46:17           2:14,15         considerations         153:10,20         49:16 64:12,13           confide	77:6 83:18	29:17 99:6	117:6 135:23	112:18 136:4
74:19         131:14 132:21         consults         91:22         conversational           41:25         134:4         85:24 134:16         5:9 112:3           conclude         36:7         contacting         77:8         39:11,12 56:17           concluded         36:7         contain         43:16         100:23 101:3           173:9         consensus         contained         103:15,21           conditions         164:3         37:13         106:14 107:11           75:10 139:17         consent         48:5,7         contemporary         131:20           conditions         65:24         21:23         convey         64:25           126:14         consider         58:8         content         154:25         65:2 86:4           conduct         134:20         109:15 156:8         17:10 46:23         111:9           conference         31:12,17         continue         63:18         34:13 46:17           2:14,15         considerations         153:10,20         49:16 64:12,13           confidence         31:10         contracted         85:11 100:15           confident         87:21 130:18         contracted         85:11 100:15           confidentiality         57:13 92:24 <th>163:7</th> <th>129:12,25</th> <th>consulting</th> <th>136:13,15</th>	163:7	129:12,25	consulting	136:13,15
concerns         32:5         133:12,24         contact         84:24         5:9 112:3           conclude         62:12         connection         contacting         77:8         39:11,12 56:17           concluded         36:7         contain         43:16         100:23 101:3           173:9         consensus         contain         43:16         100:23 101:3           conclusion         164:3         37:13         106:14 107:11           75:10 139:17         consent         48:5,7         contemporary         131:20           conditions         65:24         consider         58:8         contemporary         131:20           consider         58:8         content         154:25         65:2 86:4           conduct         134:20         109:15 156:8         content         154:25         65:2 86:4           conder         133:23         consideration         128:8 152:10         conveyance           confidence         31:12,17         continue         63:18         34:13 46:17           2:14,15         considerations         153:10,20         49:16 64:12,13           confident         87:21 130:18         87:7 148:3         conveyances           confidentiality         57:13 92:2	concerned	130:5 131:2,10	100:3	140:18 142:14
41:25         134:4         85:24 134:16         conversations           concluded         36:7         contacting 77:8         39:11,12 56:17           173:9         consensus         contain 43:16         100:23 101:3           conclusion         164:3         37:13         106:14 107:11           75:10 139:17         consent 48:5,7         contemporary         131:20           conditions         65:24         consider 58:8         content 154:25         65:2 86:4           conduct 134:20         109:15 156:8         content 154:25         65:2 86:4           conference         31:10         consideration         128:8 152:10         continue 63:18         34:13 46:17           2:14,15         considerations         153:10,20         49:16 64:12,13           confidence         31:10         continued 15:7         67:22 75:16,17           90:13         considered         87:21 130:18         contracted         85:11 100:15           confident         87:21 392:24         87:7 148:3         conveyances           confidentiality         57:13 92:24         87:7 148:3         conveyances           configuration         construct         26:3         convenience         95:16 119:23           169:5         cons	74:19	131:14 132:21	consults 91:22	conversational
conclude         62:12 connection         contacting         77:8 contain         39:11,12 56:17           173:9         consensus         contain         43:16         100:23 101:3           conclusion         164:3         37:13         106:14 107:11           75:10 139:17         consent         48:5,7         contemporary         131:20           conditions         65:24         21:23         convey 64:25           126:14         consider         58:8         content         154:25         65:2 86:4           conduct         134:20         172:25         17:10 46:23         111:9         convey 64:25           confer         133:23         consideration         128:8 152:10         conveyance           conference         31:12,17         continue         63:18         34:13 46:17           2:14,15         considerations         153:10,20         49:16 64:12,13           confidence         31:10         contract         88:24         79:19 80:17           confident         87:21 130:18         contracted         85:11 100:15           confidentiality         57:13 92:24         18:9         conveyances           169:5         construction         132:7         conveying	concerns 32:5	133:12,24	contact 84:24	5:9 112:3
concluded         36:7         contain         43:16         100:23 101:3           173:9         164:3         37:13         106:14 107:11           75:10 139:17         consent         48:5,7         contemporary         131:20           conditions         65:24         21:23         convey         64:25           126:14         consider         58:8         content         154:25         65:2 86:4           conduct         134:20         109:15 156:8         context         13:16         88:11 96:19           145:10         172:25         17:10 46:23         111:9         conveyance           conference         31:12,17         continue         63:18         34:13 46:17           2:14,15         considerations         153:10,20         49:16 64:12,13           confidence         31:10         continued         15:7         67:22 75:16,17           90:13         considered         contract         85:11 100:15           confident         87:21 130:18         87:7 148:3         conveyances           confidentiality         57:13 92:24         18:9         conveyed         94:4           configuration         construct         132:7         conveying         78:21	41:25	134:4	85:24 134:16	conversations
173:9         consensus         164:3         37:13         106:14 107:11           75:10 139:17         consent 48:5,7         contemporary         131:20           conditions         65:24         21:23         convey 64:25           126:14         consider 58:8         content 154:25         65:2 86:4           conduct 134:20         109:15 156:8         context 13:16         88:11 96:19           145:10         172:25         17:10 46:23         111:9           confer 133:23         consideration         128:8 152:10         conveyance           confidence         31:12,17         continue 63:18         34:13 46:17           2:14,15         considerations         153:10,20         49:16 64:12,13           confidence         31:10         continued 15:7         67:22 75:16,17           90:13         considered         contract 88:24         79:19 80:17           confident         87:21 130:18         contracted         85:11 100:15           confidentiality         57:13 92:24         18:9         conveyances           configuration         construct 27:3         convenience         95:16 119:23           confirm 94:6         26:3         convenient         78:21           conflict 34:25	conclude 62:12	connection	contacting 77:8	39:11,12 56:17
conclusion         164:3         37:13         106:14 107:11           75:10 139:17         consent 48:5,7         contemporary         131:20           conditions         65:24         21:23         convey 64:25           126:14         consider 58:8         content 154:25         65:2 86:4           conduct 134:20         109:15 156:8         context 13:16         88:11 96:19           145:10         172:25         17:10 46:23         111:9           confer 133:23         consideration         128:8 152:10         conveyance           conference         31:12,17         continue 63:18         34:13 46:17           2:14,15         considerations         153:10,20         49:16 64:12,13           confidence         31:10         contract 88:24         79:19 80:17           90:13         considered         contract 88:24         79:19 80:17           confident         87:21 130:18         contracted         85:11 100:15           103:16         consistent         87:7 148:3         conveyances           configuration         construct 27:3         convenience         95:16 119:23           169:5         construction         132:7         conveying           conflict 34:25         consult 52:12	concluded	36:7	contain 43:16	100:23 101:3
75:10 139:17         consent 48:5,7         contemporary         131:20           conditions         65:24         21:23         convey 64:25           126:14         consider 58:8         content 154:25         65:2 86:4           conduct 134:20         109:15 156:8         context 13:16         88:11 96:19           145:10         172:25         17:10 46:23         111:9           conference         31:12,17         continue 63:18         34:13 46:17           2:14,15         considerations         153:10,20         49:16 64:12,13           confidence         31:10         continued 15:7         67:22 75:16,17           90:13         considered         contract 88:24         79:19 80:17           confident         87:21 130:18         contracted         85:11 100:15           103:16         consistent         87:7 148:3         conveyances           confidentiality         57:13 92:24         18:9         conveyances           169:5         construct 27:3         convenience         95:16 119:23           configuration         26:3         convenient         78:21           conflict 34:25         consult 52:12         125:9         convinced           54:11 117:17         54:14 102:23	173:9	consensus	contained	103:15,21
conditions         65:24         21:23         convey         64:25           126:14         consider 58:8         content 154:25         65:2 86:4           conduct 134:20         109:15 156:8         context 13:16         88:11 96:19           145:10         172:25         17:10 46:23         111:9           confer 133:23         consideration         128:8 152:10         conveyance           conference         31:12,17         continue 63:18         34:13 46:17           2:14,15         considerations         153:10,20         49:16 64:12,13           confidence         31:10         contract 88:24         79:19 80:17           confident         87:21 130:18         contracted         85:11 100:15           confidentiality         57:13 92:24         contributes         11:20           38:24         140:6 163:8,9         18:9         conveyances           configuration         construct 27:3         convenience         95:16 119:23           confirm 94:6         26:3         convenient         78:21           conflict 34:25         54:11 117:17         54:14 102:23         conversant         168:14	conclusion	164:3	37:13	106:14 107:11
126:14         consider 58:8         content 154:25         65:2 86:4           conduct 134:20         109:15 156:8         context 13:16         88:11 96:19           145:10         172:25         17:10 46:23         111:9           confer 133:23         consideration         128:8 152:10         conveyance           conference         31:12,17         continue 63:18         34:13 46:17           2:14,15         considerations         153:10,20         49:16 64:12,13           confidence         31:10         continued 15:7         67:22 75:16,17           90:13         considered         contract 88:24         79:19 80:17           confident         87:21 130:18         contracted         85:11 100:15           103:16         consistent         87:7 148:3         conveyances           confidentiality         57:13 92:24         18:9         conveyances           169:5         construct 27:3         convenience         95:16 119:23           confirm 94:6         26:3         convenient         78:21           conflict 34:25         consult 52:12         125:9         convinced           54:11 117:17         54:14 102:23         conversant         168:14	75:10 139:17	<b>consent</b> 48:5,7	contemporary	131:20
conduct         134:20         109:15 156:8         context         13:16         88:11 96:19           145:10         172:25         17:10 46:23         111:9           confer         133:23         consideration         128:8 152:10         conveyance           conference         31:12,17         continue         63:18         34:13 46:17           2:14,15         considerations         153:10,20         49:16 64:12,13           confidence         31:10         continued         15:7         67:22 75:16,17           90:13         considered         contract         88:24         79:19 80:17           confident         87:21 130:18         contracted         85:11 100:15           103:16         consistent         87:7 148:3         conveyances           confidentiality         57:13 92:24         contributes         11:20           38:24         140:6 163:8,9         18:9         conveyed         94:4           configuration         construct         27:3         convenience         95:16 119:23           confirm         94:6         26:3         convenient         78:21           conflict         34:25         54:14 102:23         conversant         168:14	conditions	65:24	21:23	<b>convey</b> 64:25
145:10         172:25         17:10 46:23         111:9           confer 133:23         consideration         128:8 152:10         conveyance           conference         31:12,17         continue 63:18         34:13 46:17           2:14,15         considerations         153:10,20         49:16 64:12,13           confidence         31:10         continued 15:7         67:22 75:16,17           90:13         considered         contract 88:24         79:19 80:17           confident         87:21 130:18         contracted         85:11 100:15           103:16         consistent         87:7 148:3         conveyances           confidentiality         57:13 92:24         18:9         conveyances           169:5         construct 27:3         convenience         95:16 119:23           confirm 94:6         26:3         convenient         78:21           conflict 34:25         consult 52:12         125:9         convinced           54:11 117:17         54:14 102:23         conversant         168:14	126:14	consider 58:8	<b>content</b> 154:25	65:2 86:4
confer         133:23         consideration         128:8 152:10         conveyance           2:14,15         considerations         153:10,20         49:16 64:12,13           confidence         31:10         continued         15:7         67:22 75:16,17           90:13         considered         contract         88:24         79:19 80:17           confident         87:21 130:18         contracted         85:11 100:15           103:16         consistent         87:7 148:3         conveyances           confidentiality         57:13 92:24         contributes         11:20           38:24         140:6 163:8,9         18:9         conveyed         94:4           configuration         construct         27:3         convenience         95:16 119:23           169:5         construction         132:7         conveying           conflict         34:25         consult         52:12         125:9         convinced           54:11 117:17         54:14 102:23         conversant         168:14	<b>conduct</b> 134:20	109:15 156:8	context 13:16	88:11 96:19
conference         31:12,17         continue         63:18         34:13 46:17           2:14,15         considerations         153:10,20         49:16 64:12,13           confidence         31:10         continued         15:7         67:22 75:16,17           90:13         considered         contract         88:24         79:19 80:17           confident         87:21 130:18         contracted         85:11 100:15           confidentiality         57:13 92:24         contributes         11:20           38:24         140:6 163:8,9         18:9         conveyed         94:4           configuration         construct         27:3         convenience         95:16 119:23           169:5         construction         132:7         conveying           conflict         34:25         consult         52:12         125:9         convinced           54:11 117:17         54:14 102:23         conversant         168:14	145:10	172:25	17:10 46:23	111:9
2:14,15         considerations         153:10,20         49:16 64:12,13           confidence         31:10         continued         15:7         67:22 75:16,17           90:13         considered         contract         88:24         79:19 80:17           confident         87:21 130:18         contracted         85:11 100:15           103:16         consistent         87:7 148:3         conveyances           confidentiality         57:13 92:24         contributes         11:20           38:24         140:6 163:8,9         18:9         conveyed         94:4           configuration         construct         27:3         convenience         95:16 119:23           169:5         construction         132:7         conveying           conflict         34:25         consult         52:12         125:9         convinced           54:11 117:17         54:14 102:23         conversant         168:14	<b>confer</b> 133:23	consideration	128:8 152:10	conveyance
confidence         31:10         continued         15:7         67:22 75:16,17           90:13         considered         contract         88:24         79:19 80:17           confident         87:21 130:18         contracted         85:11 100:15           103:16         consistent         87:7 148:3         conveyances           confidentiality         57:13 92:24         contributes         11:20           38:24         140:6 163:8,9         18:9         conveyed         94:4           configuration         construct         27:3         convenience         95:16 119:23           169:5         construction         132:7         conveying           conflict         34:25         consult         52:12         125:9         convinced           54:11 117:17         54:14 102:23         conversant         168:14	conference	31:12,17	continue 63:18	34:13 46:17
90:13         considered         contract         88:24         79:19 80:17           confident         87:21 130:18         contracted         85:11 100:15           103:16         consistent         87:7 148:3         conveyances           confidentiality         57:13 92:24         contributes         11:20           38:24         140:6 163:8,9         18:9         conveyed         94:4           configuration         construct         27:3         convenience         95:16 119:23           confirm         94:6         26:3         convenient         78:21           conflict         34:25         consult         52:12         125:9         convinced           54:11 117:17         54:14 102:23         conversant         168:14	2:14,15	considerations	153:10,20	49:16 64:12,13
confident         87:21 130:18         contracted         85:11 100:15           103:16         consistent         87:7 148:3         conveyances           confidentiality         57:13 92:24         contributes         11:20           38:24         140:6 163:8,9         18:9         conveyed 94:4           configuration         construct 27:3         convenience         95:16 119:23           confirm 94:6         26:3         convenient         78:21           conflict 34:25         consult 52:12         125:9         convinced           54:11 117:17         54:14 102:23         conversant         168:14	confidence	31:10	continued 15:7	67:22 75:16,17
103:16         consistent         87:7 148:3         conveyances           38:24         140:6 163:8,9         18:9         conveyed 94:4           configuration         construct 27:3         convenience         95:16 119:23           169:5         construction         132:7         conveying           conflict 34:25         consult 52:12         125:9         convinced           54:11 117:17         54:14 102:23         conversant         168:14	90:13	considered	contract 88:24	79:19 80:17
confidentiality         57:13 92:24         contributes         11:20           38:24         140:6 163:8,9         18:9         conveyed 94:4           configuration         construct 27:3         convenience         95:16 119:23           169:5         construction         132:7         conveying           conflict 34:25         consult 52:12         125:9         convinced           54:11 117:17         54:14 102:23         conversant         168:14	confident	87:21 130:18	contracted	85:11 100:15
38:24       140:6 163:8,9       18:9       conveyed 94:4         configuration       construct 27:3       convenience       95:16 119:23         169:5       construction       132:7       conveying         conflict 34:25       consult 52:12       125:9       convinced         54:11 117:17       54:14 102:23       conversant       168:14	103:16	consistent	87:7 148:3	conveyances
configuration         construct 27:3         convenience         95:16 119:23           169:5         construction         132:7         conveying           confirm 94:6         26:3         convenient         78:21           conflict 34:25         consult 52:12         125:9         convinced           54:11 117:17         54:14 102:23         conversant         168:14	confidentiality	57:13 92:24	contributes	11:20
169:5       construction       132:7       conveying         confirm 94:6       26:3       convenient       78:21         conflict 34:25       consult 52:12       125:9       convinced         54:11 117:17       54:14 102:23       conversant       168:14	38:24	140:6 163:8,9	18:9	conveyed 94:4
confirm         94:6         26:3         convenient         78:21           conflict         34:25         consult         52:12         125:9         convinced           54:11         117:17         54:14         102:23         conversant         168:14	configuration	construct 27:3	convenience	95:16 119:23
confirm         94:6         26:3         convenient         78:21           conflict         34:25         consult         52:12         125:9         convinced           54:11         117:17         54:14         102:23         conversant         168:14	169:5	construction	132:7	conveying
54:11 117:17	confirm 94:6	26:3	convenient	
	conflict 34:25	consult 52:12	125:9	convinced
102:24 133:23   13:20	54:11 117:17	54:14 102:23	conversant	168:14
		102:24 133:23	13:20	

[coo - created] Page 11

<b>coo</b> 98:12,14	corresponden	53:19,22 54:14	154:7 156:6
cooperative	55:18	54:15,16 55:4	159:18 161:9
172:16,20	council 6:19	55:13,14,20	163:11,18
<b>copied</b> 47:20	<b>counsel</b> 3:6,17	56:22 59:4	167:6,7,24
72:7 84:22,23	30:25 31:3	60:20 61:9	168:4,10 170:6
85:4 86:7,8	38:2 53:16	62:12,25 64:17	177:5 178:2
100:19 103:9	54:5,7,8 55:13	66:11,16,19	<b>county's</b> 62:15
109:18 115:23	60:17 63:5	71:5 75:10	104:23 140:3
116:22 140:10	102:15 172:18	76:24 77:5	<b>couple</b> 28:10
144:10	175:24	81:21 83:14,18	79:19 96:3
<b>copies</b> 116:11	counter 108:4	85:25 86:2,4,8	99:10 134:21
<b>copy</b> 3:14,17	<b>county</b> 1:8,8,20	86:16 87:9	144:21 157:3
79:24	2:10 5:21 6:5,8	90:21 94:20	<b>course</b> 13:19
corner 65:8	6:17 8:21 9:5	95:16 96:14	40:10 54:24
corporate 7:13	9:19,22,24	98:16 99:23	100:6,21
31:23 32:12	10:3,7,11 11:3	100:12 101:18	101:17 108:25
41:12 93:24	11:6,11,15,24	103:20 104:17	112:21 172:3
132:8	12:6,9,19 13:8	105:19 110:5,8	<b>court</b> 1:2 3:13
corporation	13:13,17,23	112:11 116:17	5:3,12 9:12,17
7:9,10	14:7 16:5	118:5 120:12	104:6 109:25
corporations	21:25 22:3,15	122:21 123:11	110:2 128:11
7:6,7 19:8	22:22 23:7,11	123:19 124:2	163:10
29:21 41:13	23:22 24:2	127:23 128:2	coverage 166:4
115:2 123:19	28:23,23 29:15	129:11,12,14	covered 15:10
125:7 144:25	29:16,24 33:5	129:23,24	38:24
correct 9:21	34:10 35:5	130:8,9 131:16	<b>covid</b> 17:5
33:4 99:20	36:24 40:21	133:16,22	26:13 135:3
100:8 126:15	41:14,16,20,21	134:25 136:7	<b>craft</b> 53:11
126:16 143:17	42:9,23 43:7	136:23 145:16	<b>cranny</b> 42:18
147:21 155:9	43:12,22,24	146:11 147:9	<b>create</b> 105:11
155:24 158:10	44:2,13,21	147:13,16,19	117:21 127:21
174:9	45:17,21,22	147:22,24	created 17:9
corrections	46:18 47:10,14	148:4,9 149:15	62:24 88:2,2
14:17	50:12,22 51:2	149:19 151:17	88:12
	51:16 53:13,18	153:13,16	

### [creating - depicted]

creating 117:20	113:25 137:20	decent 169:6	definitions
156:22	137:21 143:8	decided 9:17	163:14
critical 109:22	150:15 151:6	24:9	degree 88:25
<b>cunzio</b> 136:11	david 2:12	decision 22:16	112:2
<b>curfew</b> 57:22	93:25 100:10	22:22,23,25	<b>delta</b> 171:17
57:25 58:2,4,8	<b>day</b> 11:21	24:13 31:6	<b>delux</b> 1:3 94:10
59:11 105:5,8	26:11 40:25	39:6 104:7	178:2
105:9 157:6	47:17,18 48:9	107:12 112:13	demand 19:7
current 5:20	53:10,13,14,17	122:10 129:8	29:17 94:7,13
52:8 58:16	55:14,15,25	172:4	demonstrate
89:4 106:7	60:16 77:15	decisions 22:21	65:25
currently 66:21	87:8,8,15,15,17	107:18 109:16	<b>denied</b> 66:19
69:12 84:18	87:17 98:14,14	defendant 1:9	department
cursory 60:7	106:7,8,18,18	1:15 2:10	12:11 14:9,11
<b>cv</b> 1:7	109:16,23	defensible	14:18,21 39:22
d	115:25 174:19	109:25 110:2	53:9 67:23
<b>d</b> 1:3 3:2 51:25	177:20 178:22	deficiencies	68:9 69:13
174:2 176:2	<b>days</b> 3:16 40:22	126:15,17	75:18 77:5,8
darien 130:15	137:20	deficient	87:12,23 99:3
date 1:11 10:3	dc10 26:22	126:14 127:6	99:9 100:5
11:8 33:24	<b>deal</b> 14:5 16:21	<b>define</b> 25:16,20	126:7 148:5,7
37:15 45:5	24:7	161:10 169:24	department's
59:21 63:23	dealing 16:4	172:11	75:10
70:24 73:24	112:9	defined 32:6	departments
77:23 78:7	<b>dealt</b> 23:19	160:22 161:4	12:15 98:16,17
86:24 97:8	24:4,6 39:8	171:17	depend 88:4
113:17,25	124:2	defining 155:20	depending
135:17,23	debate 11:17	<b>definitely</b> 60:5	28:25 29:2
142:24 148:22	20:5 24:12	definition	30:23 89:11
150:12 151:7	27:20 163:25	27:11 154:17	depends 52:13
154:2 169:22	169:8	154:18 157:21	87:20 88:13,20
171:6 178:3	<b>debated</b> 168:19	157:25 158:9	89:21 96:16
dated 21:17	december 6:14	158:16 162:4	106:19 162:4
37:8,20 45:18	6:16,18,20	162:18 166:9	depicted
71:20 93:15		166:24 169:19	114:17
•	•	•	•

### [depose - discussed]

- <b>-</b>			G
<b>depose</b> 172:16	detail 23:20	160:2,12,15	diligence 24:11
deposing 109:5	46:19,21 144:3	161:8,12,15	113:9
deposition 1:15	160:7 166:12	<b>die</b> 127:8	direct 12:6
3:8,9,14 46:24	detailed 46:16	difference	48:20 70:11
78:23 110:10	68:12	118:7 121:22	131:19 134:16
129:5 152:22	details 95:23	122:2 150:4	142:17
153:10 173:4	162:3,19	154:24	directed 34:22
178:3	determination	differences	directing 50:8
<b>deputy</b> 14:6,8	161:16	113:21 115:10	direction 25:2
14:14 24:21	determine 30:5	115:21 155:2	25:9 89:14
60:17 69:13,25	30:19 32:15	different 6:8	112:2
70:2 80:9	50:25 55:10,15	11:12 12:8	directly 81:4
81:23 84:14	55:21 89:7	14:16 18:5,6	85:9,25 87:11
98:19 103:19	108:16 126:13	18:11 19:14	90:6 91:7,19
describe 24:15	128:12,13	25:18,25 27:9	102:20 131:21
68:15 101:25	139:20 159:6	27:9 28:24	148:5
102:9 104:14	172:18	29:8 41:7	director 12:10
described	determined	50:14 54:5	14:7,8,13,14
27:19,25 70:6	110:23	68:25 75:25	24:21 36:25
100:16	determines	82:11,21 84:19	71:17 80:9,14
describes 119:6	57:9	85:3 88:19	81:11,23 84:15
description	detroit 41:4	90:10,18	84:18 96:7
163:13 175:7	develop 86:19	106:25 107:2,5	98:7,8,20
<b>design</b> 155:6,21	developing	107:14 109:14	disclosure
designation	72:2	119:15 127:24	102:14
155:4	development	134:25 139:3	discovery
desire 67:3	16:3 93:25	142:6 154:19	111:11,14
<b>desist</b> 118:15	99:5 122:18,22	154:23 157:11	113:5
118:21,23	<b>dialog</b> 12:14	169:4	discuss 39:23
119:17 120:2,6	50:25 52:2,22	differentiation	54:18 90:7
120:23 141:19	52:23,25 79:12	56:4 163:19	102:22 169:9
141:25 142:8	89:3 110:15	differently	discussed 19:23
145:7,19	111:23 132:25	90:10	37:25 76:9
desk 117:22	134:4,13	differing	101:11 117:5
	135:15 136:6,8	106:15	162:16 170:17

### [discusses - earlier]

discusses	document	169:24 170:3	<b>draw</b> 163:19
168:12	33:22 35:19,23	170:23 176:8,9	drinking 16:11
discussion	45:3,8,16,18	<b>doe</b> 135:10	drive 29:20
11:16 16:6	47:16 48:21	<b>doing</b> 4:25	drives 129:8
20:5,6 21:3	49:21 50:2,24	58:12 86:14	<b>due</b> 24:11
24:12 30:19	51:7,11,15	110:21 118:16	duly 4:3 174:5
38:22 55:12	53:23 59:19	120:21 121:2,7	177:11
56:5 69:10	60:4,8,10,14	121:8,18,20	duties 5:25
93:2 94:6 96:9	62:3 63:21	122:3,6,8,9	69:17
96:11,20	64:8,25 66:25	131:5 137:7	e
100:24 103:10	67:8,14 70:22	142:7,9	
103:11 106:5	73:22 78:5	domain 20:3	e 2:2,2 3:2,2 4:2
107:17 123:14	79:16,18,21	107:16	4:2,2 70:14,15 71:2,3,20 74:4
135:25 140:11	86:22 94:24	door 127:22,25	74:7,21 75:4
152:10,19	97:6 110:14,21	167:5,7	· /
156:21 162:10	113:15 115:6	<b>dorf</b> 2:4	75:21,23 76:4 76:8,13 77:13
162:12 163:25	137:12 140:18	<b>dotted</b> 133:9	77:14,20 78:13
167:4,20	142:22 146:21	<b>double</b> 97:23	78:13,18 79:24
169:21 172:23	148:15,20	<b>doubt</b> 122:23	82:6,8 85:3,12
173:2,5	150:10,21	downstairs	85:19 93:15,23
discussions	153:24 161:18	53:21	94:4 97:19
24:25 40:6	161:20,21	<b>dpw</b> 14:21	100:18 101:4
53:15 101:23	162:5,8,10	24:18	103:25 114:8
102:10 105:22	163:3,8,17	<b>dpwt</b> 92:8	114:24 115:13
105:25,25	171:6,8,19	95:25 147:17	126:21 174:2
114:13 130:20	175:9,10,11,15	147:18	175:2,12,13
140:7,9 144:23	175:17,23	drabinsky	176:2 177:2,2
163:4 168:18	documentation	93:25 100:10	earlier 37:17
distant 11:7	111:15	100:15 103:9	37:22 40:13
163:5	documents	<b>draft</b> 49:6,12	48:10,17 56:2
distinct 109:2	11:20 13:25	49:23 52:25	64:20 68:22
district 1:2,2	14:25 23:20	56:18,25	74:25 78:22
41:22 42:6	43:20 44:23	drafted 111:9	79:5 82:14
43:5,7,10	48:12 77:24	drainage 30:12	114:19 140:6
	113:8 146:2,18		152:6 154:14

### [earlier - example]

	I	I	
157:5	<b>ellen</b> 79:19 80:8	<b>ensue</b> 52:23	errata 178:1
early 7:14 21:3	81:23 84:12	ensued 52:2	<b>esq</b> 1:19 2:6,6,9
ease 47:4	85:4	ensure 32:9	2:12,12,14,15
easier 29:20	<b>elude</b> 116:23	enterprise	essence 81:6
easily 106:9	126:5	43:23	establish
112:16	<b>eluded</b> 64:20	<b>entire</b> 99:12	107:13
easy 32:3 91:5	82:14 114:18	entirety 105:15	established
economic 16:2	122:14 144:13	entities 16:22	29:3 38:20
18:10 30:14	149:6	34:24 58:7	88:15 106:12
99:5 122:18,21	eluding 77:4	82:12 115:2	107:24 118:2
123:15	embedded	119:24 120:14	establishes
<b>effect</b> 3:12,15	88:10	132:8 133:24	64:16
61:11 62:13	emergency	134:9,21 166:6	et 178:2
66:4 120:13	69:14	entitled 121:5	evaluate 24:22
<b>effort</b> 66:18	<b>emily</b> 69:20	148:9	77:10
efforts 96:4	80:20 89:16	entity 8:16	evaluating 31:7
<b>eight</b> 14:12	91:2 92:4	20:25 22:17,23	<b>events</b> 103:14
<b>either</b> 15:23	96:11 98:18,19	22:25 44:4	everybody 70:8
18:9 19:15	98:22 106:9	73:5 82:10	83:17 87:25
39:20 77:17	<b>employ</b> 122:4	115:18 117:24	91:9
102:18 104:8	employed	118:10 120:24	evil 128:22
115:23 119:4	123:17 124:18	125:15 147:23	evolves 106:20
128:24 136:16	<b>enact</b> 9:6 156:9	150:16,18	exact 82:8
145:10 161:18	enacted 49:7	152:14	exactly 38:6
165:5	154:14 167:13	environment	39:15 53:8
<b>elected</b> 6:4,10	enacting 156:9	27:15	81:13 100:16
6:21,24 86:9	ended 8:25	environmental	150:3 162:7
elective 7:3	endorsing	15:21 16:9	examination
element 15:19	59:11	18:9 30:8	4:6 173:8
15:20 16:5	<b>enforce</b> 105:20	68:14	176:4 177:10
82:22 120:19	110:19 127:18	equipment	177:12
121:14	enforcing	26:4,18,20,24	examined 4:5
elements 26:10	137:4	equivalent	example 57:17
50:2 124:3	<b>enjoy</b> 125:9	130:8	92:6 118:16
147:15 162:24			154:17 157:6

[example - fair] Page 16

164:5	executives	26:2,10 27:8	f
except 3:21	38:10 53:14	27:11,13,19,21	<b>f</b> 3:2 157:21
113:20	90:18 116:19	74:20	177:2
exception	exhibit 33:23	expect 5:5	<b>faa</b> 8:23 9:25
165:16	45:4 49:11	29:25 117:21	10:4 33:14
exceptions	59:20 63:22	141:4,20	47:10,24 48:5
14:10 99:10,10	70:23 73:23	expectations	48:6,16 49:13
excerpt 154:6	78:6 86:23	16:25	49:20 50:10,25
executive 5:21	97:7 113:16	expected	51:3,15,17,23
6:7 7:4 10:3,7	137:13 142:23	148:25 149:4	52:21 53:3
11:11,24 12:9	148:21 150:11	expenditures	54:21 55:16
12:13,14 13:9	153:25 157:21	44:7	57:2,5,16,23
13:13,24 14:6	158:2,4,12,16	experience	58:9,21,24
14:7,12 22:2	175:6,6,8,9,10	29:14 45:25	60:15 63:5
23:11,25 24:2	175:11,12,13	50:18 52:9	65:24,25 130:2
24:20,24 39:2	175:14,15,16	99:8	156:8 157:14
40:5 42:17	175:17,18,19	<b>expires</b> 178:25	157:14 158:21
47:17 48:15	175:20,21,23	explain 12:3	160:2 161:9,13
53:14 54:14,16	exhibits 139:9	111:2	161:17,20,22
55:6,14,20	175:4,24	explained	167:25 169:14
56:22 64:13	<b>exist</b> 31:22	155:25	169:16,21
66:11 69:15	108:3 127:24	explaining	170:9,13 172:8
71:5 79:25	128:15 158:12	119:7	faa's 60:19
80:5,15,19	158:13	explains 46:17	face 115:20
81:9,20 89:19	existed 9:7	exploring 21:8	118:4
90:3,9,12 93:2	existing 26:15	exposure 40:21	facilities 27:4
96:6 102:18	28:11,13	<b>extend</b> 19:16	fact 46:22,25
103:20 107:8	117:18 120:16	extended 86:13	50:9 66:16
107:10 110:5	168:9	<b>extent</b> 13:19	76:13 86:10
112:8 127:23	<b>expand</b> 19:10	45:12 102:13	116:21 121:18
149:19 153:14	29:7 30:3	108:8 125:6	123:20 149:6
153:17 156:6	expanded	130:11 143:25	153:16
163:19 167:6	26:19	extreme 124:3	<b>factor</b> 110:3
executive's	expansion		fair 25:7 37:5
170:6	25:15,17,21,23		115:16
			113.10

### [fairfield - follows]

fairfield 19:5	146:9 149:8	filing 3:7	flexible 27:22
29:16 124:25	150:24	<b>fill</b> 127:17	<b>flight</b> 15:24
129:11,14	<b>fbo's</b> 18:23,24	<b>final</b> 52:17 65:2	32:2 41:5,5
130:7	19:9,15,19	<b>find</b> 19:12	57:18 121:12
<b>fairly</b> 125:4	22:12 28:5,9	60:16 171:3	143:19 146:24
141:14	28:11,14,19,25	finding 47:4	<b>flights</b> 32:11
<b>fall</b> 15:11 42:24	29:4,25 31:7	<b>fine</b> 4:16 18:17	40:25 92:17
120:9	32:18 34:15	89:14	94:6,8,9
<b>falls</b> 12:12	35:10 37:5	<b>fire</b> 127:7	121:15 132:4
88:19 89:22	38:11,13 40:19	<b>firm</b> 63:11	<b>flip</b> 25:19
107:7	41:8 42:7,12	<b>first</b> 4:3 7:24	<b>floor</b> 69:15
familiar 8:2	88:7 101:13	11:2 21:12	70:8 71:8 81:4
9:23 10:2	131:16 143:23	37:12 40:18	112:15
20:15,16,24	144:4 146:6,7	41:21 42:11,13	<b>flow</b> 16:13
29:13 73:5	146:16 149:7	42:22 60:8,9	103:6
87:2 93:8,19	149:10,16,20	77:24 84:23	<b>fluid</b> 25:18
94:2,24 95:2,3	<b>february</b> 77:17	91:8 97:18	<b>fly</b> 41:3
114:21 115:7	77:18	107:21 115:6	<b>focus</b> 43:4
116:24 143:20	federal 1:17	116:4 129:17	73:10 97:24
144:8 150:17	33:3,12,13,17	149:12 152:13	101:19 109:19
150:19,22	50:21 75:12	154:21 157:2	119:25 160:16
151:8 170:13	81:21 94:11	159:2,25 164:7	163:13
familiarity	feedback 160:6	174:5	focused 22:4
144:2	<b>feel</b> 5:8 57:15	fischer 83:12	43:7 56:15
<b>far</b> 115:17	132:19	<b>fit</b> 108:14	<b>foil'd</b> 111:18
130:13	feelings 27:23	<b>five</b> 11:11	<b>folks</b> 85:6
<b>fashion</b> 19:17	<b>fees</b> 44:9	113:8	<b>follow</b> 34:20
87:11 131:19	<b>felt</b> 63:14 85:10	<b>fixed</b> 16:23	<b>followed</b> 9:3
139:4 140:16	89:13	18:18	23:21 141:14
favorably	<b>ferris</b> 81:2 82:3	<b>fixing</b> 27:12	152:14
65:16	<b>ffa</b> 159:19	flagpole 88:18	following 10:20
<b>fbo</b> 18:18,20	fiduciary	89:16	10:22 49:8
20:13 29:4,7,9	124:17	<b>flexibility</b> 9:14	67:11 68:20
32:6 138:18	<b>figure</b> 7:21	55:15	follows 4:5
139:6 145:3	103:4		76:17 112:19

[force - george] Page 18

<b>force</b> 3:15	formally 20:8	functioning	generalizing
34:23	161:19 169:17	15:3	160:9
forefront 13:7	<b>former</b> 99:2,4	<b>fund</b> 43:23	generally 10:12
foregoing	161:11 172:4	further 3:20	36:22 48:6
174:8	<b>forth</b> 19:6	29:16 51:25	141:16 150:23
<b>forgot</b> 53:18	52:10 55:18	52:22,23 54:21	168:7,8
81:13 84:14	73:12 77:25	57:4 68:2	generated
<b>form</b> 3:21 8:6	100:3 122:5	89:16 132:13	16:17,18 23:7
9:9 12:24	161:16 177:11	169:16 171:8	47:16 75:23
13:11 28:17	<b>forum</b> 129:20	174:8 177:14	83:9
34:19 35:13,15	<b>forums</b> 11:18	g	generously
35:22 36:5	135:12	<b>g</b> 4:2,2	152:23
39:18 50:17	forward 7:16	game 142:4	<b>george</b> 1:16 4:1
52:4 53:6,24	14:24 40:7	gasparri 89:4	4:10 5:1 6:1
53:24 54:18	58:22 66:24	89:25	7:1 8:1 9:1
55:21 56:19	104:20	gate 38:16	10:1 11:1 12:1
57:8 62:21	<b>found</b> 113:7	gates 162:25	13:1 14:1 15:1
68:8 94:22	<b>four</b> 123:12	general 7:24	16:1 17:1 18:1
95:18 102:4	<b>fred</b> 129:16	8:10 9:17	19:1 20:1 21:1
109:23 122:13	131:4,4	11:14 13:21,22	22:1 23:1 24:1
131:18 134:2	fremd 2:5	15:17,20 16:20	25:1 26:1 27:1
134:12 140:25	frequently 92:3	17:18,20,23	28:1 29:1 30:1
141:8,24	friendship	18:4 19:2	31:1 32:1 33:1
145:21 147:20	86:11,12	23:21 27:21	34:1 35:1 36:1
148:2 151:11	<b>front</b> 138:10	29:12 50:19	37:1 38:1 39:1
152:3 156:12	161:20 170:3,8	51:9 82:15,16	40:1 41:1 42:1
158:24 159:22	171:2	82:24 103:11	43:1 44:1 45:1
161:6 166:2	<b>full</b> 4:21 7:15	120:3 127:19	46:1 47:1 48:1
168:10	22:15 23:24	129:23 131:20	49:1 50:1 51:1
<b>formal</b> 160:5	89:19	140:11 144:11	52:1 53:1 54:1
160:10 161:24	function 13:14	144:17,23	55:1 56:1 57:1
formalized	84:19 104:2	145:24 146:6	58:1 59:1 60:1
91:24 92:12	134:17 148:4	164:2 169:14	61:1 62:1 63:1
93:6	functional	generalist	64:1 65:1,13
	38:18	31:19	66:1 67:1 68:1
		31.17	

#### [george - government]

69:1 70:1 71:1	148:1 149:1	<b>gives</b> 68:11	25:19 34:3
71:5 72:1 73:1	150:1 151:1	118:16 171:9	36:19,20 45:8
74:1 75:1 76:1	152:1 153:1	global 1:4	45:9,11 48:20
77:1 78:1 79:1	154:1 155:1	<b>go</b> 6:24 7:24	58:22 59:16
80:1 81:1 82:1	156:1 157:1	8:7 9:16 14:10	60:2 61:6 62:5
83:1 84:1 85:1	158:1 159:1	16:3,14 25:2,9	64:2,3,23
86:1 87:1 88:1	160:1 161:1	30:24 32:3,5	65:17,20 66:15
89:1 90:1 91:1	162:1 163:1	39:6 44:22	67:9 73:10,13
91:7 92:1 93:1	164:1 165:1	47:21 53:12	88:9 93:12,16
94:1 95:1 96:1	166:1 167:1	55:22 57:16,22	94:5,14,17
97:1 98:1 99:1	168:1 169:1	58:9,21 59:5	97:24 98:2
100:1 101:1	170:1,6 171:1	60:21 62:2	104:20 107:24
102:1 103:1	172:1 173:1,3	68:4,18 71:12	109:12 115:11
104:1 105:1	174:1,15 175:1	82:20 90:24	117:20 119:12
106:1 107:1	176:1 177:1	91:14 109:9	120:3 133:14
108:1 109:1	178:3,21	125:10,11	136:21,25
110:1 111:1	germane 43:10	128:19 129:6	148:23 151:4
112:1 113:1	getting 41:6	130:5 134:17	154:10
114:1 115:1	79:18 95:8	136:23 145:14	<b>good</b> 4:14,15
116:1 117:1	102:25 104:21	148:9 150:6	5:18 48:22
118:1 119:1	129:21	153:5 157:14	89:23 90:23
120:1 121:1	<b>give</b> 25:7 37:14	157:20 162:18	91:20 93:5
122:1 123:1	57:16 75:25	162:22 165:9	94:16 128:22
124:1 125:1	89:13 90:14	169:23 171:10	173:6
126:1 127:1	98:9 108:8	172:16	<b>google</b> 71:23
128:1 129:1	111:25 113:9	<b>god</b> 85:2	72:9 73:8
130:1 131:1,4	117:3	<b>goes</b> 46:19	gotten 20:2
132:1 133:1	<b>given</b> 30:6	52:15 91:23	85:9
134:1 135:1	37:15 39:2	105:16 107:15	government
136:1 137:1	55:16 104:4	107:22 108:12	6:5,8 22:3 33:3
138:1 139:1	110:19 111:25	116:8 126:11	33:12,13,17
140:1 141:1	128:2 146:3	127:4 133:12	44:3,21 50:21
142:1 143:1	153:16 170:2	<b>going</b> 4:18 7:20	50:21 54:6
144:1 145:1	174:10 177:13	7:25 14:24	81:22,25 83:20
146:1 147:1		18:14,15 23:13	84:4 85:2,24

### [government - hereunto]

86:4 91:9	112:14 139:23	113:18 137:15	harrison
98:16 99:23	143:15	142:25 150:13	130:22
110:18 112:5,6	greenwich	154:3	hastings 132:17
112:10 125:25	129:17,22	<b>handle</b> 91:10	<b>head</b> 25:20
126:12 127:2,8	130:11,17	<b>hang</b> 167:18	83:6 100:4
127:18 130:8,9	132:10,24	<b>hanger</b> 19:2,11	109:24
130:24 131:10	135:18	29:8	headquarters
131:14 133:9	<b>greet</b> 172:17	happen 17:2	30:17
133:23 134:8	grimes 2:6	29:11 34:12	<b>heads</b> 19:20
146:12 147:16	<b>ground</b> 16:16	48:7 53:4	74:15
160:16 164:3	108:24	87:16 96:19	health 14:18
167:23	<b>group</b> 74:18,19	119:12,18,19	126:7
governmental	165:21 166:5,5	119:20 135:16	<b>heard</b> 123:4
133:2	growth 122:22	145:12	152:13 162:10
governments	guess 13:5	happened 11:5	hearing 136:17
85:4	75:22 77:16	40:9 42:2	hearings
governor 112:9	81:12 92:17	46:20 55:17	107:16 122:25
112:9	118:8	61:20 119:20	129:20
governor's	guessing	140:8 141:13	<b>heart</b> 105:17
54:9	142:15	160:12,13	<b>heavier</b> 26:4,19
grandfathered	h	161:8 169:13	26:20
8:3,11,16	<b>h</b> 2:12 175:2	169:17,18	<b>held</b> 1:18 5:22
62:15 63:2	half 46:11	happening	69:10 152:19
granted 104:25	103:22 115:22	13:21 22:3	167:20 172:23
163:12	116:2 153:18	39:19 108:10	<b>help</b> 73:16
<b>grasp</b> 42:25	163:2	108:13,17	110:10
46:15	<b>hand</b> 30:7 47:2	135:10 144:19	<b>helps</b> 44:13
<b>great</b> 19:7 99:6	65:7 98:22	happens 4:22	81:7
144:3 160:7	115:11 154:10	14:17,18,19	hendrickx
greater 9:6	177:20	16:8,12 92:11	79:19 80:8
27:5 89:18	<b>handed</b> 59:22	110:24 111:2,3	84:12
91:22 166:12	handing 33:25	125:18 172:18	hereinbefore
greechan 87:13	45:6 63:24	harmonious	174:11 177:11
89:11 95:24	70:25 73:25	103:2	hereunto
99:15 106:6	78:8 97:9		177:19

[hey - informs] Page 21

		I	T
hey 91:3 172:6	i	implemented	individuals
<b>high</b> 125:5	idea 160:11,14	9:14 60:25	19:8 39:25
higher 55:9	identification	implementing	79:20 106:14
highest 90:6	33:23 45:4	117:23	116:12 123:6
<b>history</b> 46:17	59:20 63:22	implications	industry 7:5
60:21	70:23 73:23	104:15 108:17	58:25 124:13
<b>hmm</b> 7:22	78:6 86:23	171:24	124:23
31:11 37:10	97:7 113:16	important	<b>inform</b> 40:3
75:3 78:17	137:13 142:23	19:13 92:21	63:14
133:20 139:24	148:21 150:11	95:11 104:10	informal
147:7	153:25	123:25 124:6	103:15 131:4
<b>hold</b> 6:11	identified	141:12 169:25	161:24 169:20
115:12 154:9	162:20,21	171:24	informally
<b>honest</b> 158:25	163:2	<b>impose</b> 105:5,8	134:15 160:10
hospitality 7:5	imaginary	improve 28:21	161:18 169:18
host 134:25	157:6	51:7	169:19
162:21	immediately	inaction 50:15	information
<b>hour</b> 115:25	95:24	include 22:11	23:24 76:3
153:5 163:2	<b>impact</b> 16:9	85:13 131:2	95:11,14,16
hours 152:22	18:7 30:9,11	includes 19:5	96:20 103:7
153:7,18	30:14 68:14,15	81:19 83:10	111:10,10
<b>hpn</b> 94:7	68:17	inclusion	138:12 139:21
<b>http</b> 73:13	impacted 17:5	158:22	176:8,9
<b>hugh</b> 87:13	impacted 17.3	incumbent	informational
89:11,12 92:4	16:11 30:8,13	55:3	145:17 151:3
93:9 95:24	108:19 122:15	independent	informed 36:24
96:9 99:15,16	132:19	54:5	39:15 65:23
99:18 100:21	imperfect	indicate 5:17	66:10,11 81:24
103:17 107:21	22:14 38:5	indicating	82:2 115:9
112:14,16	implement	71:24	informing
116:22 139:23	27:24 49:25	individual	117:13,24
143:15	81:7	39:24 60:16	144:16 145:2
humorously	implementati	74:8 83:24	146:16
27:12	53:9 60:23	103:15 143:21	informs 82:2
	33.7 00.23	143:22	

### [initial - jetsmarter]

initial       96:16       interest         initially       85:8       167         136:3       interest         initiate       34:10       126	8	0 84:21 41:24 42:4 7 95:2 43:4,6 50:20
136:3 interes	:4 89:17	7 05.2 43.4 6 50.20
		43.4,0 30.20
initiate 31.10   126	ests 96:15	5,23 101:3 52:14 56:15
1111111111 120	:25 103:1	18,20,22 84:9 111:7
initiative 85:5 interg	gov 80:14   103:2	22 106:9 129:14 135:2,4
input 33:5,8 84:2	21 119:2	24 132:23 135:5,21
129:21 131:6,9   <b>interg</b>	governm 133:6	5 135:21 iteration
131:13 135:12 80:1	0 81:12,19   140:9	9 107:21
137:6,7 148:15 84:1	involve	ement iterations 6:9
inside 32:24 intern	nal 39:11 161:1	17 52:9
<b>inspector</b> 127:3   39:1	3 48:12 <b>involve</b>	es 12:5 <b>itt</b> 7:11
<b>instance</b> 61:3 68:2	2 111:8 16:10	) 96:12 <b>j</b>
instant 151:21 interr	<b>nally</b> 106:1	<b>j</b> 158:6,7,16
intelligently 19:2	island	16:15,15   <b>j</b> 138.0,7,10 <b>jail</b> 13:17
95:5 interp	oret 54:9 43:8	jane 135:10
<b>intended</b> 19:21 73:2	2 108:23 <b>issue</b>	13:6 24:4 <b>january</b> 1:11
intending 120	:20 139:5 24:7 2	28:18 5:24 6:13,16
118:12 145	:4 159:15 33:7	38:23 6:18,20 20:12
intensity 107:3 interp	oretation 39:7	40:11 37:8,20 110:9
107:5 96:1	3 147:5 43:2	48:9 177:20
intent 159:4 interp	oreted 50:19	9 72:2 <b>jenkins</b> 80:20
<b>intention</b> 36:3 101	:14 107:23 85:25	5 87:24 <b>Jehkins</b> 80:20 101:2 109:20
interact 17:22 intera	<b>rupted</b> 88:21	1 89:6 <b>jeopardize</b> 67:4
70:8 115:2 61:3	3 102:2	21 118:23 <b>jet</b> 26:22 32:12
130:9,14 interv	<b>riewed</b> 120:6	5 136:3 <b>jets</b> 41:12
135:10 109	:11 141:1	12 160:16 <b>jetsmarter</b>
interacting invest	igate issues	7:19 10:5   <b>Jetsmarter</b> 71:25 72:8
80:11	23   12:2,	73:6,17 75:12
interaction invest	tigation 14:4,2	25 15:5,9 75:20 76:8,14
32:21 81:17 139	:16 140:21   15:9,	13,15 114:16,20
83:17 141	:5,21 16:20	17:12,15   114.10,20   115:8,19,23
interacts 81:20 involv	ve 17:25   17:16	6 18:9,10 116:2,6,9,24
<b>interest</b> 135:9 107	:25,25 18:10	0,14,22   110.2,0,9,24   119:9 121:11
interested involv	v <b>ed</b> 11:12 20:14	4 22:4,21   125:16 128:16
177:17 12:2	2 13:24 30:6	37:12 123.16 128.16

### [jetsuitex - ladder]

• 4 • 4 • 4	• 60.7	52 0 0 52 2 7 0	140 17 141 2 0
jetsuitex 1:4	<b>june</b> 63:7	52:8,8 53:2,7,8	140:17 141:2,9
<b>jim</b> 135:10	justification	54:9 55:12	141:14 142:14
<b>joan</b> 69:20,21	95:7	57:25 60:23	142:16,18
80:20 81:6	k	61:21 63:11,16	144:4,6,20,25
82:3 85:13	kaplan 63:12	63:17 70:7,17	145:17 146:17
89:16 90:25	63:15	72:8,11 73:15	147:8,11
92:4 96:11	<b>keep</b> 5:2 18:15	74:11 75:19,20	149:22 152:5
98:3,5,18,21,25	42:8 67:9	76:2,15,16,17	152:10,14,25
100:20 103:17	126:10 133:5	77:9 79:9,14	153:5 155:13
106:8 109:21		79:17,23 80:3	158:25 161:7
116:22 139:23	<b>keeping</b> 28:6 81:25 128:6	80:4,16 82:4	161:13,14,23
143:15	ken 80:20	82:25 83:3	161:24 162:2,2
<b>job</b> 56:14 128:9	89:20 101:2	84:7 86:2,11	162:20,23
<b>jobs</b> 30:14 99:7	103:18,19	86:15,25 87:20	163:16,17
123:5	103.18,19	88:14 90:4,7,8	168:6,18,20
<b>joe</b> 113:7		92:2,19,21	169:12,16,23
<b>john</b> 1:19 2:9	key 57:9	93:10,18 98:4	169:25 170:15
2:12 100:21	kind 29:8 90:17	99:15 101:21	170:25 172:13
109:20 116:23	131:7 135:15	102:19 103:12	knowing
139:25 143:16	kinds 111:18	103:19 106:4	124:23 148:13
<b>joined</b> 99:22	kirsch 63:12,16	106:20,21	knowledge
jonathan 2:6	<b>kitchen</b> 126:10	107:2 108:20	39:17 40:4
93:7 172:20	126:12,13	110:12 112:4	61:18 131:12
jonathan's 4:16	knew 41:11	112:22 113:11	135:25 142:17
jsx 1:3 93:25	80:25 86:12	114:15,15,25	knowledgeable
94:6 95:3	152:5	115:24 118:6	43:11 78:24
100:10 101:9	know 4:17,23	119:19,25	known 13:3,3
105:19 114:8	9:11 10:7 18:6	121:11,15	20:25 40:25
114:23 119:6	21:15 23:23	123:9 125:17	85:15
139:10	25:12 27:20	125:18,19,20	knows 85:2
jsx's 94:9	29:5,12 31:2	129:5,22 131:4	1
judge 3:13	33:12,13,15	131:6 133:8	
159:10	36:2 41:8,13	136:22 138:9	1 2:6 3:2,2 4:2
judgement	42:19 43:9	138:25 139:5	174:2
32:7	47:15 48:6,12	139:19 140:5	ladder 91:23
	50:6 51:10,17		100:17 103:23

[ladder - law] Page 24

	ı		I
107:22	34:2 35:1 36:1	103:1 104:1	154:14,19
laguardia	36:16 37:1,11	105:1,21 106:1	155:1,3,8,22,23
29:19	38:1 39:1 40:1	107:1 108:1	156:1,3 157:1
lake 16:12	41:1 42:1 43:1	109:1 110:1	157:20 158:1
land 26:3,22,23	44:1,25 45:1,3	111:1 112:1	159:1 160:1,18
26:25 28:2	45:6,7 46:1	113:1,13,15,18	161:1 162:1
108:9	47:1 48:1 49:1	113:19,19,24	163:1 164:1,7
lanes 93:3	50:1 51:1 52:1	113:24,25	165:1,18 166:1
language 34:21	53:1 54:1 55:1	114:1,7,9,14	167:1 168:1
52:25 68:24	56:1 57:1 58:1	115:1,12,13	169:1 170:1,6
120:23 138:17	59:1,17,19,22	116:1,3,13,15	171:1 172:1
141:25 145:8	59:23 60:1,9	117:1,7,9	173:1 174:1,15
155:7,19,20	61:1 62:1 63:1	118:1 119:1	175:1,4 176:1
156:3,7,14,17	63:9,19,21,24	120:1 121:1	177:1 178:3,21
157:8 160:4	63:25 64:1	122:1 123:1	latimer's 173:4
168:6	65:1,14 66:1	124:1 125:1	<b>launch</b> 136:22
large 110:25	67:1 68:1 69:1	126:1 127:1	137:2
112:7 127:20	70:1,20,22,25	128:1 129:1	<b>law</b> 49:7,12
larger 166:5,5	71:1,2,6 72:1	130:1 131:1	50:13,13 53:9
late 57:20	73:1,22,25	132:1 133:1	54:23,25 55:4
132:4	74:1,2 75:1	134:1 135:1	55:7,11 63:11
latimer 1:16	76:1 77:1 78:1	136:1 137:1,10	66:2 68:9,21
4:1,10,14 5:1	78:3,5,8,9,12	137:12,15,16	68:24 69:3
5:19 6:1 7:1,17	79:1 80:1 81:1	137:19,20	75:10,17 77:5
8:1 9:1 10:1	82:1 83:1 84:1	138:1 139:1	77:8 96:13
11:1 12:1 13:1	85:1 86:1,20	140:1 141:1,16	102:23,24
13:5 14:1 15:1	86:22,25 87:1	142:1,22,25	104:6,12
16:1 17:1 18:1	88:1 89:1 90:1	143:1,2 144:1	106:24 107:14
18:13 19:1	91:1 92:1 93:1	145:1,15 146:1	107:25 109:25
20:1 21:1 22:1	93:12,13 94:1	147:1 148:1,20	111:17 128:12
23:1 24:1 25:1	95:1 96:1 97:1	148:23 149:1	147:6 154:14
26:1 27:1 28:1	97:4,6,9,10,13	150:1,2,3,10,13	155:10 156:21
29:1 30:1 31:1	97:21 98:1	151:1 152:1	163:11 167:6,9
32:1 33:1,20	99:1 100:1	153:1,24 154:1	168:3,4,9,16,25
33:22,25 34:1	101:1 102:1,16	154:3,4,10,12	170:7,8,19

[laws - liaison] Page 25

25.5.50.20	71 7 7 6 10	40.05.51.10	120 17 140 2 4
laws 35:5 50:20	71:7 76:10	49:25 51:12	139:17 140:2,4
130:25 154:7	102:25 104:14	52:7,11 53:20	140:22 141:14
lawsuit 15:10	104:15 106:17	53:21 54:4,12	141:19,22
15:12 17:14,17	108:3 109:6	55:23 64:14,24	142:9 143:8
46:21 60:22	117:6 120:17	81:22 86:16	144:7,9,10,21
105:2,7 111:12	120:18 148:15	107:7,12	145:5,13,17,19
111:14 129:15	167:10	136:23 169:5,7	145:23 146:8
131:11 136:22	legally 108:24	legislature 6:17	147:17 149:9
137:2 153:15	131:2	23:23 37:13	149:24 150:14
163:9 167:14	legislation	40:21 42:5,17	151:3,9,13,15
lawyer 9:12	12:18 40:8	43:8 44:18	152:11 175:14
53:8 104:13	53:12 54:13	45:18,21,23	175:16,18,19
<b>lay</b> 108:9	56:9,25 64:20	48:14,18,18	175:20,22
layman's 62:22	65:4 67:25	50:15 51:5	<b>letters</b> 117:19
<b>layout</b> 32:18	69:4 133:16,21	52:18 55:5	119:2,23
<b>lead</b> 24:12	171:11	79:12 107:25	120:24 138:4
46:20 101:24	legislative 10:9	156:9,19	139:4 140:6
139:16	10:10 41:21	168:11 169:8	144:13
leaders 12:15	79:11 106:13	171:5 172:2	level 8:22 13:21
leadership	131:22 149:15	lengthening	13:24 16:16,18
52:12	legislator 9:19	26:16	18:8 22:10
lean 106:23	9:23 10:11	letter 40:2	26:24 42:6
<b>leap</b> 77:18	12:7 41:15,17	53:11 63:4,8	55:9 96:11
<b>learn</b> 149:12	41:20 42:3,9	75:16 83:9	107:2,5 112:23
learned 41:19	42:14,23 54:17	97:14,19	117:8 118:23
42:11	83:14 86:8	100:10 103:10	119:15 120:4
leave 72:16	120:12 136:7	109:18 114:12	122:9 124:11
170:16	170:12,18	114:17 116:5	125:5 126:11
<b>left</b> 7:13 11:3	171:5	116:16 117:12	127:2,12
69:24 99:24	legislators 10:6	117:12 118:4	130:10 132:5
110:11 120:12	10:14,15 11:4	118:21 119:5	132:23 136:6
170:18	11:6 12:19	119:17,22	levels 54:6
legal 15:2	30:23 31:5	120:2 121:13	<b>liaison</b> 76:19
34:10 38:25	34:12 43:3	137:16 138:8	96:2,4
53:23 54:10	47:20 49:8,18	138:21,24	
	1	1	1

			T
<b>light</b> 32:12 44:6	104:3 106:25	longer 26:5	147:20
108:21	133:17 135:7	<b>look</b> 30:4,7,9	<b>loop</b> 96:8,22
<b>likely</b> 76:25	135:21 151:16	30:10,12,13,15	<b>looped</b> 97:3
79:6 89:9,10	151:21	32:14 34:3	<b>lord</b> 110:10
91:2 103:14	<b>little</b> 11:19	44:4,5,6,15	<b>lose</b> 157:10
133:10 152:13	31:15 97:24	45:13 46:25	<b>loss</b> 105:9
<b>limit</b> 23:14	112:11	47:2,15 48:11	<b>lost</b> 66:20
limitation	live 15:23	49:22 50:23	105:2,3
156:16 157:2	29:20 58:2	58:17,18 59:24	<b>lot</b> 8:2 104:16
limitations	125:8 129:22	64:2 71:3,12	112:22 126:8
61:10	132:17,18	71:13,16 73:8	163:25
<b>limited</b> 16:10	<b>lives</b> 84:9	78:9 86:7	<b>lottery</b> 38:15
limiting 173:3	134:17	97:10 98:2	162:20
line 10:22	<b>llc</b> 1:3,4 150:17	108:15 113:21	lucrative 19:6
44:13 97:18	175:22 178:1	133:8 137:17	124:24
104:22 110:16	llp 2:4	143:5 146:2	m
116:4 130:16	<b>local</b> 34:9	150:3 151:5	<b>m</b> 1:19 2:9,12
176:14 178:5	36:12 50:12,13	154:5,16 155:9	4:2
lines 27:25	54:22,25 55:4	155:14 162:23	
123:23 133:9	66:2 68:21	163:21 164:4,7	macquarie 21:20 24:4,23
<b>lisa</b> 1:21 177:7	81:22,24 84:24	<b>looked</b> 57:10	25:7,10
177:24	84:25 127:2	97:23 124:12	made 21:12
<b>list</b> 19:21 31:9	130:8 154:7	169:2 171:13	26:14,17 30:22
85:11	155:10 168:3	looking 14:24	37:12 38:9
listed 31:8	<b>locals</b> 136:17	21:4 27:18	39:5 43:25
<b>listen</b> 35:21	137:3	45:24 47:19	58:23 61:19,22
listened 122:24	location 170:22	65:20 74:22	78:24 80:21
listing 18:14	<b>logical</b> 100:19	100:13 103:6	
litigate 38:21	172:13	116:3 139:2	82:20 98:4 107:19 114:20
litigated 7:19	long 5:16,22	149:10 156:17	
105:17	16:15,15 43:8	160:4 163:17	119:21,23
litigating 104:2	69:24 75:5	looks 46:16	148:16 153:17
litigation 8:20	79:9,9 85:17	71:20,23 72:14	160:10 170:4
8:25 12:19	92:11 129:3,6	77:22 92:23	172:9
34:15,21 39:6	149:13	96:10 143:18	magnitude
·			89:18 90:6

### [magnitude - mean]

91:22 103:17	127:6,15	manifest	marks 148:14
mahmoud 2:14	145:24,25	112:25	marriage
<b>mail</b> 70:14,15	147:18 148:6	march 45:18	177:16
71:2,3,20 74:4	156:21,25	49:21 71:20	marshall 83:15
74:7,21 75:4	157:9,12	74:21 77:16	martine 1:20
75:21,23 76:4	161:16	115:14,17	2:11
76:8,13 77:13	maker 22:22,23	margaret	<b>master</b> 123:3
78:13,13,18	23:2	136:10,16	129:19,21
79:24 82:6,8	makers 31:6	marginally	135:9,13,19
85:12,19 93:15	112:14 172:4	22:2	material
93:23 94:4	makes 27:14	mark 33:19	171:12
97:19 100:18	61:23 74:5	44:24 73:19	matter 4:18
101:4 103:25	100:19 118:13	78:2 113:12	11:14,16 32:9
114:8,24	124:21 166:9	137:9 142:19	39:22 41:17,23
115:13 175:12	172:13	148:17 150:7	55:12 101:6
175:13	<b>making</b> 22:16	153:22	104:11,11,12
mails 77:14,20	58:10,13 96:23	marked 33:22	108:18 117:10
85:3	146:9 157:7	34:2 45:3,7	118:25 122:20
main 43:24	159:12	59:19 63:21,25	128:23 162:12
78:12	malevolent	70:20,22 73:22	177:18
maintain 8:22	125:22	78:5 86:22	<b>matters</b> 104:16
48:4	manage 12:5	97:6 113:15	<b>mayor</b> 83:11
major 7:7	87:10 110:7	137:12 142:22	mcdonald
29:21 41:12,23	management	143:2 148:20	69:20 80:20
101:6 123:18	7:12 8:4 12:12	150:10 153:24	81:6 98:4
<b>make</b> 5:10,11	12:21 13:13	176:13	109:21 139:23
22:21 25:8	16:13 24:19	market 19:3,4	143:15
44:11,12 52:19	25:12 47:18	19:6 29:14	mcdonald's
57:3,24 58:5	87:8,15,18,24	124:13,15,21	98:10
58:19,20 59:2	88:18 117:5	124:24 125:3	mean 15:17
61:9,22,23	manager 25:11	marketing 7:4	30:15 34:20
83:3 91:15	89:4,4,5,24	121:12	48:11 73:2
95:6 109:16	90:9 93:21	marketplace	88:12 101:25
124:20 125:24	95:22 97:15	125:24 133:7	106:2 108:5,19
126:5,8,19	143:12 145:2		118:24 129:22

[mean - myriad] Page 28

	I	I	
160:8 162:16	memorialize	150:23 151:14	115:12 128:14
162:17,22	111:10	151:17,24	154:9
meaning 26:5	<b>memory</b> 11:7,7	152:16	<b>money</b> 124:20
162:19	38:5	<b>mind</b> 26:2	124:21 126:8
means 25:24	memos 90:16	58:25 82:17	monitor 69:22
27:9 65:15	115:21,24	159:12	montauk
86:14 109:10	men 27:13	minds 25:18	112:10
128:5 153:2,3	mention 98:4	<b>minor</b> 91:6	<b>month</b> 92:8
158:10	160:20	<b>minute</b> 46:10	99:22 134:22
<b>meant</b> 22:19	mentioned	77:22 102:23	<b>monthly</b> 92:10
84:16 162:8	11:23 14:16	<b>minutes</b> 112:16	92:14
<b>meat</b> 163:4	17:11 18:18	112:17 128:10	<b>moran</b> 1:21
mechanism	28:8 32:25	153:4	177:7,24
95:13,15	39:10 40:13	<b>mission</b> 126:22	morning 4:14
meet 92:3,4	43:13 65:5	127:4,5	4:15,20 60:12
meeting 80:6	83:22 99:16	mitigate 68:16	moss 2:15
92:8 103:8	101:20 117:9	<b>mm</b> 5:4 7:22	<b>mount</b> 136:11
106:11	129:10 135:19	31:11 37:10	<b>move</b> 36:9,16
meetings 56:13	mentioning	75:3 78:17	<b>moved</b> 84:19
92:6 106:13	15:14	133:20 139:24	<b>moving</b> 40:7
111:17	merritt 132:5	147:7	<b>multi</b> 175:9
member 6:15	<b>messed</b> 118:9	<b>mmm's</b> 5:4	multiple 32:18
6:19 45:22	<b>meyer</b> 96:2	mobility 1:4	45:8
64:19,21 66:9	106:7	137:24	municall 135:2
83:13,25	<b>micro</b> 90:9	<b>model</b> 28:19	municipal
members 6:6	<b>mid</b> 8:25 46:21	29:11 124:9	80:12,13 84:25
23:22 48:13	104:4,19	modernize 29:5	134:5,14,18,24
56:3	midnight 57:19	<b>modes</b> 91:24	municipalities
<b>memo</b> 84:13	57:19	modifications	132:11 135:16
108:13 111:24	midterm 17:8	51:13,14	135:23
112:19 113:2	<b>midway</b> 105:14	156:19	municipality
115:25 119:14	milestones	modifies 55:18	133:4
memorandum	133:15	<b>modify</b> 55:19	myriad 13:15
47:9 111:9	<b>million</b> 19:20	moment 34:4	14:4
	19:25 150:20	75:4,6 101:20	

[n - notice] Page 29

	I		
n	91:12 112:16	176:5	62:20 67:20
n 2:2 3:2 174:2	126:3 127:16	nestle 7:10	72:18 94:21
176:2	128:19 136:19	<b>never</b> 31:25	95:17 100:6
name 4:8 19:18	147:17 158:21	58:15 72:6	102:3,7,12
20:17 60:17	159:18 171:10	75:21 94:23	109:21 114:3
91:8 96:3	172:2,16	102:17 161:21	122:12 131:17
114:21 115:19	<b>needed</b> 39:8,8	162:8,10	133:25 134:11
116:24 150:16	41:3	163:18	139:25 140:24
178:2,3	<b>needs</b> 118:15	<b>new</b> 1:2,8,21,22	141:6,23
named 74:8	120:5 122:11	2:5,11 4:4,12	143:16 145:20
nancy 83:13	negative 68:15	6:12,15 28:5,8	146:23 147:25
86:8 136:8,16	125:22 126:23	56:12 66:17	151:10 152:2
naturally	132:19	99:2,3 123:22	152:20,25
136:25	negotiating	129:25 130:14	153:7 156:11
nature 49:16	52:18	130:25 133:13	158:23 159:21
86:18 101:4	neighboring	134:8 135:16	161:5 165:25
106:19 127:17	129:12 136:10	177:4,8 178:1	172:24
167:14	neighbors	<b>night</b> 132:4	nook 42:18
near 84:9	132:24	<b>nine</b> 14:12	normal 85:23
necessarily	neither 25:10	155:6,21	134:16
14:25 20:6	<b>nelson</b> 2:4,6 4:7	156:23 157:17	normally 79:13
27:10 88:12	4:15 10:20	<b>noise</b> 16:10	80:2 85:18
112:25 135:6	23:17 33:19	30:10,10	92:24,25
163:14	35:14,20 36:10	122:17 132:4	135:17
necessary	44:24 59:16	nonexhaustive	<b>north</b> 16:12
32:15 65:25	63:18 70:19	31:9	130:20 136:11
93:4	72:19 73:19	<b>nonna</b> 1:19 2:9	<b>notary</b> 1:22 4:4
necessity 58:9	78:2 86:20	2:12 8:6 9:8	174:22 177:7
need 12:25 29:5	97:4 102:6	10:18 12:23	178:25
29:7 45:13	113:12 137:9	13:10 20:18,23	<b>note</b> 71:25
52:22 57:15	142:19 146:25	23:15 28:16	139:22
58:21 59:25	148:17 150:7	34:18 35:12,14	<b>notes</b> 106:2,12
63:14 64:6	152:17,23	35:18 36:4,13	notice 1:17
68:12 73:15	153:3,20	50:16 52:3	137:19 160:18
86:6 89:7	172:21 173:6	53:5 57:7	

### [november - operation]

	I	I	1
november	133:25 134:11	110:11 112:18	operate 16:24
97:15 114:2,5	140:24 141:6,7	147:10,14,19	18:3 19:7
114:6 119:12	141:23 145:20	officer 6:4	82:22 104:19
121:13 137:21	147:25 151:10	98:13,22	105:13 119:7,8
143:8 150:15	152:2 156:11	offices 1:19	120:15 121:2
number 6:12	158:23 159:21	98:17	125:3 126:4,15
13:15 64:9	161:5 165:25	official 11:17	128:17 138:18
67:14,17 75:25	objections 3:21	86:9	140:16 146:10
92:16,17 96:5	36:12 170:15	officially 28:5	146:12 167:10
98:5 99:16,24	obliged 20:9	133:3	167:21
123:4,9,16	observation	officials 134:5	operated 82:12
129:11,18	132:9	134:14,18,24	94:8,9 104:18
149:7 162:25	obviously 7:25	<b>oh</b> 67:19	127:10 138:19
162:25 175:7	11:10 17:7	okay 36:21	operates 8:16
numbered	46:16 47:23,24	46:13 61:5	33:13 38:17
67:18	61:19 90:11	64:7 72:21	operating 14:9
numbers 47:3	113:21 114:7	74:3 75:7	37:2,3 38:13
54:24 73:11	occasion 23:6	78:11 82:20	38:14 40:19
<b>ny</b> 178:2	occur 34:13	93:14 97:12,13	82:10,11,23
0	92:18 120:5	113:23 118:8	98:13,15
o 3:2 4:2 174:2	occurred 51:2	137:18 143:3,6	101:13,14
oath 3:12	91:10 105:2	147:2 157:23	117:15,16
object 8:6	108:15	158:19 160:8	118:11 119:10
35:22 102:3	occurring	160:23 168:5	120:25 125:17
<b>objecting</b> 20:23	38:11	171:20	125:18,21
objecting 20.23	october 62:14	omission	127:14 128:20
10:18 12:23	97:19 114:9,11	165:21	138:23 139:2
13:10 28:16	116:5	<b>omitted</b> 165:18	140:15 142:2
34:18 35:12,15	<b>office</b> 7:3 13:12	once 42:2 49:3	operation 12:6
36:4,6,14	14:3 15:15	92:7 134:22	15:18 17:3,25
50:16 52:3	20:10 21:2,14	ones 20:4 145:6	18:7,8 22:15
53:5 57:7	42:3,22 53:22	ongoing 106:2	23:2 38:18,19
62:20 94:21	61:21 81:14	151:16	38:19 42:12
95:17 102:12	84:20 90:18	<b>open</b> 111:17	95:3 115:7
122:12 131:17	96:14 109:13		130:4 139:6

### [operational - parts]

operational	opinions 27:22	43:6,23 44:14	<b>park</b> 44:9,11
15:9 44:16	102:25 103:3	46:23 111:22	<b>parks</b> 13:18
105:22,24	opportunity	121:20	14:19
106:5 111:7	19:9 22:7	overall 81:18	<b>part</b> 14:20
146:5	125:23	130:4	16:19 21:3,21
operationally	opposed 25:4	overlaps 7:3	38:15 44:17,21
102:22 103:3	41:6 44:7	overseas 24:19	45:20 75:9,13
108:5	opposite 61:24	overview 98:9	94:5,8,12
operations	opposition 26:9	own 9:15 49:19	100:22 105:3
12:10 14:8,8	132:15	86:5 94:10	114:12 123:20
14:10,14,15	<b>order</b> 48:3	134:9 159:5	132:25 133:3,6
17:19,20 19:17	65:24 74:7	p	140:17 142:13
24:17,18,21,22	107:10 118:9	<b>p</b> 2:2,2 3:2	144:22 145:23
25:12,13 37:25	120:5 153:12	<b>p.m.</b> 78:15	151:23 154:14
38:11 39:20	ordinance	173:7	155:13 156:20
40:5 41:18,25	15:18	<b>package</b> 49:19	160:15 167:2
42:19 43:15	organization	page 37:7 45:8	168:17 170:16
69:16,18 70:12	22:19 87:6	47:4,5,7 49:22	particular 6:2
75:14 79:22	114:22	61:7 62:2,3	13:25 31:3
94:12,13 98:8	organizations	65:6,7,18,19,20	33:6 50:8,19
98:8,20 118:12	19:21 100:2	67:11,11,12,13	67:6,24 70:10
132:3 140:23	101:12	67:13,14,15	71:17,19 79:18
144:24,24	original 3:9,17	68:4,6,18,20	96:17 117:3
145:12	57:13 85:11	97:25 116:11	138:17 145:3
operator	oscillation	154:11,13	148:4 149:24
118:11	92:22	155:10 164:13	particularly
operators	<b>ought</b> 119:19	175:6,9 176:4	34:14 75:5
16:23 18:19	outbreak 17:5	176:9,14 178:5	97:25 130:2
20:14 117:14	outcome	pages 50:8	138:15 149:25
117:14 140:13	177:17	67:10,18 68:20	parties 3:7
140:15	outgrowth	paragraph	163:24 177:15
<b>opine</b> 94:15	38:22 110:23	35:3,8 36:22	partners
<b>opinion</b> 76:10	outlined 121:23	62:7 138:16	150:17 175:22
110:3	outside 15:11	147:3 164:7	<b>parts</b> 44:16
	25:11,11 32:23	147.3 104.7	147:24

passed 69:7	81:5 85:10	periodic 92:5	phonetic
162:18 163:3	90:10,13 96:22	93:8	109:20
167:24 169:14	104:16 109:21	permissible	phrase 18:16
171:9	112:23 117:5	36:7	119:16 120:4
passenger	122:4 123:4,9	permission	physical 32:18
101:15 155:5,7	123:12,16	159:19	103:12
155:21 157:17	124:18 125:8	<b>permit</b> 12:19	physically
157:22,25	127:8 132:16	person 32:23	112:6
158:5,10	132:18 133:11	69:19 70:9	<b>pick</b> 112:24
160:21,25	133:24 134:8	74:25 81:7	<b>piece</b> 54:13
161:3,10 162:5	136:9 159:6	84:23 127:10	67:25
162:11 164:10	165:22 166:5	136:9	<b>place</b> 66:14,21
164:23 165:5	168:13	person's 27:11	67:2 101:25
165:13 166:7	people's 25:18	personal 91:20	102:10 108:22
166:10,14,16	perceive 104:3	112:23	168:18 174:11
166:18,22,25	perceived	personality	plains 1:21
169:19 172:11	105:10	90:12	2:11 130:22
passengers	perception	personally	150:16 175:21
26:21 27:16	19:3 29:10	39:15 117:2	plaintiffs 1:5
32:10 162:25	perceptions	130:18 140:8	1:16 2:4 4:18
<b>past</b> 29:14	104:9	<b>peter</b> 74:9,13	<b>plan</b> 119:8,11
137:2	perfect 5:18	76:7 77:15	123:3 129:19
<b>path</b> 15:24	perfectly	83:5,19 89:5	129:21 135:9
<b>paul</b> 83:10	102:17	93:20,21 94:25	135:13,20
pavilion 44:10	performs 96:4	97:14 100:14	plane 41:9
<b>pay</b> 125:4	perimeter	107:20 116:20	125:11
pending 5:17	30:18 32:23,24	143:11 175:16	planes 26:6
people 11:18	58:11	<b>peter's</b> 75:23	planning 67:23
12:10 15:23	perimeters	philosophical	68:8 142:4,5
25:25 26:7	9:12,13,16	129:3,7	<b>plants</b> 13:18
27:9,12,15	88:22	philosophy	<b>play</b> 16:7 18:11
29:20 37:25	period 11:22	29:4	104:15
39:20 52:16	37:18 76:19	<b>phone</b> 112:24	played 95:4
71:8 77:8	81:16 86:13	160:3	<b>player</b> 130:19
79:22 80:17,18	93:8 120:13		

### [players - previously]

mlowana 10.5	10.1 116.16	26.2.41.0	nuada a a ganta
<b>players</b> 18:5	48:4 146:16	36:3 41:9	predecessor's
88:5 95:2	policy 11:15	52:17 70:6	21:15
109:22 142:4	12:16 14:25	81:14,15 84:3	predecessors
144:5	16:20 21:5	84:3,12 90:14	128:8
playland 13:18	26:17 27:20	104:23 110:17	prefer 58:7
43:9	28:6 30:6	117:7 121:17	157:15
pleasant	39:23 41:18,23	131:15 158:20	prerogative
136:12	69:22 71:17	<b>positions</b> 6:11	55:7
please 4:8	87:19,21,22,24	6:21,24 7:15	presence
20:19 35:17	88:2,3,3,10,11	75:25 79:11	122:21 123:18
44:24 73:19	88:13,21 90:4	positive 132:20	present 2:14
88:15 97:11	91:15,21 96:7	possession	92:10
113:12 137:9	98:24 101:7,17	46:11	presented
137:17 142:19	101:18,22,24	possible 125:19	38:12 42:16,24
143:5 144:2	102:11 104:11	148:12	119:3 163:11
148:17 150:7	104:12 108:18	<b>post</b> 32:4	168:5,7
153:22 154:22	109:3 111:7,25	potential 56:19	president 93:24
<b>plural</b> 101:12	117:8,11,11,18	117:14,24	<b>press</b> 23:21
<b>pmh</b> 1:7	117:20,21,25	124:13 125:2	109:11
<b>point</b> 7:15	118:2,4 119:21	potentiality	presumably
11:13 12:18	119:22 120:7,9	156:2	49:23
14:6,7,23 15:8	126:21 144:11	potentially	presume 32:8
21:9 26:14	144:17 146:11	29:18 88:7	38:23 49:15
32:21,22 48:14	169:11 171:24	105:12	50:3 54:17
53:4 54:4	pollution	practicality	57:22 59:3
55:20 56:15	122:17	118:25 128:23	100:13,25
76:23 90:3	<b>poor</b> 86:2	practice 50:11	116:19 140:5
104:6 106:16	population	108:7	presumption
113:5 125:20	123:11	preceded	22:18 58:5
127:14 146:15	portion 78:16	140:22	83:4 140:10
149:14 152:9	123:10	precedes 76:16	146:14
159:11 170:2,5	position 5:20	precise 37:14	pretty 32:3
<b>pointed</b> 119:17	5:23 6:3,11	predate 62:25	132:10
policies 27:24	12:5 13:8	predecessor	previously 9:7
30:19,21 41:19	33:16 35:9	23:5,19	31:22 69:14

### [previously - public]

	I		
79:11 99:23	probably 46:22	program 71:17	144:24
134:23	56:2 58:21	73:15 96:7	protect 34:22
primarily 43:5	89:16 96:25	prohibit 120:21	126:24
130:10,17	149:14 167:13	project 110:4	protections
principal 27:21	problem 86:6	124:19 130:23	34:25 64:16
74:18	169:23	prominent	67:2,3,5,7
<b>prior</b> 6:10,23	<b>procedure</b> 1:18	144:5	104:24 121:6
8:17,20 21:6	35:4 72:16	promoted	128:15 157:11
21:25 31:24	118:22	69:25	protective
37:18 40:7,12	procedures	prompted	153:12
41:14 60:11	34:17 35:11	39:12	provide 33:11
75:17 79:17	75:11 154:8	promulgated	87:7 111:14,16
89:5 93:22	process 15:6	120:11,11	124:10 156:16
99:8 100:9,15	21:22 22:16	promulgating	165:5
102:10 106:8	24:16 31:6	87:19	provided 96:5
133:15,21	42:21 54:19	<b>proper</b> 54:18	166:7,8,17
135:22 138:4	67:24 91:15	168:10	provides 54:10
141:21 156:8	107:20 110:16	properly	84:8
158:21	111:12 129:19	167:13	providing
private 22:17	<b>product</b> 124:11	proposal 19:16	155:5 164:10
22:19,23,25	professional	21:17,19 24:3	164:23 165:13
32:2,11 73:14	6:25 31:20	24:23 25:6	provisions 66:2
98:11	40:16 98:23	30:5 31:3	160:19
privatization	professionally	proposals	proximate
21:8,18 22:6	124:12	19:23 20:7	112:12
23:16,18 25:5	<b>profit</b> 43:24	21:11,13 22:5	<b>public</b> 1:3,22
25:10	44:12 124:16	22:8,11,14	4:4 11:15,16
privatize 24:10	125:25 127:16	23:8,16,18	11:17,18 12:11
privilege	profitable	30:3	14:24 16:20
100:22 105:23	124:11	propose 50:22	20:2,6 21:15
privileged	profitably	55:2	26:17 27:3,3,4
102:14	19:10 127:17	proposed 12:18	27:5 30:6
<b>privy</b> 13:25	128:17	49:7 50:13	39:23 41:18,23
56:17 161:15	profound	51:4 54:23	52:15 69:22
	123:16	69:4 94:7	87:12,23 90:18

### [public - recognize]

94:10 99:3,21	54:25 56:4	rail 123:23	<b>reads</b> 144:15
100:4 104:11	60:6 61:8 62:6	raised 136:2	realities 17:8
104:12 107:15	65:18,22 72:18	170:14	<b>really</b> 42:11
107:16,16	72:23 78:23	<b>ramp</b> 164:11	83:16 95:4
108:3,18 109:2	90:24 91:6	164:24 165:7	103:13,25
109:10,13	95:10,18 102:7	165:18	104:2 105:16
110:2,25	102:13 103:24	ranking 98:21	142:16,17
122:25 126:21	104:5 107:19	rare 89:25	160:7 172:16
126:24 129:20	141:24 153:13	rather 37:4	reason 29:22
129:20 135:12	156:12 159:9	42:5 73:11	61:13,15 124:4
148:5,7 174:22	159:11 169:15	145:17	172:12 178:5
177:7 178:2,25	170:21 176:14	rationale 67:6	reasonable
publicly 26:9	questioning	raymond 2:15	27:18
52:15 123:24	10:22	reach 118:22	<b>recall</b> 19:18
<b>pulled</b> 159:7	questions 4:19	161:3	38:3 40:2,10
<b>pulling</b> 159:12	11:19 28:10	<b>react</b> 160:5	48:8 49:17
<b>purport</b> 104:14	42:20 64:3	reactions 15:22	69:24 78:25
<b>purpose</b> 172:10	102:4 112:20	15:25	79:16 101:9
pursuant 1:17	146:21 168:13	<b>read</b> 20:21	103:7,10 134:3
8:19,23 156:3	176:13	42:18 45:9	134:5 136:4
<b>push</b> 124:4	<b>quick</b> 65:22	46:13,14,23	163:5
<b>pushing</b> 76:9	113:21	50:3,7 59:25	receive 54:13
<b>put</b> 30:17 32:7	<b>quickly</b> 170:22	61:6 62:5	100:11 119:14
73:9 111:19,20	<b>quite</b> 44:15	65:21 66:15	131:9,13
113:4 124:20	quotation	73:13 75:5,6,7	received 40:2
125:22	147:5,6 148:14	77:3 82:19	85:19 100:18
pyramid 98:14	quote 94:4	110:14 115:5	receiving 95:21
q	quoting 11:25	118:3 119:3	recently 74:17
qualify 68:13	r	154:21,24	recognition
quality 30:11	r 2:2 3:2 4:2,2	157:2,3 158:17	49:4
quarters 98:21	174:2 177:2	159:2 166:11	recognize 34:5
question 5:12	race 41:21	reading 49:5	45:12,25 46:4
5:16 20:19,21	radar 40:11	60:7 115:8	48:24,25 78:18
33:2 36:18,20	radius 133:10	142:15 159:10	80:23 102:24
45:14 49:3	133.10		103:8 124:7,25

### [recognize - representatives]

- 6 -			C
129:24	<b>refers</b> 138:13	154:7	repeat 20:18
recollection	<b>reflect</b> 101:16	related 41:24	164:15
51:6,8 79:21	reflected 118:3	98:24 135:3	rephrase 4:24
record 4:9	reflecting	153:14 177:15	36:15,20 46:6
21:16 52:15	35:18	<b>relates</b> 142:10	102:6
69:9 98:25	<b>regard</b> 7:18,23	relating 60:19	<b>report</b> 14:15
114:5 152:17	9:25 10:24	relation 34:16	34:8 64:15
152:18 167:19	12:20 13:8	relationship	68:23 69:22
172:21,22	15:13,16 18:23	85:21 86:19	81:5 87:11
177:12	22:5 28:13	89:23 91:19,20	98:17 148:5
recreation	33:6 34:15	93:6	157:9 175:8
14:19	42:7 61:12	relevant 123:13	reported 65:16
recycling 88:15	64:8 66:23	130:19 154:6	<b>reporter</b> 5:3,12
101:7,21	71:19 73:16	168:12	20:22 33:24
redefine 104:8	84:7 94:3	<b>rely</b> 30:25	45:5 59:21
<b>refer</b> 47:3	105:21,24	122:19	63:23 70:24
reference 68:11	111:5 114:14	remaining	73:24 78:7
95:6 97:21	116:15 118:20	110:8	86:24 97:8
98:18 101:10	122:8 129:14	remember	113:17 137:14
114:10,11,20	131:11,16	11:19 39:16	142:24 148:22
115:22 119:11	132:22 135:20	56:7 64:23	150:12 154:2
138:4,11	167:15	78:22 104:25	reporting
144:18 146:10	regarding	170:17	92:14 178:1
150:19 155:16	76:14	remembering	<b>reports</b> 23:7,21
171:11	regular 79:12	79:7	81:4 92:19
referenced 20:3	92:10	reminding	99:9 112:8
114:8 122:17	regulation 67:8	144:16	represent 4:17
122:18 152:15	75:13 94:11	renovated	27:8 63:13
160:23	regulations	151:24	111:19 117:13
references	8:14,23 68:25	rentals 44:10	123:10 146:18
60:24 116:8	73:3 120:10,16	rented 44:10	147:14,23
referred 20:20	120:19 137:5	<b>repave</b> 26:12	representation
150:21	reinforce 104:8	repaving 26:14	136:12
referring 99:19	<b>relate</b> 12:16	26:14	representatives
141:19	15:22 88:8		92:3 131:22,23

### [representatives - right]

163:6	reserved 3:22	responsibilities	59:3 61:10,24
represented	reserving	6:2 12:8 69:18	66:3,14 156:15
43:8,10 63:13	153:11,19	76:20 98:15	156:22 157:7
63:15 79:10	172:24	109:17 121:3	157:10,12,16
92:9	resident 74:14	responsibility	157:16,18
represents	residents 125:6	26:12 70:11	159:9,13,14,17
83:14 86:10	132:2,6,7	103:4 110:7	159:20,23,25
96:24 101:5,6	resolved	111:4,6 124:17	162:6 165:23
120:8 136:10	107:24	128:4	165:24
136:11 147:15	respect 111:7	responsible 6:5	<b>result</b> 62:14
<b>request</b> 29:6,9	131:15	80:13 81:18	116:16
29:9 33:10	respectfully	110:25	resulted 144:7
51:18 148:7	25:19 167:16	rest 44:2 56:3	results 151:9
160:10	respective 3:6	89:20	<b>resume</b> 112:22
requested	respond 29:3	restate 105:15	retained
43:20 51:16	responded	restatement	175:24
176:8	51:23 146:3	139:3 144:11	retracted 21:19
requesting	responding	restating 139:5	revenue 43:16
28:23	70:18 75:9	restaurant	43:18 44:11
requests 31:7	77:2	126:8,9,20	122:5
113:5	responds 54:21	restriction	revenues 44:5
require 10:10	76:5 97:19	57:14,17,18	review 22:7
31:4,21 33:17	response 23:8	58:11 62:13,15	23:6 25:6
51:25 88:20	51:17 63:8	restrictions 8:4	49:11,13,20
required 10:14	76:7,11 77:5	8:9 9:6 17:24	64:6 67:23
68:2 161:9	77:10,10 80:24	18:3 34:23	68:2,23 108:21
requirement	82:5 100:24	46:18 60:20	109:19 157:22
62:17	101:6,16	62:24 66:3,18	reviewed 22:9
requires 34:11	103:24 104:22	66:20 108:22	140:13 170:9
107:6	105:18 120:19	126:6 127:18	reviews 68:9
reread 142:9	129:2 139:11	128:24 162:13	revolves 142:2
research 80:14	139:13 151:12	162:15,24	<b>rid</b> 165:16
84:18	responses	restrictive	<b>right</b> 4:25
reservations	50:24	57:21,25 58:5	20:11 23:17
119:13		58:10,14,20,24	35:2,5 47:2,11

[right - security]

- 0			C
52:23 56:24	62:24 64:16	<b>sanzone</b> 143:19	143:12 175:16
58:3 62:4,11	110:19 126:9	<b>sat</b> 101:11	schlactus 74:9
65:7,13 67:21	166:4	163:18	74:13 75:9
71:13,14 75:2	<b>rulings</b> 176:13	saw 19:9 56:3	76:7,14,21
83:20 90:11	rumbarger	77:21 79:21	77:11,15 83:5
97:22 98:22	93:17	85:12 113:20	83:19
105:5,7 110:18	<b>run</b> 13:12	115:25 152:11	<b>scope</b> 32:20
110:22 116:13	88:17 89:15	<b>saxon</b> 44:9	128:7
126:2,17	109:12 137:2	saying 36:8	<b>screen</b> 40:12
137:22 139:14	169:13	72:22 75:19	sealing 3:7
143:12,13,16	running 21:25	122:6 136:16	<b>search</b> 71:24
147:21 153:11	56:12	147:22 149:2	72:9 73:8
156:5 164:12	runway 26:6	says 29:7 35:19	season 14:2
165:20 168:14	26:12,15 28:3	37:6 47:13	<b>seat</b> 84:4
<b>rights</b> 153:19	ryan 55:25	52:21,22 53:3	155:22
172:25	<b>rye</b> 2:5 4:12	54:22 61:8	seater 32:11
<b>rise</b> 117:8	6:19 16:11	66:8 71:25	<b>seats</b> 155:7
rockwell 63:12	38:8 39:17	72:3 73:12,13	157:17
63:16	74:15,15 79:3	75:18 76:6	<b>second</b> 21:20
<b>role</b> 70:12	79:10 130:21	97:18 98:7	35:2 61:7
98:10	136:2	110:21 116:4	115:13 116:11
<b>room</b> 109:9	S	120:9 138:10	138:16 147:3
<b>rooms</b> 27:13	s 2:2 3:2,2	157:8,9 161:20	167:18
rosenberg	175:2 178:5	164:8,12,25	secondarily
83:11	safety 26:15	165:11 166:10	43:6
ross 148:25	sake 54:25	166:13,16,20	<b>section</b> 35:4,7
149:2,8,13,17	56:16 95:10	scenario 85:21	160:20 164:6,8
149:20,23	132:7	157:16	164:18,20,21
175:20	sales 7:4	scheinkman	165:4,10,11
routes 130:5	saltzman 69:20	53:17	<b>sector</b> 98:12
rudiments 43:2	80:20 98:19,19	scherrer 89:5	<b>secure</b> 65:24
<b>rule</b> 8:15	samra 2:14	93:20 94:25	security 31:12
<b>rules</b> 1:18 8:13	sanitarian	95:19 96:17	31:16,22 32:5
8:17,22 31:20	126:11	97:14 100:9,11	32:10,16,22,23
36:12 38:20		105:18 106:5,6	32:24 33:2,6

### [security - showed]

33:11,18 73:15	<b>seemed</b> 159:24	122:11 143:18	158:10 160:21
see 25:17 29:23	seems 77:24	145:24	161:2,3,10
35:6,7 36:13	147:4 160:8	sentence 61:6	162:5,11
37:7,10 47:2	166:3	61:12 62:6,19	164:23 165:5
47:19,21 52:16	seen 45:15 49:4	65:21 66:7,8	165:13 166:7
62:9 72:3,4,17	60:10,11 64:4	66:16,23	166:10,14,16
72:25 73:12	64:9 72:7	164:17 165:11	166:18,22,25
74:7 75:16	75:21 90:19	166:6	169:20 172:11
76:4,6,17,18	94:23 96:2	sentences 157:3	services 19:11
77:4 78:15	114:12 119:4	sentiment	19:12 30:3
79:18 80:17,22	133:15 140:18	94:19	33:11 44:2
81:8 84:22	144:9 155:3	separate 92:13	69:14 84:16
85:8 90:16	161:21 162:6	108:25 109:8	125:4 155:5
92:18 100:18	sees 77:4,6	115:3 151:20	164:10
101:5 110:9	segmented	separated	<b>session</b> 102:19
115:9 127:16	82:18	112:7 154:11	119:5 173:3
132:19 134:18	selectmen	154:12	set 9:12 57:10
137:24 138:9	129:17	<b>seqra</b> 67:23	62:8 177:11,20
138:15,21	<b>self</b> 90:8	68:8,23	setting 100:7
139:24,25	senate 54:8	sequence	settlement 8:20
142:10,11	senator 6:13	103:14	105:6
147:6 154:12	21:25	sequentially	several 133:14
154:24 155:16	<b>send</b> 79:13 85:7	67:18	<b>sewer</b> 13:17
158:4,17 164:4	147:17	series 4:19	<b>shape</b> 39:18
164:12,25	sending 77:7	64:16	86:3 109:22
165:7,14,19	113:2	<b>served</b> 26:18	<b>she'll</b> 91:11
168:25 169:3	<b>senior</b> 87:23	40:20 42:3	<b>sheet</b> 178:1
171:11 172:2	88:18 117:4	56:8 69:14	<b>shelter</b> 86:2,5
seeing 49:5	<b>sense</b> 9:17 74:5	112:4 169:7	sheraton 7:10
79:16 115:6	82:15 93:5	<b>serves</b> 74:16	<b>short</b> 17:7 68:8
125:23 161:17	100:19 172:13	83:21	<b>show</b> 93:12
seek 57:4	sent 76:8,13,25	service 3:16	146:17 148:23
153:12 159:18	77:15 78:14	29:23 101:15	<b>showed</b> 114:19
seeking 118:12	79:25 80:9	123:7 124:10	114:24 117:13
120:25 137:6	85:10,14 86:16	125:15 158:5	144:13

### [showing - standard]

			<b>C</b>
showing 146:8	112:17 128:13	74:5 92:24	146:5,9,9
<b>shut</b> 126:18,19	sits 43:23 44:14	140:21 141:18	162:2,13,15
127:5	86:3	<b>sought</b> 26:25	specifically 8:5
<b>side</b> 17:19,21	<b>sitting</b> 53:20	27:2 28:4	8:8 47:20 79:2
18:4 38:17	59:9 90:22	<b>sound</b> 16:15	89:24 97:2
40:23 58:13	106:10	43:9	132:10 135:20
80:13 82:16,17	situation 20:2	source 38:8	specificity
82:23,24 109:3	35:25 105:4	<b>south</b> 16:14	109:7
125:10 131:25	106:25 107:14	southern 1:2	specifics 79:7
139:8	118:19 127:7	<b>space</b> 18:25	88:4 162:22
<b>sides</b> 65:13	161:11	19:2	specified
signature 64:21	situations 92:5	<b>spano</b> 53:13	174:11
65:6,10,11	146:5	<b>speak</b> 8:8 61:19	specify 38:6
67:10,12,13	<b>size</b> 32:20	97:2 109:6	146:7
71:4 143:19,23	128:6	116:18 167:24	speculate
144:2,19	<b>skip</b> 73:14	speaking 8:2	141:10
145:10 146:23	skirting 121:3	10:12 11:9	speculating
149:7 177:23	slightly 55:11	19:19 36:11,14	52:6
<b>signed</b> 3:10,12	119:13	36:23 47:5	speculative
3:15 64:10	smaller 123:8	74:25 141:17	170:16
155:12	<b>smith</b> 135:11	152:24 168:2	spelled 88:23
significant	<b>society</b> 127:15	<b>speaks</b> 35:3,9	spent 7:2
29:15 31:21	127:20	36:23 93:9	<b>spoke</b> 111:4
32:17 92:20	<b>solid</b> 108:24	<b>spear</b> 69:11,12	162:14
96:10,21 100:2	somebody	71:2 74:24	spotted 150:4
123:10,18	63:13 111:22	76:5,13 77:15	ss 177:4
similar 87:11	142:2 160:3	96:5 106:8	<b>staff</b> 77:9 81:3
113:19 115:4	somewhat	115:14 175:12	<b>stages</b> 19:15
132:22 137:16	115:3	specific 11:20	21:4
144:21 145:6	<b>sorry</b> 10:21	12:25 30:2,24	stamford
148:24 149:9	36:17 67:19	40:2 60:24	130:12
149:11 150:14	72:22 76:5	88:21 103:7	<b>stamp</b> 47:3
simplest 59:14	102:5 164:15	106:12 118:16	<b>stand</b> 134:6
<b>sit</b> 14:11 30:4	<b>sort</b> 11:25	136:4 142:3,16	standard 73:14
90:3 110:4	29:24 57:15	144:15,18	

### [standards - summarized]

	T		
standards	states 1:2 75:15	stronger 26:5	47:23 49:13
128:5	stating 88:7	121:17	50:10 51:12
standpoint	statistics 92:15	structural	52:7 56:20,21
27:3 28:18	status 68:8	91:21	57:2 156:7
31:19 60:19	<b>statute</b> 147:22	structurally	169:10
124:8 167:23	staying 163:9	131:2 135:24	subordinate
170:7	<b>stays</b> 93:3	structure 33:10	33:16 81:23
<b>stands</b> 72:11	<b>step</b> 39:9 53:9	41:14 43:12	84:17
start 42:18,20	54:20 82:8	92:13 130:25	subscribed
74:6 106:21	103:22	135:17 147:20	174:18 178:22
118:12 122:6	stephanie	151:24 162:21	subsequent
started 15:7	83:12	structures 93:7	8:15 50:24
52:20 121:11	<b>steve</b> 84:17	131:3 133:2	subsequently
128:16	85:6,13	studied 21:15	8:18 9:3 51:14
starting 69:2	steven 81:10,25	<b>study</b> 68:13	155:17
starts 62:8	stipulated 3:5	<b>stuff</b> 162:13	<b>subset</b> 159:6,7
125:15	3:20 9:2	<b>style</b> 90:17	subsidiaries
state 1:22 4:4,8	stipulation	<b>styles</b> 90:19	7:6
6:13,15 21:24	60:22 127:22	subject 47:14	subsidiary 7:9
22:4 50:21	127:23 128:3	52:18 62:16	7:10 94:10
54:7,8 56:12	<b>stop</b> 121:7	111:17 114:16	substance
56:15 58:16	122:3,8	138:8 149:23	17:14 54:22
79:12 81:21	<b>storm</b> 16:13	subjective 89:2	99:7
99:2,4,5 112:5	stouffer 7:8	109:4 131:24	substantive
130:3 131:22	straight 66:24	submission	57:3,5,10,12
131:22 160:12	strategic 93:24	9:24 51:24	suburban
160:14 171:5	streams 43:17	54:22 57:14	130:12
177:4,8	43:19	63:8 160:5	sufficient 68:13
stated 25:3	street 4:12	<b>submit</b> 49:24	153:15
28:7 61:25	strengthened	50:12 52:10,14	suggestions
statement 36:6	28:3	53:16 55:4,7	76:10
49:20 61:14	strengthening	135:14 168:9	suit 135:22,22
90:8 139:3	26:16	submits 53:22	summarized
153:8	stringent 66:17	submitted 9:24	43:18
		10:4 20:8	

### [summarizes - terms]

gummonizes	guanact 06.14	tokon 1.16 51.0	1/2.25 15/.5
summarizes	<b>suspect</b> 96:14 <b>sworn</b> 3:10 4:3	taken 1:16 51:9 takes 32:22	143:25 154:5
105:18			162:9,15
<b>summer</b> 123:2	174:5,18	85:5	171:15
supervisor	177:11 178:22	talk 13:22	telling 83:16
134:19	system 38:15	92:16 112:23	122:3,8 138:17
support 10:9	38:16 105:11	122:16 135:4,5	153:9
10:10,15	109:6 128:19	135:6,8	ten 106:18
124:16 143:20	systems 33:14	talked 63:9	tend 43:3 91:8
146:24	t	122:15 123:5	tenure 9:22
<b>sure</b> 5:10,11	t 3:2,2 4:2	129:18,18	11:5 17:6
6:22 7:2 13:4	174:2 175:2	162:12	21:24 40:12
38:6 39:14	177:2,2	talking 17:13	42:14 43:12
46:5 54:2 72:8	table 14:13	23:10 59:15	149:19 152:6
117:16 122:23	15:5,14,15	88:14,23	163:18
126:19 127:6	17:12,16 18:15	107:20 127:11	<b>term</b> 17:7 42:9
146:22 147:2	18:23 20:14	144:14 159:5	110:9 120:4
147:18 149:18	24:3 25:7 84:5	168:23	149:15 160:21
158:18 164:16		tasked 24:17	160:22,22
170:24	89:6 106:11	87:14,18	161:2,4
surprise 86:18	110:4	team 6:7 12:5	terminal 34:16
surprised 76:4	take 5:3,13,15 10:6 22:20	12:14 14:6,12	35:4,11 37:4
101:10 116:25		24:17,18,20,24	38:14 40:24
170:13	25:13 34:3	25:13 38:10	72:13,15 73:2
surprising	37:16 39:9	39:2 40:5	73:3 75:11
76:21 90:15	46:12 48:19	80:15 81:9,20	101:8 138:20
surround 14:13	53:10 54:20	96:6	139:8 154:8
surrounding	59:23,24 60:5	telephone	164:11,11,24
111:8	75:4,5 78:9	91:16 106:10	164:24 165:6,7
surveys 126:13	97:10 101:24	tell 31:15 46:8	165:17,18
susan 69:11,12	106:22 107:6	56:6 68:5,19	166:8,14,15,17
71:2 74:24	110:17 113:21	82:7 85:5 87:4	166:19,21,23
76:13,19 77:15	121:17 137:17	89:7 90:25	terms 13:22
96:5 106:8	142:12 143:4	91:11 92:2,13	18:6 33:18
115:14 175:12	151:4 154:4	100:17 108:9	103:11 108:19
	164:5	120:20 128:16	
		120.20 120.10	
	Voritort Lo		1

[testified - tom] Page 43

		T .	
testified 4:5	things 8:17 9:2	36:5 59:9 69:8	63:5 64:6
123:9 154:13	9:15,18 10:13	74:5 85:20,22	70:13,13 72:10
testify 35:21	10:16,24 11:4	85:22 89:2	76:19 77:23
174:5	11:12 14:16	90:23 91:6,19	79:10 80:7,8
testifying 35:16	16:6,19 17:5	111:23 117:10	81:16 83:11
35:24 36:2	18:12 19:12	118:24,25	84:13,20 85:16
testimony	24:14 25:25	132:21 137:7	85:17 86:13,19
122:25 123:4	27:8,9 28:24	149:13 157:13	93:8 102:8
135:14 174:6	30:18,24 42:19	159:25 167:16	104:6 105:10
174:10 177:13	43:9,14 46:20	thinking 79:6	112:17 113:6
<b>thank</b> 93:11	48:7,15 49:9	110:13 131:5	115:6 120:13
116:4	52:10 75:18	171:25 172:6	121:9 138:24
<b>thanks</b> 143:4	78:25 79:13	thinks 77:6	140:8,14
theodore 2:5	81:7 82:19	<b>third</b> 47:6	142:11,12,14
theoretical	84:10 87:15	thought 39:7	143:4 146:15
58:3,4,15 59:7	92:22 95:7	40:23 57:11,12	149:8,14,17
59:8	96:3 104:16	57:19 79:2,14	152:9,13
theoretically	106:3 107:13	171:23	153:11,13
58:22	108:23 111:12	three 81:15	154:11 163:6
theorize 89:22	111:18 113:6	110:8 123:12	163:20 174:10
145:22	115:4 118:9	153:18	timeframe
theorizing	119:5,20	<b>thumb</b> 45:10	144:20
167:2	120:22 121:2	tie 152:15	times 5:8 98:5
<b>theory</b> 171:18	122:16 127:11	time 1:12 3:22	99:16 129:11
<b>thing</b> 11:2	128:12 129:7,8	4:20,22,23	129:18 134:22
36:11 45:10	130:23 135:3	5:15 7:11,15	135:5
46:14 50:4,7	140:12 144:12	7:17 10:17	title 71:7,18
70:10 88:9	162:23 163:20	11:9,13,22	84:15
89:8 91:3,10	163:21,22	14:23 23:10,13	titles 83:6
92:11 107:10	164:3 167:2	24:5,7 31:8,8	<b>today</b> 34:2
127:24 129:4	168:22 171:15	37:16,19 46:12	61:11 170:12
131:8 136:18	172:3	48:14 53:19	171:16,22
142:3 157:4	<b>think</b> 17:18	54:2,3,4 55:20	<b>told</b> 66:13
159:25 168:14	27:17 28:6	56:10 59:24	tom 93:17,19
	31:18 32:15	60:5 61:2,20	
			1

### [took - understanding]

_	I		I -
took 20:10 21:2	87:13 99:3,21	<b>two</b> 14:9 17:6	unanimously
37:16 49:18	100:5 123:25	32:11 42:13	163:22,23
51:20 79:24	148:6,8	64:3,4,18,22	unaware 75:20
102:10 168:18	<b>travel</b> 31:23	68:3,10 71:15	unclear 4:20
<b>tool</b> 16:3	traveled 31:24	77:14,24 82:19	undefined
<b>top</b> 32:8 37:9	treatment	83:22 98:20	160:22 161:2
61:7 65:20	13:17	102:4 112:5	161:11
67:14,17,20	trial 3:22	115:21,21,24	under 8:16
77:9 80:25	triggered 82:8	117:19 119:2,4	20:5 33:9
98:13 155:10	103:10 140:12	139:4,9 146:4	36:12 56:22
156:3	<b>true</b> 54:3 107:4	150:15 151:4,7	62:7,16 63:9
<b>topic</b> 110:11	127:2 151:18	152:21 153:7	71:3 94:8
153:14	174:9 177:12	<b>type</b> 29:6 32:2	103:13 104:5
<b>total</b> 18:7	<b>truth</b> 109:7	51:24 68:2,10	111:14 147:22
touch 95:24	174:5	85:19 145:16	156:24
touched 67:10	truthfully	151:2	undercuts
119:2	40:20 94:23	<b>types</b> 107:13	121:16
town 85:23	<b>try</b> 30:17 75:22	<b>typo</b> 72:15	underlying
86:3 130:10,20	113:9 127:15	u	139:15
130:21	130:22 133:5	<b>u</b> 3:2	underneath
towns 134:19	159:5,14	u 5.2 uh 5:4	14:21
traditionally	<b>trying</b> 59:8,13	uhh's 5:4	understand
108:15	121:23 125:23	uhm 38:23	8:10 13:2
<b>traffic</b> 16:16,17	<b>tsa</b> 31:20 32:7,8	80:16 84:21	33:15 36:8
16:18 30:12	32:9,14 33:3,9	87:22	39:5 43:21
33:14 132:4	tua 71:25 72:11	<b>ultimate</b> 24:13	46:3,6 49:6
transcript 5:6	73:3 145:4	32:25	59:12 95:8
5:13 174:9,9	<b>tup</b> 35:10 72:15		106:21 108:11
translate 5:5	tup's 37:3	<b>ultimately</b> 24:9 25:8 107:8	110:15 114:23
transparent	tur 71:25 72:14		121:22 122:2
113:6	73:2	110:20 112:8	124:5,22 128:3
transportation	turn 22:15 31:2	168:6	129:4 159:3
12:11 14:20,22	47:5 77:7	unable 95:5	understanding
24:19 39:21	twice 155:12,17	unanimous	4:21 8:12,24
56:9 64:19	·	65:2	9:4 17:24

### [understanding - wants]

	T	T	
22:13,24 23:3	upcoming	valid 36:5	voluntarily
34:17 43:14	135:12	<b>value</b> 123:7	83:23
46:9 48:2	<b>upfront</b> 122:14	125:8,22	voluntary
49:12 60:3,13	<b>upper</b> 65:7	various 6:6	57:18 105:8
60:18 62:18,22	upstairs 69:23	12:9 13:18	<b>vote</b> 64:15 65:2
62:23 63:7	71:13 84:20	16:22 19:15	168:15
64:5 66:22	96:6 172:17	58:7 60:19	<b>voted</b> 64:18
72:5,6 73:17	urban 1:4	88:5,6 117:13	69:7 156:14
75:8 76:12	137:24	135:4	157:18 170:11
77:12 78:20	<b>urgent</b> 118:14	vendors 88:6	<b>voting</b> 162:9
82:18 94:18	<b>usage</b> 129:24	89:8	W
108:4 128:14	132:15	verbal 5:2	wainwright
138:22 140:20	use 27:5,16	106:10	4:12
159:17	29:14,17 34:16	veritext 178:1	waive 123:13
understands	35:4,11 46:18	version 171:7	waived 3:9
95:22	72:13,15 73:2	<b>vetting</b> 54:19	walk 127:22,25
understood 5:7	73:3 75:11	viable 30:5	167:5,7
42:14 50:5	88:15 119:16	<b>vice</b> 93:24	want 5:15 28:9
51:22 59:10	120:3,8 125:6	<b>video</b> 2:14,15	29:22 30:8,13
71:10 149:16	125:9,24 132:6	view 25:14	35:24 40:3
173:6	132:8 133:11	28:14	59:25 63:3
unforeseeable	154:8 165:6	viewed 89:12	75:22 81:8
17:9	<b>used</b> 3:14 40:15	views 25:22	91:15 105:23
unfortunately	148:14 157:6	village 38:7	120:22 124:3
25:24	users 164:9,22	39:17 74:14,15	126:16,18,19
united 1:2	165:4,13	79:3,8 83:5,12	131:5,6 153:3
universal 44:21	<b>using</b> 18:15	83:13 84:8	153:5,0 153:5
166:3	138:17 164:10	85:23	166:9 172:15
unknown	164:23 165:17	village's 83:7	wanted 25:2,5
125:12	166:14	84:5	57:20,24 72:24
unlimited	V	villages 134:19	89:15 103:18
29:18	v 178:2	violation 37:2	114:4
<b>unpaid</b> 84:2,6	vacate 104:8	121:15	wanting 52:19
unsigned 3:14	vacate 104.0	<b>vital</b> 30:16	wants 135:14
	, againg 37.10		133,17

### [warranted - years]

_			
warranted	162:18	<b>witness</b> 3:10,16	writing 100:9
139:18	west 40:23	3:18 4:3 35:16	106:3 111:20
washington	westchester 1:8	35:21 173:8	111:21 113:4
41:4	1:19 2:10 5:21	177:10,13,19	113:10 140:22
<b>water</b> 16:13	6:5,17 8:21	witnesses'	written 97:14
30:11	16:4 19:3,4,5	178:3	111:13,15,24
watershed	29:15,24 35:5	<b>woman</b> 99:6	116:16,20
16:11	41:6 42:9	women's 27:13	135:14 138:24
way 11:13 16:3	46:18 47:10,14	wondering	X
37:9 39:18	47:24 50:12	95:9	<b>x</b> 1:3,10 88:7
44:15 59:14	60:20 62:12,25	<b>woods</b> 44:9	110:3 175:2
70:6 82:11	71:5 104:17	<b>word</b> 25:17,24	176:2
84:25 88:9,19	118:5 123:19	60:2	<b>xo</b> 1:4 114:15
90:2,11 98:23	124:24 132:12	<b>words</b> 62:9	114:22 115:7
98:24 104:18	133:5,22	73:10 108:7	116:5,24 119:9
104:19 107:23	134:14,18,25	work 9:18 14:5	125:15 128:16
109:14,22	167:7,23 168:3	19:22 81:19	139:10
110:16 120:15	177:5 178:2	98:21 100:3	
129:9 130:15	westport	112:2 170:8	<b>xo's</b> 121:11
133:4 138:23	132:18	<b>worked</b> 7:5,8	y
145:4 146:10	whereof 177:19	7:11 41:10	<b>y</b> 88:8
146:12 147:11	<b>white</b> 1:20 2:11	99:23	<b>ya</b> 110:9
147:11 148:13	130:22 150:16	working 86:11	<b>yada</b> 91:18,18
172:12 177:17	175:21	86:12 89:23	123:23,23,23
ways 48:22	whitlow 60:17	93:5 120:16	<b>yeah</b> 95:12
<b>we've</b> 44:9	wholly 94:9	135:8 142:16	158:11
88:14 161:21	wide 76:24	works 12:11	<b>year</b> 21:21
wealth 125:5	90:14 112:7	87:12,23 96:25	22:18 26:13
weekly 134:23	<b>willing</b> 172:25	99:21 100:5	42:13,15,22
weights 18:6	173:4	110:16 148:6,7	44:19 49:18
welcome 137:6	<b>wish</b> 128:7	<b>world</b> 83:17	51:10 77:18
went 67:17	wished 57:2	<b>wound</b> 51:19	92:11
77:25 100:17	withdraw	<b>write</b> 140:4	<b>years</b> 7:2,13
114:7 116:12	36:19	146:8	11:3,11 17:6
133:19 147:16			31:23 56:10

[years - z] Page 47

81:15 90:19 96:5 99:24,24 110:8 113:8 115:22 163:5 170:10,11 **yep** 48:23 65:15 97:17 yesterday 76:8 **yonkers** 132:17 york 1:2,8,21 1:22 2:5,11 4:4 4:13 6:13,15 56:12 99:2,4 123:22 129:25 130:25 133:13 134:8 135:16 177:4,8 178:1

 $\mathbf{Z}$ 

**z** 88:8

# Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 30

- (e) Review By the Witness; Changes.
- (1) Review; Statement of Changes. On request by the deponent or a party before the deposition is completed, the deponent must be allowed 30 days after being notified by the officer that the transcript or recording is available in which:
- (A) to review the transcript or recording; and
- (B) if there are changes in form or substance, to sign a statement listing the changes and the reasons for making them.
- (2) Changes Indicated in the Officer's Certificate. The officer must note in the certificate prescribed by Rule 30(f)(1) whether a review was requested and, if so, must attach any changes the deponent makes during the 30-day period.

DISCLAIMER: THE FOREGOING FEDERAL PROCEDURE RULES

ARE PROVIDED FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY.

THE ABOVE RULES ARE CURRENT AS OF APRIL 1,

2019. PLEASE REFER TO THE APPLICABLE FEDERAL RULES

OF CIVIL PROCEDURE FOR UP-TO-DATE INFORMATION.

## VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS COMPANY CERTIFICATE AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Veritext Legal Solutions represents that the foregoing transcript is a true, correct and complete transcript of the colloquies, questions and answers as submitted by the court reporter. Veritext Legal Solutions further represents that the attached exhibits, if any, are true, correct and complete documents as submitted by the court reporter and/or attorneys in relation to this deposition and that the documents were processed in accordance with our litigation support and production standards.

Veritext Legal Solutions is committed to maintaining the confidentiality of client and witness information, in accordance with the regulations promulgated under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), as amended with respect to protected health information and the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, as amended, with respect to Personally Identifiable Information (PII). Physical transcripts and exhibits are managed under strict facility and personnel access controls. Electronic files of documents are stored in encrypted form and are transmitted in an encrypted fashion to authenticated parties who are permitted to access the material. Our data is hosted in a Tier 4 SSAE 16 certified facility.

Veritext Legal Solutions complies with all federal and State regulations with respect to the provision of court reporting services, and maintains its neutrality and independence regardless of relationship or the financial outcome of any litigation. Veritext requires adherence to the foregoing professional and ethical standards from all of its subcontractors in their independent contractor agreements.

Inquiries about Veritext Legal Solutions' confidentiality and security policies and practices should be directed to Veritext's Client Services Associates indicated on the cover of this document or at www.veritext.com.